

SYMBOLIC POWERS OF DEFINING IDEALS OF VERONESE RINGS

FANGU CHEN AND ALAN TANG

ABSTRACT. The d -th Veronese ring is the ring of polynomials such that the degree of every term is a multiple of d . We can represent these Veronese rings as the quotient ring of another polynomial ring. We are interested in the defining ideal of this quotient ring. The n -th symbolic power of a radical ideal I can be thought of as the set of polynomials which vanish up to order n along the solution set of I . Our research is concerned with the symbolic powers and the primary decomposition of the defining ideals of Veronese rings.

CONTENTS

1.	Definitions	1
2.	Basic Facts	2
3.	Useful exercises	2
4.	Macaulay2 computations	4
5.	Conjectures and Results	4

1. DEFINITIONS

Definition 1.1. Let k be a field, $S_n = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and $S_{n,d} = (k[x_1, \dots, x_n])_d$ be the d -th Veronese in n variables. Let

$$\Lambda = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \sum_i \alpha_i = d, \alpha_i \geq 0\},$$

and write $R = k[x_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda]$. There is a surjective ring homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} R &\longrightarrow S_{n,d} \\ t_\alpha &\longrightarrow x^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

We write $I_{n,d}$ for the kernel of this map.

Note that since $S_{n,d}$ is an integral domain, $I_{n,d}$ is a prime ideal.

Definition 1.2. An ideal $I \neq (1)$ in a ring R is primary if $fg \in I$ implies $f \in I$ or $g^m \in I$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.3. The radical of an ideal I in a ring R is the ideal $\sqrt{I} = \{f \in R \mid f^n \in I \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$; we say that an ideal is radical if $\sqrt{I} = I$.

If Q is a primary ideal in a ring R , then $\sqrt{Q} = P$ is a prime ideal and we say that Q is P -primary.

An ideal in a Noetherian ring can always be written as a finite intersection of primary ideals.

Definition 1.4. A primary decomposition $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^n Q_i$ is irredundant if $\bigcap_{i \neq j} Q_i \neq I$ for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sqrt{Q_i} \neq \sqrt{Q_j}$ for all $i \neq j$.

If Q_1 and Q_2 are P -primary, then $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ is also a P -primary ideal, so every primary decomposition can be simplified into an irredundant one.

Definition 1.5. Let R be a noetherian ring and I an ideal in R with no embedded primes. The n -th symbolic power of I is the ideal defined by

$$I^{(n)} = \bigcap_{P \in \text{Ass}(R/I)} (I^n R_P \cap R) = \{f \in R \mid \exists s \notin \cup_{P \in \text{Ass}(R/I)} P \text{ such that } sf \in I^n\}$$

Theorem 1.6 (Zariski-Nagata). Let $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and I a radical ideal in R . Then the n -th symbolic power of I is $I^{(n)} = \{f \in R \mid f \text{ vanishes to order } \geq n \text{ at every } x \in V(I)\} = \{f \in R \mid \frac{\partial^{k_1}}{\partial x_1^{k_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{k_n}}{\partial x_n^{k_n}} f \in I \text{ for all } \sum_{i=1}^n k_i < n\}$.

Note that we can use this theorem to describe the ideals $I_{n,d}$, because they are prime and thus, radical.

Definition 1.7. Let k be a field and $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. The initial monomial $\text{in}_{<}(f)$ of $f \in R$ is the largest (with respect to chosen order) x^α which appears in the expression $f = \sum_{c_\alpha \neq 0} c_\alpha x^\alpha$. A subset $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ of an ideal I is a Groebner basis for I if the ideal generated by initial monomials of elements of I (denoted $\text{in}_{<}(I)$) is generated by $\{\text{in}_{<}(g_1), \dots, \text{in}_{<}(g_m)\}$.

Notation 1.8. Let k be a field and R a polynomial ring over k . Let M be a matrix whose entries are polynomials in R . The ideal generated by all the n -minors of m is denoted with $I_n(M)$.

2. BASIC FACTS

The ideal $I_{n,d}$ is generated by elements of the form

$$t_\alpha t_\beta - t_\gamma t_\delta$$

where $t_i \mapsto x^i$ for $i = \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$.

Its initial ideal $\text{in}_{<}(I_{n,d})$ is generated by the initial monomials of these quadratic binomials.

3. USEFUL EXERCISES

Lemma 3.1. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring and $\mathfrak{p} = (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t})$ be generated by a subset of the variables. Then $\mathfrak{p}^{(a)} = \mathfrak{p}^a$ for all $a \geq 1$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $\mathfrak{p} = (x_1, \dots, x_t)$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{p}^a \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{(a)}$. Suppose $f = \sum c_{a_1, \dots, a_n} x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} \in \mathfrak{p}^{(a)}$. By Zariski-Nagata theorem, since \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal, we have $\frac{\partial^b f}{\partial x^b} \in \mathfrak{p}$ for all $|b| < a$. If $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i < a$ for some monomial term of f , then there is a term $c_{a_1, \dots, a_n} a_1! \dots a_t! x_1^{a_1+1} \dots x_n^{a_n}$ in $\frac{\partial^{a_1}}{\partial x_1^{a_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{a_t}}{\partial x_t^{a_t}} f$, contradicting $\frac{\partial^{a_1}}{\partial x_1^{a_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{a_t}}{\partial x_t^{a_t}} f \in \mathfrak{p}$. Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i \geq a$ for all monomial terms of f , which implies $f \in \mathfrak{p}^a$. \square

Lemma 3.2. Let $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{m1} & \cdots & x_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$ be an $m \times n$ matrix of indeterminates

over \mathbb{C} , and $R = \mathbb{C}[X]$ be the polynomial ring in these indeterminates. Let $\mathfrak{p} = I_2(X)$ be the ideal generated by the 2×2 minors of X . Then, for all $t \leq \min\{m, n\} - 1$, we have $I_{t+1}(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{(t)}$.

Proof. For $t = 1$, $I_2(X) = \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{(1)}$. Assume $I_t(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{(t-1)}$ for some $2 \leq t < \min\{m, n\} - 1$. Let f be a $(t+1) \times (t+1)$ minor of X . By Laplace expansion, we have $f \in I_t(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{(t-1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{ij}} \in I_t(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{(t-1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then by Zariski-Nagata theorem, $\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x^k} \in \mathfrak{p}$ for all $1 < |k| < t$. Therefore, $f \in \mathfrak{p}^t$. \square

Corollary 3.3. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_l]$ be a polynomial ring and $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{m1} & \cdots & x_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$

be an $m \times n$ matrix where each coordinate $x_{ij} = x_{ij}(y_1, \dots, y_l)$ is a polynomial in R . Let $\mathfrak{p} = I_2(X)$ be the ideal generated by the 2×2 minors of X . If \mathfrak{p} is prime, then for all $t \leq \min\{m, n\} - 1$, we have $I_{t+1}(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{(t)}$.

