Volatiles: Constraints on disk evolution, and planetesimal/planets accretion

Conel M. O'D. Alexander DTM, Carnegie Institution of Washington

Meteorites Recorders of first few million years (Ma)



Formed in <2 Ma

Formed in ~2-4 Ma

Chondrites – 'cosmic' sediments



2 cm

Photomicrographs Courtesy Adrian Brearley

Time constraints – Asteroids-Mars



Time constraints - Earth

 t_0 =4,567 Myr (Connelly et al. 2012)



Time constraints - Earth



What did the Earth accrete and when was it accreted?

Asteroids and Comets



Marty et al. (2016) – cometary contributions to H-C-N were minor, but may have been important for noble gases.

Earth's siderophile isotopes All inconsistent with carbonaceous chondrites



Of the carbonaceous chondrites, the CIs are closest to the Earth's composition.

Nevertheless, if CI-like material was the source of the volatiles, it must have accreted before the end of core formation – assumed to be Moon-formation.

The late veneer after Moon-formation was too little and too dry.

Atmosphere \neq interior



Either the atmosphere was not degassed from the interior, or the interior/atmosphere compositions have change after degassing?

Did early planetesimals fully degas?



Crystallization ages

Angrite D'Orbigny ~3.5 Ma after CAIs HED Stannern ~3.6 Ma after CAIs Accretion ages of CIs and CMs ~3.5 Ma after CAIs (Fujiya et al. 2013) Where did the carbonaceous chondrites form?

Trouble with Saturn's moons





Chondritic water was not from the outer Solar System



After Alexander et al. (2012)

$3Fe + 4H_2O = Fe_3O_4 + 4H_2$





raction of H₂O remaining

(Alexander et al. 2010)

H isotopes: Indicators of formation distance?



Summary

- All chondrites accreted their volatiles in a ~CI-like matrix. C, N, noble gases and some H was in a macromolecular organic matter. H₂O was accreted as ice that also included CO₂ and HCN/NH₃. No chondrite seems to have accreted their full solar H₂O complement.
- The initial D/H of water in CCs and non-CCs were <u>not</u> very different, contrary to model expectations, and less than Enceladus or even Titan.
- From these perspectives, there are no compelling reasons for the CCs to have formed in outer Solar System, or at least not beyond the location of Saturn when its moons formed (3-7 AU in the Grand Tack).
- CI/CM-like material was the major source of terrestrial planet H+N+C, perhaps with some solar input, but comets may have been much more important for noble gases.
- Atmospheric volatiles were accreted before Moon-formation and may not have been initially stored in the Earth's interior, yet somehow they survived impacts large and small.

Roughly chondritic volatiles



N isotopes: Indicators of formation distance?



Strecker-cyano synthesis $R-C=O + HCN + NH_3 + H_2O = R-CNH_2-COOH$

'Twin' planets are not identical



Venus abundances just for atmosphere



(Wasson and Kallemeyn, 1988)



(Wasson and Kallemeyn, 1988; Rubin and Wasson 1993: Brown et al. 2000)



(Alexander et al. 2012,2014)

Presolar grains in matrix

(Xe-HL carried by supernova nanodiamonds)



(Huss and Lewis 1995; Huss et al. 2003)



(Alexander et al. 2012)

Story so far

- The abundances of highly volatile elements, organic C, presolar circumstellar grains and water suggest that matrices in ALL chondrites are dominated by ~CI-like material.
- If correct, since the ECs, OCs & RCs all formed ~2 Ma after CAIs:
 - (1) CI-like material was present in the inner Solar System >2 Ma before a possible Grand Tack, and ~1 Ma after the inner Solar System was possibly sealed off by a growing Jupiter.
 - (2) The snowline was already in the inner Solar System by 2 Ma. The main building blocks of the terrestrial planets may not have been initially volatile-free, but if formed <3-3.5 Ma after CAIs they were heavily modified by internal heating due to ²⁶Al.

D/H in H₂O: an indicator of formation distance from the Sun?



Rosetta results



Not primordial atmospheres



Jaupart et al. (2017) – Ne, at least, in embryos not from primordial atmospheres.

Corrected water H isotopes



(Sutton et al. 2017)

H isotopes: Indicators of formation distance?



A possible alternative?

- There was a burst of early planetesimal formation involving material that had been thermally processed by FU Orionis outbursts and from which CAI material was extracted.
- By 2 Ma this material was nearly exhausted and material from the outer Solar System (matrix) began diffusing in and dominated later formed planetesimals. This material included refractory inclusions (CAIs and AOAs).

Accretion times and water contents



Accretion times modified after Sugiura and Fujiya (2014)



Accretion ages: Sugiura and Fujiya (2014)

Chondrule ages: OC Al-Mg, Kita et al. 2008, Villeneuve et al. 2009; OC Hf-W, Kleine et al. 2008; CO Al-Mg, Kurahashi et al. 2008; CV Al-Mg (Kaba) Nagashima et al. 2015; CV Hf-W Budde et al. 2016; CR Al-Mg Schrader et al. 2017.

Volatiles from primitive meteorites



Marty et al. (2012, 2013)

Asteroidal Sources



D/H in Solar System objects



Altwegg et al. (2015)

Volatiles from primitive meteorites



Time constraints





What's the issue?

- There was CI/CM-like material in the inner Solar System well before there could have been a Grand Tack (>4 Ma), but after Jupiter may have sealed off the inner Solar System (≤1 Ma).
- Minor irritant or big problem? Depends on how much and how much earlier.