Proof. Let $f = \det \begin{bmatrix} x_{i_1 j_1} & \cdots & x_{i_1 j_{t+1}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{i_{t+1} j_1} & \cdots & x_{i_{t+1} j_{t+1}} \end{bmatrix}$ be a $(t+1) \times (t+1)$ minor of X . Then apply chain rule, we have $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_s} = \sum_{u=1}^{t+1} \sum_{v=1}^{t+1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i_u j_v}} \frac{\partial x_{i_u j_v}}{\partial y_s}$ where $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i_u j_v}} \in I_t(X)$ by Laplace expansion and $\frac{\partial x_{i_u j_v}}{\partial y_s} \in R$ for each integers $1 \leq u, v \leq t+1$ and $1 \leq s \leq l$. The rest of the proof is the same as in lemma 3.2. \square

Lemma 3.4. Let I be a radical ideal in a polynomial ring R . Then $I^n \subseteq I^{(n)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For all $f \in I^n$, $1 \cdot f \in I^n$ where 1 is not in any prime ideal. \square

Lemma 3.5. Let I be a radical ideal in a polynomial ring R . Then $I^{(a)}I^{(b)} \subseteq I^{(a+b)}$ for all $a, b \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_k$ be associated primes of I . Suppose $f \in I^{(a)}$ and $g \in I^{(b)}$. Then there exist $s \notin \cup_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{p}_i$ and $t \notin \cup_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{p}_i$ such that $sf \in I^a$ and $tg \in I^b$. Then $st \notin \cup_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{p}_i$ and $stfg \in I^{a+b}$, which gives $fg \in I^{(a+b)}$. Therefore, $I^{(a)}I^{(b)} \subseteq I^{(a+b)}$. \square

Lemma 3.6. Let k be a field and $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring. Let I and J be ideals in R . Then $in_{<}(I)in_{<}(J) \subseteq in_{<}(IJ)$.

Proof. Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ and $\{g_1, \dots, g_l\}$ be Groebner basis for I and J , respectively. Then $in_{<}(I) = (in_{<}(f_1), \dots, in_{<}(f_m))$ and $in_{<}(J) = (in_{<}(g_1), \dots, in_{<}(g_l))$, so $in_{<}(I)in_{<}(J)$ is generated by $\{in_{<}(f_i)in_{<}(g_j) | 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq l\}$ where each generator $in_{<}(f_i)in_{<}(g_j) = in_{<}(f_i g_j) \in in_{<}(IJ)$. \square

Corollary 3.7. Let k be a field and $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring. Let I be an ideal in R . Then $in_{<}(I)^b \subseteq in_{<}(I^b)$ for all $b \geq 1$.

Lemma 3.8. Let I be a radical ideal in a polynomial ring $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. If $in_{<}(I)$ is radical, then $in_{<}(I^{(a)}) \subseteq in_{<}(I)^{(a)}$ for all $a \geq 1$.

Proof. Suppose $g \in I^{(a)}$ and let $f = in_{<}(g) = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$. For any $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i < a$, by Zariski-Nagata theorem, we have $\frac{\partial^{k_1}}{\partial x_1^{k_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{k_n}}{\partial x_n^{k_n}} g \in I$.

- (1) $\frac{\partial^{k_1}}{\partial x_1^{k_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{k_n}}{\partial x_n^{k_n}} f = 0 \in in_{<}(I)$.
- (2) $\frac{\partial^{k_1}}{\partial x_1^{k_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{k_n}}{\partial x_n^{k_n}} f \neq 0$, then for each nonzero monomial term in $\frac{\partial^{k_1}}{\partial x_1^{k_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{k_n}}{\partial x_n^{k_n}} g$ with original vector exponent $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \leq (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, its vector exponent after differentiation is $(\beta_1 - k_1, \dots, \beta_n - k_n) \leq (\alpha_1 - k_1, \dots, \alpha_n - k_n)$, so $\frac{\partial^{k_1}}{\partial x_1^{k_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{k_n}}{\partial x_n^{k_n}} f$ is the initial term of $\frac{\partial^{k_1}}{\partial x_1^{k_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{k_n}}{\partial x_n^{k_n}} g$ and then $\frac{\partial^{k_1}}{\partial x_1^{k_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{k_n}}{\partial x_n^{k_n}} f \in in_{<}(I)$.

Therefore, by Zariski-Nagata theorem, since $in_{<}(I)$ is radical, we have $f \in in_{<}(I)^{(a)}$. \square

4. MACAULAY2 COMPUTATIONS

Macaulay2 computation verifies the following primary decompositions: $I_{3,3}^2 = I_{3,3}^{(2)} \cap \mathfrak{m}^4$; $I_{3,3}^3 = I_{3,3}^{(3)} \cap \mathfrak{m}^6$; $I_{3,4}^2 = I_{3,4}^{(2)} \cap \mathfrak{m}^4$; $I_{4,3}^2 = I_{4,3}^{(2)} \cap \mathfrak{m}^4$.

We use Macaulay2 to find the smallest a such that $I_{n,d}^{(a)} \subseteq I_{n,d}^b$ for some n, d, b .

n	d	b	a	n	d	b	a
2	4	2	3	3	2	2	3
		3	4			3	4
		4	5			4	5
		5	7			5	7
		6	8			6	8
		7	9			7	9
		8	11			8	11
2	5	2	3	3	3	2	3
		3	4			3	4
		4	5			4	5
2	6	2	3	4	2	2	3
		3	4			3	4
2	7	2	3	5	2	2	3
		3	4			3	4
2	8	2	3				

5. CONJECTURES AND RESULTS

Proposition 5.1. The ideal $I_{n,d}$ where $n, d \geq 2$ can be written as the ideal generated by 2-minors of a matrix of n rows and $\binom{n+d-2}{d-1}$ columns, where the columns of this matrix are of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_{x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3} \dots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n^{\alpha_n}} \\ t_{x_1^{\alpha_1-1} x_2^{\alpha_2+1} x_3^{\alpha_3} \dots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n^{\alpha_n}} \\ t_{x_1^{\alpha_1-1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3+1} \dots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n^{\alpha_n}} \\ \dots \\ t_{x_1^{\alpha_1-1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3} \dots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}+1} x_n^{\alpha_n}} \\ t_{x_1^{\alpha_1-1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3} \dots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n^{\alpha_n+1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = d$.

Proof. Consider the $n \times \binom{n+d-2}{d-1}$ matrix $M_{n,d} = \begin{bmatrix} t_{x_1^d} & t_{x_1^{d-1}x_2} & \cdots & t_{x_1x_n^{d-1}} \\ t_{x_1^{d-1}x_2} & t_{x_1^{d-2}x_2^2} & \cdots & t_{x_2x_n^{d-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{x_1^{d-1}x_n} & t_{x_1^{d-2}x_2x_n} & \cdots & t_{x_n^d} \end{bmatrix}$.

The i -th row of $M_{n,d}$ consists of all t_α where α is a degree d monomial in (x_i) .

$I_2(M_{n,d}) \subseteq I_{n,d}$ because $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_i^{a_i} \cdots x_j^{a_j} \cdots x_n^{a_n} x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_i^{b_i-1} \cdots x_j^{b_j+1} \cdots x_n^{b_n} - x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_i^{a_i-1} \cdots x_j^{a_j+1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_i^{b_i} \cdots x_j^{b_j} \cdots x_n^{b_n} = 0$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i = d$.

We know $I_{n,d}$ is generated by quadratic binomials of the form $f = t_{x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n}} t_{x_1^{q_1} \cdots x_n^{q_n}} - t_{x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n}} t_{x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n}}$, where $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i = d$ and $p_i + q_i = r_i + s_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let m be the smallest integer such that $p_m \neq r_m$. Without loss of generality, assume $p_m > r_m \geq 0$. Then $s_m > q_m \geq 0$, and $\sum_{i=m}^n p_i = \sum_{i=m}^n r_i$, so there is $l > m$ such that $r_l > p_l \geq 0$ and $q_l > s_l \geq 0$.

Since $p_m > 0$ and $q_l > 0$, we can find $t_{x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n}}$ on the m -th row of $M_{n,d}$ and $t_{x_1^{q_1} \cdots x_n^{q_n}}$ on the l -th row of $M_{n,d}$.

If these two variables $t_{x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n}}$ and $t_{x_1^{q_1} \cdots x_n^{q_n}}$ are not on the same column of $M_{n,d}$, then we have $g = t_{x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n}} t_{x_1^{q_1} \cdots x_n^{q_n}} - t_{x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_m^{p_m-1} \cdots x_l^{p_l+1} \cdots x_n^{p_n}} t_{x_1^{q_1} \cdots x_m^{q_m+1} \cdots x_l^{q_l-1} \cdots x_n^{q_n}} \in I_2(M_{n,d})$ and $f = g + f'$ where $f' = t_{x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_m^{p_m-1} \cdots x_l^{p_l+1} \cdots x_n^{p_n}} t_{x_1^{q_1} \cdots x_m^{q_m+1} \cdots x_l^{q_l-1} \cdots x_n^{q_n}} - t_{x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n}} t_{x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n}}$.

If these two variables $t_{x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n}}$ and $t_{x_1^{q_1} \cdots x_n^{q_n}}$ are on the same column of $M_{n,d}$, then $x_1^{q_1} \cdots x_n^{q_n} = x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n} \cdot \frac{x_l}{x_m}$. Consider the $t_{x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n}}$ on the m -th row of $M_{n,d}$ and $t_{x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n}}$ on the l -th row of $M_{n,d}$. If these two $t_{x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n}}$ and $t_{x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n}}$ are on the same column, then $x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n} = x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} \cdot \frac{x_l}{x_m}$, and from $x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n} x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} = x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n} x_1^{q_1} \cdots x_n^{q_n}$, it follows that $x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} = x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n}$ and $x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n} = x_1^{q_1} \cdots x_n^{q_n}$, so $f = 0$. Otherwise, $g = t_{x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_m^{r_m+1} \cdots x_l^{r_l-1} \cdots x_n^{r_n}} t_{x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_m^{s_m-1} \cdots x_l^{s_l+1} \cdots x_n^{s_n}} - t_{x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n}} t_{x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n}} \in I_2(M_{n,d})$ and $f = g + f'$ where $f' = t_{x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n}} t_{x_1^{q_1} \cdots x_n^{q_n}} - t_{x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_m^{r_m+1} \cdots x_l^{r_l-1} \cdots x_n^{r_n}} t_{x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_m^{s_m-1} \cdots x_l^{s_l+1} \cdots x_n^{s_n}}$.

Let $f = f'$ and repeat the process until $f = 0$. This will terminate because each time $\sum_{i=1}^n |p_i - r_i|$ becomes smaller. Thus, $I_{n,d} \subseteq I_2(M_{n,d})$. \square

We can rewrite the variables $t_{x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_n^{b_n}}$ where $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i = d$ as t_m and $m = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[\binom{n-k+d-b_1-\cdots-b_k}{n-k} - \binom{n-k+d-b_1-\cdots-b_{k-1}}{n-k-1} \right]$. If $1 \leq m \leq \binom{n+d-2}{d-1}$, then the m -th column of the matrix $M_{n,d}$ whose 2-minors generate $I_{n,d}$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_m \\ t_{m+\binom{n+d-b_1-2}{n-2}} \\ t_{m+\binom{n+d-b_1-2}{n-2}+\binom{n+d-b_1-b_2-3}{n-3}} \\ \vdots \\ t_{m+\binom{n+d-b_1-2}{n-2}+\binom{n+d-b_1-b_2-3}{n-3}+\cdots+\binom{n+d-b_1-b_2-\cdots-b_{n-1}-n}{0}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposition 5.2. Let $I = I_{2,d}$ where $d \geq 4$. Then $[I^{(a)}]_{\geq 2a} \subseteq I^a$.

Proof. It is enough to show that $[in_{<}(I^{(a)})]_{\geq 2a} \subseteq in_{<}(I^a)$, because it will follow that, for any $g \in I^{(a)}$ homogeneous of degree $\geq 2a$, $in_{<}(g) \in in_{<}(I^a)$ and we can choose $g' \in I^a \subseteq I^{(a)}$ such that g' is homogeneous and $in_{<}(g') = in_{<}(g)$, then $g - g' \in I^{(a)}$ is homogeneous and $in_{<}(g - g') < in_{<}(g)$. If $g - g' \neq 0$, then repeat the process and such algorithm terminates by well ordering property.

Choose graded lexicographic order for the monomials so that the initial ideal $in_{<}(I) = (t_1t_3, t_1t_4, \dots, t_1t_{d+1}, t_2t_4, t_2t_5, \dots, t_2t_{d+1}, \dots, t_{d-1}t_{d+1})$ is radical. By lemma 3.8 and 3.7, we have $in_{<}(I^{(a)}) \subseteq in_{<}(I)^{(a)}$ and $in_{<}(I)^a \subseteq in_{<}(I^a)$, so it is enough to show $[in_{<}(I)^{(a)}]_{\geq 2a} \subseteq in_{<}(I)^a$.

We have $in_{<}(I) = \cap_{i=1}^d (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+2}, t_{i+3}, \dots, t_{d+1})$ so its symbolic power

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} in_{<}(I)^{(a)} &= \cap_{i=1}^d (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+2}, t_{i+3}, \dots, t_{d+1})^{(a)} \\ &= \cap_{i=1}^d (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+2}, t_{i+3}, \dots, t_{d+1})^a \end{aligned}$$

For $a = 1$, $[in_{<}(I)^{(1)}]_{\geq 2} \subseteq in_{<}(I)^1$ since $in_{<}(I)^{(1)} = in_{<}(I)^1$.

Suppose $[in_{<}(I)^{(a)}]_{\geq 2a} \subseteq in_{<}(I)^a$ for $a = k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $a = k + 1$ and $f = t_1^{a_1} \dots t_{d+1}^{a_{d+1}} \in [in_{<}(I)^{(a)}]_{\geq 2a}$. Then the degree of f is $D = a_1 + \dots + a_{d+1} \geq 2a = 2k + 2$. For each integer $1 \leq i \leq d$, by 1 we have $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{i-1} + a_{i+2} + \dots + a_{d+1} \geq a$, which is equivalent to $a_i + a_{i+1} \leq D - a$. The goal is to find $1 \leq l \leq m \leq d + 1$ such that $f = t_l t_m f'$ where $t_l t_m \in in_{<}(I)$ (i.e. $m - l > 1$) and $f' = t_1^{a'_1} \dots t_{d+1}^{a'_{d+1}} \in in_{<}(I)^{(k)}$ (i.e. $a'_i + a'_{i+1} \leq (D - 2) - k$ for all integer $1 \leq i \leq d$).

For at most two distinct pair of consecutive integers, the equality $a_i + a_{i+1} = D - a$ can hold because if $a_{i_1} + a_{i_1+1} = a_{i_2} + a_{i_2+1} = a_{i_3} + a_{i_3+1} = D - a$ for some integers $1 \leq i_1 < i_1 + 1 < i_2 < i_2 + 1 < i_3 < i_3 + 1 \leq d + 1$, then $3(D - a) = a_{i_1} + a_{i_1+1} + a_{i_2} + a_{i_2+1} + a_{i_3} + a_{i_3+1} \leq a_1 + \dots + a_{d+1} = D$, which implies $D \leq 3a/2$ and contradicts $D \geq 2a$. Then among all the inequalities $a_i + a_{i+1} \leq D - a$, at most four equalities $a_i + a_{i+1} = D - a$ can hold because if $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4 < i_5 \leq d$, then $i_1, i_1 + 1, i_3, i_3 + 1, i_5, i_5 + 1$ are distinct, so it is impossible to have $a_{i_1} + a_{i_1+1} = a_{i_3} + a_{i_3+1} = a_{i_5} + a_{i_5+1} = D - a$.

- (1) No equalities hold in the d inequalities $a_i + a_{i+1} \leq D - a$.

Let p be the smallest i such that $a_i > 0$. Then since $a_p + a_{p+1} < D - a < D$, there is $p + 1 < q \leq d + 1$ such that $a_q > 0$, then $f = t_p t_q f'$ where $t_p t_q \in in_{<}(I)$.

- (2) The only equalities hold in the d inequalities $a_i + a_{i+1} \leq D - a$ are consecutive $a_p + a_{p+1} = a_{p+1} + a_{p+2} = \dots = a_{p+j} + a_{(p+j)+1} = D - a$ where $1 \leq p \leq d - j$ and $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

- (a) $j = 0$, $a_p + a_{p+1} = D - a$.

If $a_{p+1} = 0$, then $a_p = D - a$, which implies $p = 1$ because otherwise $a_{p-1} + a_p \geq D - a$. Since $a_p + a_{p+1} = D - a < D$, there is $q > p + 1$ such that $a_q > 0$. Then $f = t_p t_q f'$ where $t_p t_q \in in_{<}(I)$.

If $a_p = 0$, then $a_{p+1} = D - a$, which implies $p = d$. Since $a_p + a_{p+1} = D - a < D$, there is $q < p$ such that $a_q > 0$. Then $f = t_q t_{p+1} f'$ where $t_q t_{p+1} \in in_{<}(I)$.

If $a_p > 0$ and $a_{p+1} > 0$, then since $a_p + a_{p+1} = D - a < D$, there is $q < p$ or $q > p + 1$ such that $a_q > 0$. If $q < p$, then $f = t_q t_{p+1} f'$; if $q > p + 1$, then $f = t_p t_q f'$.

- (b) $j = 1$, $a_p + a_{p+1} = a_{p+1} + a_{p+2} = D - a$.
 If $a_p = a_{p+2} = 0$, then since $a_{p+1} = D - a < D$, there is $q < p$ or $q > p + 1$ such that $a_q > 0$, so $f = t_{p+1}t_q f'$ where $t_{p+1}t_q \in \text{in}_<(I)$.
 If $a_p = a_{p+2} > 0$, then $f = t_p t_{p+2} f'$ where $t_p t_{p+2} \in \text{in}_<(I)$.
- (c) $j = 2$, $a_p + a_{p+1} = a_{p+1} + a_{p+2} = a_{p+2} + a_{p+3} = D - a$.
 If $a_p = a_{p+2} = 0$, then $a_{p+1} = a_{p+3} > 0$ so $f = t_{p+1}t_{p+3} f'$ where $t_{p+1}t_{p+3} \in \text{in}_<(I)$.
 If $a_p = a_{p+2} > 0$, then $f = t_p t_{p+2} f'$ where $t_p t_{p+2} \in \text{in}_<(I)$.
- (d) $j = 3$, $a_p + a_{p+1} = a_{p+1} + a_{p+2} = a_{p+2} + a_{p+3} = a_{p+3} + a_{p+4} = D - a$.
 If $a_{p+1} = a_{p+3} = 0$, then $a_p = a_{p+2} = a_{p+4} = D - a$, which implies $3(D - a) = a_p + a_{p+2} + a_{p+4} \leq D$, leading to contradiction.
 Thus, $a_{p+1} = a_{p+3} > 0$ and $f = t_{p+1}t_{p+3} f'$ where $t_{p+1}t_{p+3} \in \text{in}_<(I)$.
- (3) The equalities hold in the d inequalities $a_i + a_{i+1} \leq D - a$ are $a_{(p-\varepsilon_1)-1} + a_{p-\varepsilon_1} = a_{p-1} + a_p = a_q + a_{q+1} = a_{q+\varepsilon_2} + a_{(q+\varepsilon_2)+1} = D - a$ where $\varepsilon_1 + 2 \leq p < q \leq d - \varepsilon_2$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{0, 1\}$.
 We have $a_{p-1} + a_p = D - a > a_p + a_{p+1}$ and $a_q + a_{q+1} = D - a > a_{q-1} + a_q$, so $a_{p-1} > a_{p+1} \geq 0$ and $a_{q+1} > a_{q-1} \geq 0$. Then $f = t_{p-1}t_{q+1} f'$ where $t_{p-1}t_{q+1} \in \text{in}_<(I)$.

In all cases, $f' = t_1^{a'_1} \dots t_{d+1}^{a'_{d+1}}$ has degree $D - 2 \geq 2k$ and $a'_i + a'_{i+1} \leq D - a - 1 = (D - 2) - k$ for all integers $1 \leq i \leq d$, so $f \in [\text{in}_<(I)^{(k)}]_{\geq 2k}$ and by induction hypothesis, $f' \in \text{in}_<(I)^k$. Therefore, $f \in \text{in}_<(I)^{k+1} = \text{in}_<(I)^a$. \square

Here is an easier proof of 5.2 by showing $[\text{in}_<(I^{(a)})]_{\geq 2a} \subseteq \text{in}_<(I)^a$ in graded lexicographic order.

Proof. In graded lexicographic order we have $t_i t_j \in \text{in}_<(I)$ if and only if $|i - j| > 1$. Suppose $f \in [\text{in}_<(I^{(a)})]_{\geq 2a}$ and $\text{in}_<(f) = t_{i_1} t_{i_2} \dots t_{i_D}$ where $1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_D \leq d + 1$ and $D \geq 2a$.

By Zariski-Nagata theorem, we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_{D-1}}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_{D-(a-2)}}} f \in I$.

Then $t_{i_1} t_{i_2} \dots t_{i_{D-a+1}} = \text{in}_<(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_{D-1}}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_{D-a+2}}} f) \in \text{in}_<(I)$, which implies $t_{i_1} t_{i_{D-a+1}} \in \text{in}_<(I)$.

For the same reason, we have $t_{i_2} t_{i_{D-a+2}}, t_{i_3} t_{i_{D-a+3}}, \dots, t_{i_a} t_{i_D} \in \text{in}_<(I)$.

Then $t_{i_1} t_{i_2} \dots t_{i_D} = (t_{i_1} t_{i_{D-a+1}})(t_{i_2} t_{i_{D-a+2}}) \dots (t_{i_a} t_{i_D})(t_{i_{a+1}} t_{i_{a+2}} \dots t_{i_{D-a}}) \in \text{in}_<(I)^a$ since $D - a \geq a$. \square

Proposition 5.2 implies that for all $d \geq 4$, the \mathfrak{m} -primary component of $I_{2,d}$ is \mathfrak{m}^{2a} , so a primary decomposition of $I_{2,d}^a$ is

$$I_{2,d}^a = I_{2,d}^{(a)} \cap \mathfrak{m}^{2a}$$

Lemma 5.3. Let k be a field and $R = k[t_1, \dots, t_{d+1}]$. For $2 \leq a \leq \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 1$, we have

$$I_2 \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_d \\ t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & \cdots & t_{d+1} \end{bmatrix} = I_2(M_a) \text{ where } M_a = \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_{d-a+2} \\ t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & \cdots & t_{d-a+3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_a & t_{a+1} & t_{a+2} & \cdots & t_{d+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. It is enough to show $I_2(M_a) = I_2(M_{a+1})$ for any $2 \leq a < \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$.

Fix $2 \leq a < \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$, it suffices to consider the 2-minors at column 1, $d - a + 2$ of M_a and the 2-minors at row 1, $a + 1$ of M_{a+1} .

For any $1 \leq i < j \leq a$, since $t_i t_{j+d-a+1} - t_j t_{i+d-a+1} = (t_i t_{j+1+d-a} - t_{j+1} t_{i+d-a}) - (t_j t_{i+d-a+1} - t_{j+1} t_{i+d-a})$ where in the matrix M_{a+1} , $t_i t_{j+1+d-a} - t_{j+1} t_{i+d-a}$ is the 2-minor at row $i, j+1$ and column $1, d-a+1$, and $t_j t_{i+d-a+1} - t_{j+1} t_{i+d-a}$ is the 2-minor at row $i, i+1$ and column $j-i+1, d-a+1$, we have $I_2(M_a) \subseteq I_2(M_{a+1})$. For any $1 \leq i < j \leq d-a+1$, since $t_i t_{j+a} - t_{i+a} t_j = (t_i t_{j+a} - t_{i+a-1} t_{j+1}) - (t_{i+a} t_j - t_{i+a-1} t_{j+1})$ where in the matrix M_a , $t_i t_{j+a} - t_{i+a-1} t_{j+1}$ is the 2-minor at row $1, a$ and column $i, j+1$, and $t_{i+a} t_j - t_{i+a-1} t_{j+1}$ is the 2-minor at row $j-i+1, a$ and column $i, i+1$, we have $I_2(M_{a+1}) \subseteq I_2(M_a)$. \square

Proposition 5.4. Let $I = I_{2,d}$ where $d \geq 2$, the least degree of an element in $I^{(a)}$ is $\lceil \frac{(d+2)a}{d} \rceil$ for d even and $\lceil \frac{(d+1)a}{d-1} \rceil$ for d odd.

Proof. Choose graded lexicographic order for monomials and suppose $f \in I^{(a)}$ homogeneous of degree D . As is shown in the proof of 5.2, we have $\text{in}_<(f) = t_1^{a_1} \dots t_{d+1}^{a_{d+1}} \in \text{in}_<(I^{(a)}) \subseteq \text{in}_<(I)^{(a)}$ so that $a_i + a_{i+1} \leq D - a$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. If d is odd, then $D = (a_1 + a_2) + \dots + (a_d + a_{d+1}) \leq \frac{d+1}{2}(D - a)$, so $D \geq \frac{d+1}{d-1}a$. If d is even, then $D = (a_1 + a_2) + \dots + (a_{d-1} + a_d) + a_{d+1} \leq (\frac{d}{2} + 1)(D - a)$, so $D \geq \frac{d+2}{d}a$.

For $a \leq \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$, since $I = I_2 \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_d \\ t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & \cdots & t_{d+1} \end{bmatrix} = I_2 \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_{d-a+1} \\ t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & \cdots & t_{d-a+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_{a+1} & t_{a+2} & t_{a+3} & \cdots & t_{d+1} \end{bmatrix}$,

we have $I_{a+1} \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_{d-a+1} \\ t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & \cdots & t_{d-a+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_{a+1} & t_{a+2} & t_{a+3} & \cdots & t_{d+1} \end{bmatrix} \in I^{(a)}$ by lemma 3.3. Therefore,

there is degree $a+1$ element in $I^{(a)}$.

For $k \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor < a \leq (k+1) \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, choose $f \in I^{(\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor)}$ of degree $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 1$ and $g \in I^{(a-k \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor)}$ of degree $a - k \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 1$, then $f^k g$ has degree $a + k + 1$ and $f^k g \in (I^{(\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor)})^k I^{(a-k \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor)} \subseteq I^{(a)}$ where $k+1 = \lceil \frac{a}{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} \rceil$.

Therefore, if d is odd, then $I^{(a)}$ has element of degree $\lceil a + \frac{2}{d-1}a \rceil$; if d is even, then $I^{(a)}$ has element of degree $\lceil a + \frac{2}{d}a \rceil$. \square

Proposition 5.5. Let $I = I_{2,d}$ where $d \geq 4$. If $a \leq \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$, then $[I^{(a)}]_{a+1} = [J]_{a+1}$

where $J = I_{a+1} \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_{d-a+1} \\ t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & \cdots & t_{d-a+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_{a+1} & t_{a+2} & t_{a+3} & \cdots & t_{d+1} \end{bmatrix}$.

Proof. Since $I = I_2 \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_d \\ t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & \cdots & t_{d+1} \end{bmatrix} = I_2 \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_{d-a+1} \\ t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & \cdots & t_{d-a+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_{a+1} & t_{a+2} & t_{a+3} & \cdots & t_{d+1} \end{bmatrix}$,

we have $J \subseteq I^{(a)}$ by 3.3.

Let f be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $a+1$ in $I^{(a)}$. Choose graded lexicographic order for monomials. Reduce $f \bmod J$ so that $f = f' + r$ where $f' \in J$

and either $r = 0$ or $in_{<}(r) \notin in_{<}(J)$.

Suppose for contradiction that $in_{<}(r) \notin in_{<}(J)$, then $in_{<}(r) = t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_{a+1}}$ for some integers $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{a+1} \leq d+1$. If $i_j < i_{j+1} - 1$ for all integers $1 \leq j \leq a$, then

$$t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_{a+1}} = in_{<} \left(\det \begin{bmatrix} t_{i_1} & t_{i_2-1} & \cdots & t_{i_a-a+1} & t_{i_{a+1}-a} \\ t_{i_1+1} & t_{i_2} & \cdots & t_{i_a-a+2} & t_{i_{a+1}-a+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ t_{i_1+a-1} & t_{i_2+a-2} & \cdots & t_{i_a} & t_{i_{a+1}-1} \\ t_{i_1+a} & t_{i_2+a-1} & \cdots & t_{i_a+1} & t_{i_{a+1}} \end{bmatrix} \right) \in in_{<}(J), \text{ so}$$

there is $1 \leq j \leq a$ such that $i_{j+1} \geq i_j \geq i_{j+1} - 1$.

Since $J \subseteq I^{(a)}$, we have $r \in I^{(a)}$ so that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_{j-1}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_{j+2}}} \dots \frac{\partial r}{\partial t_{i_{a+1}}} \in I$ by Zariski-Nagata theorem. However, in order for $t_{i_j} t_{i_{j+1}}$ where $i_{j+1} \geq i_j \geq i_{j+1} - 1$ to appear in $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_{j-1}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_{j+1}}} \dots \frac{\partial r}{\partial t_{i_{a+1}}}$, we must have $t_{i_j-1} t_{i_{j+1}+1}$ appear in $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_{j-1}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_{j+1}}} \dots \frac{\partial r}{\partial t_{i_{a+1}}}$ where $2 \leq i_j \leq i_{j+1} \leq d$, which implies that the term $t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_{j-1}} t_{i_j-1} t_{i_{j+1}+1} t_{i_{j+2}} \dots t_{i_{a+1}}$ will appear in r , contradicting $in_{<}(r) = t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_{a+1}}$. Therefore, $r = 0$ and $f \in J$. \square

In particular, from proposition 5.5, we know for all $d \geq 4$, the degree 3 piece of the second symbolic power is $[I_{2,d}^{(2)}]_3 = [I_3 \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_{d-1} \\ t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & \cdots & t_d \\ t_3 & t_4 & t_5 & \cdots & t_{d+1} \end{bmatrix}]_3$. This, together with proposition 5.2, shows that

$$I_{2,d}^{(2)} = I_{2,d}^2 + I_3 \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_{d-1} \\ t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & \cdots & t_d \\ t_3 & t_4 & t_5 & \cdots & t_{d+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $d \geq 4$.

Lemma 5.6. Let $I = I_{2,d}$ where $d \geq 4$ and $2 \leq m < a$ where $a \geq 3$. Then in graded lexicographic order, $[in_{<}(I^{(a)})]_{a+m} \subseteq in_{<}(I^{(q)})^r in_{<}(I^{(q-1)})^{m-r}$ where $q = \lfloor \frac{a+m-1}{m} \rfloor$ and $r = a + m - qm$.

Proof. In graded lexicographic order, we have $t_i t_j \in in_{<}(I)$ if and only if $|i-j| > 1$. Without loss of generality, assume $[I^{(a)}]_{a+m} \neq \emptyset$, so $a \leq m \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$ and then $q \leq \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$. Suppose $f \in [I^{(a)}]_{a+m}$, and $in_{<}(f) = t_{i_1} t_{i_2} t_{i_3} \dots t_{i_{a+m-1}} t_{i_{a+m}} \in [in_{<}(I^{(a)})]_{a+m}$ where $1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq i_3 \leq \dots \leq i_{a+m-1} \leq i_{a+m} \leq d+1$.

By Zariski-Nagata theorem, we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_{a+m}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_{a+m-1}}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_{a+m-(a-2)}}} f \in I$ so that $t_{i_1} t_{i_2} \dots t_{i_{m+1}} = in_{<} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_{a+m}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_{a+m-1}}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_{m+2}}} f \right) \in in_{<}(I)$, which implies $t_{i_1} t_{i_{m+1}} \in in_{<}(I)$. For the same reason, we have $t_{i_l} t_{i_{m+l}} \in in_{<}(I)$ for all $1 \leq l \leq a$.

$$\text{Then } t_{i_l} t_{i_{m+l}} t_{i_{2m+l}} \dots t_{i_{qm+l}} \in in_{<}(I_{q+1} \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & \cdots & t_{d-q+1} \\ t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_{d-q+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_{q+1} & t_{q+2} & \cdots & t_{d+1} \end{bmatrix}) \subseteq in_{<}(I^{(q)})$$

$$\text{for all } 1 \leq l \leq r \text{ and } t_{i_l} t_{i_{m+l}} t_{i_{2m+l}} \dots t_{i_{(q-1)m+l}} \in in_{<}(I_q \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & \cdots & t_{d-q+2} \\ t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_{d-q+3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_q & t_{q+1} & \cdots & t_{d+1} \end{bmatrix}) \subseteq$$

$in_{<}(I^{(q-1)})$ for all $r+1 \leq l \leq m$.

Therefore, $t_{i_1}t_{i_2}t_{i_3} \dots t_{i_{a+m-1}}t_{i_{a+m}} \in in_{<}(I^{(q)})^r in_{<}(I^{(q-1)})^{m-r}$. \square

Proposition 5.7. Let $I = I_{2,d}$ where $d \geq 4$ and $2 \leq m < a \leq m \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$ where $a \geq 3$. Then $[I^{(a)}]_{a+m} = [(I^{(q)})^r (I^{(q-1)})^{m-r}]_{a+m}$ where $q = \lfloor \frac{a+m-1}{m} \rfloor$ and $r = a+m - qm$.

Proof. By lemma 3.5, we have $(I^{(q)})^r (I^{(q-1)})^{m-r} \subseteq I^{(qr+(q-1)(m-r))} = I^{(qm+r-m)} = I^{(a)}$. Then it follows from $[in_{<}(I^{(a)})]_{a+m} \subseteq [in_{<}(I^{(q)})^r in_{<}(I^{(q-1)})^{m-r}]_{a+m} \subseteq [in_{<}((I^{(q)})^r in_{<}((I^{(q-1)})^{m-r}))]_{a+m} \subseteq [in_{<}((I^{(q)})^r (I^{(q-1)})^{m-r})]_{a+m}$ that $[I^{(a)}]_{a+m} \subseteq (I^{(q)})^r (I^{(q-1)})^{m-r}$. \square

Proposition 5.2, 5.5, and 5.7 help us completely determine the elements in the symbolic power of $I_{2,d}$.

Example 5.8. Let $I = I_{2,d}$ where $d \geq 4$.

- (1) When $a = 3$, for $d = 4, 5$, $I^{(3)} = I^{(2)}I$ and for all $d \geq 6$,

$$I^{(3)} = I^{(2)}I + I_4 \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_{d-2} \\ t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & \cdots & t_{d-1} \\ t_3 & t_4 & t_5 & \cdots & t_d \\ t_4 & t_5 & t_6 & \cdots & t_{d+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- (2) When $a = 4$, since $I^{(2)}I^2 \subseteq (I^{(2)})^2$, for $d = 4, 5, 6, 7$, $I^{(4)} = (I^{(2)})^2$ and for all $d \geq 8$,

$$I^{(4)} = I^{(4)} = (I^{(2)})^2 + I_5 \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_{d-3} \\ t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & \cdots & t_{d-2} \\ t_3 & t_4 & t_5 & \cdots & t_{d-1} \\ t_4 & t_5 & t_6 & \cdots & t_d \\ t_5 & t_6 & t_7 & \cdots & t_{d+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposition 5.9. Let $I = I_{2,4}$, $f = t_3^3 - 2t_2t_3t_4 + t_1t_4^2 + t_2t_5 - t_3t_4t_5$.

- (1) $I^{(2)} = I^2 + (f)$.
(2) $I^{(2k+1)} = I^{(2k)}I$ for all $k \geq 1$.
(3) $I^{(2k+2)} = (I^{(2)})^{k+1}$ for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof. (1) As is proved earlier, $I^{(2)} = I^2 + I_3 \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 \\ t_2 & t_3 & t_4 \\ t_3 & t_4 & t_5 \end{bmatrix}$.

- (2) We have $I^{(2k)}I \subseteq I^{(2k+1)}$ by lemma 3.5.

The least degree of an element in $I^{(2k+1)}$ is $\lceil \frac{6(2k+1)}{4} \rceil = 3k+2$.

Consider $[I^{(2k+1)}]_{2k+1+m}$ where $k+1 \leq m \leq 2k$. Then $\frac{2k+1+2k-1}{2k} \leq \frac{2k+1+m-1}{m} \leq \frac{2k+1+k+1}{k+1}$, so $\lceil \frac{2k+1+m-1}{m} \rceil = 2$. By proposition 5.7, we have $[I^{(2k+1)}]_{2k+1+m} \subseteq (I^{(2)})^{2k+1-m} I^{2m-2k-1} \subseteq (I^{(4k+2-2m)}) I^{2m-2k-1} \subseteq I^{(2k)}I$. By proposition 5.2, $[I^{(2k+1)}]_{\geq 4k+2} \subseteq I^{2k+1} = I^{2k}I \subseteq I^{(2k)}I$, so $I^{(2k+1)} \subseteq I^{(2k)}I$.

Therefore, $I^{(2k+1)} = I^{(2k)}I$.

- (3) We have $(I^{(k+1)})^2 \subseteq I^{(2k+2)}$.

The least degree of an element in $I^{(2k+2)}$ is $\lceil \frac{6(2k+2)}{4} \rceil = 3k+3$.

Consider $[I^{(2k+2)}]_{2k+2+m}$ where $k+1 \leq m \leq 2k+1$. Then $\lceil \frac{2k+1+m-1}{m} \rceil = 2$. By proposition 5.7, we have $[I^{(2k+2)}]_{2k+2+m} \subseteq (I^{(2)})^{2k+2-m} I^{2m-2k-2} =$

$(I^{(2)})^{2k+2-m}(I^2)^{m-k-1} \subseteq (I^{(2)})^{k+1}$. By proposition 5.2, $[I^{(2k+2)}]_{\geq 4k+4} \subseteq I^{2k+2} \subseteq (I^{(2)})^{k+1}$, so $I^{(2k+2)} \subseteq (I^{(2)})^{k+1}$.
Therefore, $I^{(2k+2)} = (I^{(2)})^{k+1}$.

□

Proposition 5.10. Let $I = I_{3,3}$, the least degree of an element in $I^{(a)}$ is $\lceil \frac{4a}{3} \rceil$.

Proof. $I = I_2 \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & t_5 & t_6 \\ t_2 & t_4 & t_5 & t_7 & t_8 & t_9 \\ t_3 & t_5 & t_6 & t_8 & t_9 & t_{10} \end{bmatrix}$.

Choose graded lexicographic order for monomials so that the initial ideal

$$\begin{aligned} in_{<}(I) = & (t_1 t_4, t_1 t_5, t_1 t_6, t_1 t_7, t_1 t_8, t_1 t_9, t_1 t_{10}, t_2 t_5, t_2 t_6, t_2, t_7, t_2 t_8, t_2 t_9, t_2 t_{10}, \\ & t_3 t_7, t_3 t_8, t_3 t_9, t_3 t_{10}, t_4 t_6, t_4 t_8, t_4 t_9, t_4 t_{10}, t_5 t_8, t_5 t_9, t_5 t_{10}, t_7 t_9, t_7 t_{10}, t_8 t_{10}) \end{aligned}$$

is radical. The primary decomposition of $in_{<}(I)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} in_{<}(I) = & (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_7, t_8) \cap (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_7, t_{10}) \cap (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_9, t_{10}) \\ & \cap (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_8, t_9, t_{10}) \cap (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_6, t_8, t_9, t_{10}) \cap (t_1, t_2, t_4, t_7, t_8, t_9, t_{10}) \\ & \cap (t_1, t_2, t_6, t_7, t_8, t_9, t_{10}) \cap (t_1, t_5, t_6, t_7, t_8, t_9, t_{10}) \cap (t_4, t_5, t_6, t_7, t_8, t_9, t_{10}) \end{aligned}$$

so its symbolic power is

$$\begin{aligned} in_{<}(I)^{(a)} = & (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_7, t_8)^a \cap (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_7, t_{10})^a \cap (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_9, t_{10})^a \\ & \cap (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_8, t_9, t_{10})^a \cap (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_6, t_8, t_9, t_{10})^a \cap (t_1, t_2, t_4, t_7, t_8, t_9, t_{10})^a \\ & \cap (t_1, t_2, t_6, t_7, t_8, t_9, t_{10})^a \cap (t_1, t_5, t_6, t_7, t_8, t_9, t_{10})^a \cap (t_4, t_5, t_6, t_7, t_8, t_9, t_{10})^a \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $f \in I^{(a)}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree D .

Then $in_{<}(f) = \prod_{i=1}^{10} t_i^{a_i} \in in_{<}(I^{(a)}) \subseteq in_{<}(I)^{(a)}$, so as is in the proof of 5.2, we

$$\text{have } \begin{cases} a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_7 + a_8 \geq a \\ a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_9 + a_{10} \geq a \\ a_1 + a_2 + a_6 + a_7 + a_8 + a_9 + a_{10} \geq a \\ a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + a_7 + a_8 + a_9 + a_{10} \geq a \end{cases}, \text{ i.e. } \begin{cases} a_6 + a_9 + a_{10} \leq D - a \\ a_6 + a_7 + a_8 \leq D - a \\ a_3 + a_4 + a_5 \leq D - a \\ a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \leq D - a \end{cases}.$$

Thus, $D = \sum_{i=1}^{10} a_i \leq 4D - 4a$ and then $D \geq \lceil \frac{4a}{3} \rceil$.

We have $f = t_5^4 - 2t_4 t_5^2 t_6 + t_4^2 t_6^2 + t_3 t_5 t_6 t_7 - t_2 t_6^2 t_7 - 2t_3 t_5^2 t_8 - t_3 t_4 t_6 t_8 + 3t_2 t_5 t_6 t_8 + t_3^2 t_8^2 - t_1 t_6 t_8^2 + 3t_3 t_4 t_5 t_9 - 2t_2 t_5^2 t_9 - t_2 t_4 t_6 t_9 - t_3^2 t_7 t_9 + t_1 t_6 t_7 t_9 - t_2 t_3 t_8 t_9 + t_1 t_5 t_8 t_9 + t_2^2 t_9^2 - t_1 t_4 t_9^2 + t_3 t_4^2 t_{10} + t_2 t_4 t_5 t_{10} + t_2 t_3 t_7 t_{10} - t_1 t_5 t_7 t_{10} - t_2^2 t_8 t_{10} + t_1 t_4 t_8 t_{10} \in I^{(3)}$.

(1) For $a = 3k - 2, k \in \mathbb{N}$, choose any $g \in [I]_2$. Then gf^{k-1} has degree $2 + 4(k-1) = 4k - 2$ and $gf^{k-1} \in I^{(1)}(I^{(3)})^{k-1} \subseteq I^{(1+3k-3)} = I^{(a)}$.

(2) For $a = 3k - 1, k \in \mathbb{N}$, choose any $h \in [I_3 \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & t_5 & t_6 \\ t_2 & t_4 & t_5 & t_7 & t_8 & t_9 \\ t_3 & t_5 & t_6 & t_8 & t_9 & t_{10} \end{bmatrix}]_3 \subseteq I^{(2)}$. Then hf^{k-1} has degree $3 + 4(k-1) = 4k - 1$ and $hf^{k-1} \in I^{(2)}(I^{(3)})^{k-1} \subseteq I^{(2+3k-3)} = I^{(a)}$.

(3) For $a = 3k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, f^k has degree $4k$ and $f^k \in (I^{(3)})^k \subseteq I^{(3k)} = I^{(a)}$.

Therefore, the least degree of an element in $I^{(a)}$ is $\lceil \frac{4a}{3} \rceil$. □

Using the same method as in this proof, we can show that in graded lexicographic order the least degree of an element in $in_{<}(I_{3,4})^{(a)}$ is $\lceil \frac{6a}{5} \rceil$ with $t_1 t_4 t_6 t_{11} t_{13} t_{15} \in in_{<}(I_{3,4})^{(5)}$.

Proposition 5.11. $in_{<}(I_{n,d}^{(2b-1)}) \subseteq in_{<}(I_{n,d}^b)$ for all $b \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Since $in_{<}(I_{n,d})^b \subseteq in_{<}(I_{n,d}^b)$ by lemma 3.7, it is enough to show $in_{<}(I_{n,d}^{(2b-1)}) \subseteq in_{<}(I_{n,d})^b$ and we prove this by induction.

For $b = 1$, we have $in_{<}(I_{n,d}^{(1)}) = in_{<}(I_{n,d})$.

Suppose $in_{<}(I_{n,d}^{(2b-1)}) \subseteq in_{<}(I_{n,d})^b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $g = in_{<}(f)$ for some $f \in I_{n,d}^{(2b+1)}$. By Zariski-Nagata theorem, $f \in I_{n,d}$ and then $g \in in_{<}(I_{n,d})$. Since $in_{<}(I_{n,d})$ is a monomial ideal generated by some quadratic forms, we have $g \in (t_\alpha t_\beta)$ for some $t_\alpha t_\beta \in in_{<}(I_{n,d})$. By Zariski-Nagata theorem, we have $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t_\alpha \partial t_\beta} \in I_{n,d}^{(2b-1)}$, so $\frac{g}{t_\alpha t_\beta} = in_{<}(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t_\alpha \partial t_\beta}) \in in_{<}(I_{n,d}^{(2b-1)})$. Then $\frac{g}{t_\alpha t_\beta} \in in_{<}(I_{n,d})^b$ by induction hypothesis, which implies $g \in in_{<}(I_{n,d})^{b+1}$. \square

Proposition 5.12. $I_{2,d}^{(2b-1)} \subseteq I_{2,d}^b$ for all $b \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By proposition 5.11, we know the minimal degree of elements in $I_{2,d}^{(2b-1)}$ is $\geq 2b$, so $I_{2,d}^{(2b-1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{2b}$. Also, $I_{2,d}^{(2b-1)} \subseteq I_{2,d}^{(b)}$ since $2b - 1 \geq b$.

Therefore, we have $I_{2,d}^{(2b-1)} \subseteq I_{2,d}^{(b)} \cap \mathfrak{m}^{2b} = I_{2,d}^b$ for all $b \in \mathbb{N}$. \square