

LSA SG Regular Meeting (via Zoom)

April 14, 2021

8:00 PM

[For the previous week's meeting Agenda. click here](#)

1. Call to Order
2. Opening Roll Call ( ) - Present, E - Excused, A- Absent

i.	Watt, Tyler		xxvi.	Tam, Peter	
ii.	Farah, Zackariah		xxvii.	Chakraborti, Aditya	E
iii.	Rifkin, Cameron		xxviii.	Addison, William	
iv.	Cohen, Jacob		xxix.	Tedrick, Vincenzo (Vince)	
v.	Theuerkauf, Caroline		xxx.	Neff, Ethan	
vi.	Rich, Sophia		xxxi.	Burgaj, Roland (Ron)	E
vii.	Salino, Sarah		xxxii.	Saah, Abednego	
viii.	Goodsell, Alli		xxxiii.	Vidhya-Ponraj, Mithun	
ix.	Hamamy, Salma	E	xxxiv.	Juliao, Jordan	
x.	Stevens, Major		xxxv.	Ramos, Lisette	
xi.	McLean, Claudia		xxxvi.	Smith, Lauren	
xii.	Rothstein, Kayla		xxxvii.	Crews, Tiffany	
xiii.	Williams, Erik		xxxviii.	Berglund, Mollie	
xiv.	Dai, Carol	E	xxxix.	Crespo, Maleny	
xv.	Fioritto, Tyler	E	xl.	Hwang, Tae Won (Danny)	
xvi.	Nguyen, Alex			A	
xvii.	Altimemy, Zahraa	E	xli.	Mulliken, Ryan	A
xviii.	List, M. Riley		xlii.	Pierangeli, Cody	
xix.	Jonaitis, Cody		xliii.	Sommerfeld, Elizabeth	A
xx.	Stoneman, Max		xliv.	Wojtara, Magda	
xxi.	Slack, Caroline		xlv.	Torres, Gabriela	
xxii.	Gunasekaran, Gaurie		xlvi.	Colvin, Anna	E
xxiii.	Zhao, Suki		xlvii.	Orleans, Louis	
xxiv.	Kado, Lydia				
xxv.	Nelson, Erica				

3. Announcements
  - a. [Notice of Minor Bylaw Edits](#) (Watt)

- i. Good evening, There was one minor bylaw amendment. Just moving a section of bylaws. Whenever minor things in the bylaws are changed it can be done by those authorized and can be rejected by a majority of the body.
  - b. McLean: If you wan't met with your coffee date please do so, and remember to take a picture.
  - c. Farah: I'm going to be updating office access. I'm making the request just after this meeting please fill out this link <https://forms.gle/wGKBpWXRUBj7k4T8>. If you already filled it out once you don't have to again.
  - d. Ramos: Vaccine reminder. The US is pausing Johnson and Johnson. The University has replaced with Pfizer.
  - e. Juliao: If you would like to be nominated for STAAR vice chair just DM me and I'll nominate you. Also, come to CATNIS Office Hours from 8-9am
  - f. Tedrick: LAST Force got our results \$1,173.17 from Giving Blue Day!
  - g. Ramos: Theta Sigma is having an ice cream event from 3-5pm on the diag next Wednesday.
  - h. Watt: Starting tomorrow there will be an official business groupme made. I will be inviting all the current members to join. If you have any questions feel free to DM me.
4. Approval of the Agenda
  - a. *Motion: Crespo*
  - b. *Second: Ramos*
5. Approval of Previous Minutes
  - a. *Motion: Ramos*
  - b. *Second: Vidhya-Ponraj*
6. Constituents' Time
  - a.
7. Guest Speaker(s)
  - a. Assistant Dean Kelly Maxwell (LSA SG Advisor) ([kmax@umich.edu](mailto:kmax@umich.edu))
    - i. Hello everyone!! I'm happy to be back. I'm Kelly Maxwell, I'm your faculty advisor. I wanted to introduce myself to those who I haven't met yet so you feel free to talk to me. I have been at UofM for 20 years, last 3 I have been working

with LSA SG. For about 20 years, I was part of IGR - that's the lens I've come to. Personally, my wife and 15 year old son live with me in Ypsilanti. I really want to reiterate how important the student voice is to the Dean's office. Sometimes we want to make change but we face a lot of opposition so to have your voices at the table has made all the difference. One example of that is the P/F and W deadlines. LSA SG work around class on election day led to Dean Curzan sending an email based on the work we all did. What brought you to SG and what do you want to accomplish?

1. Ramos: I liked the idea of getting into current events and projects LSA is hoping to implement, and putting in my input. I wanted to offer different perspectives from my identities.
  2. Addison: I'm a freshman. I was inspired by a high school teacher to joining local government. I saw LSA SG as a place to get some training for the real world. I've come here with a focus on making sure we are a community on campus.
  3. Tam: I joined because with so much happening around us, I found it important that the spaces around us are connected. I thinking looking at the projects going ahead we'll accomplish that goal.
  4. Vidhya-Ponraj: I'm also a freshman. I really appreciate that LSA SG has a place for us freshmen, and it's been hard to adjust to a new environment. LSA SG was a great way for me to help find my own place, and help other freshmen and transfer students to also find their place.
  5. Burgaj: The reason I ran for government is because I'm a pre-law student, and see places where social justice can change, and I want to be part of that positive change.
  6. Kado: I'm also a freshman. I'm trying to pursue a pre-law degree. I figured LSA SG because I wanted to help foster a place for a community, and it was a great opportunity for leadership.
- ii. Thank you for introducing yourselves to me. If you'd like to get together at some

point I'd love to.

- b. Election Director Riya Gupta ([riyagup@umich.edu](mailto:riyagup@umich.edu)) and Assistant Election Director Danielle DiFranco ([danidi@umich.edu](mailto:danidi@umich.edu))
  - i. [Election Results](#)
  - ii. [Election Directors' Report](#)
  - iii. We're here to talk about our reports - specifically the recommendations for future cycles. Quick congrats to everyone who was elected, and those who helped in the election cycle. We recommend everyone continues to collaborate with Kelly Maxwell to advertise the election. Additionally, using Zoom transcripts was helpful. Having a groupchat with exec, the ED and assistant ED is helpful. Continue using social media to promote the election. We found some things in the election code that were confusing. 1. The financial disclosure form ( we think there's a discrepancy between having to submit the form but having no punishment if they don't). 2. Clarity in endorsement forms (have it so organizations have to fill it out but not individuals). 3. The presidential debate should be codified (especially format and the questions asked are about the platform and their goals).
    - 1. Ramos: I do think there should be a penalty if you don't submit your financial disclosure form because we want to keep things fair. During the election when people ask questions, I think it's okay for someone to ask questions about someone's previous actions in government so that we can hold people accountable.
    - 2. Watt: Thank you both for coming tonight. Do you think it was a helpful switch from demerits to violations?
  - iv. Yes it was a good change and super helpful. The questions should be based in facts, and this is why we think that the questions should be based on involvement and about the platform.
    - 1. Stoneman: As new JEECAT chair we've been looking at the financial disclosure process. Do you feel the current system has been working or do you think a greater change is needed?

- v. We thought it was okay. We made a blank spreadsheet to keep track of everyone's financial disclosure form. Also, we'd be willing to meet with JEECAT to talk about everything further.
  - 1. Watt: Do you know approximately how many people come to the polling site? In in-person years do you think more polling sites with cookies would be helpful?
- vi. I think we had about 200 people coming to vote. We modeled our polling station like previous years. We think adding more polling stations would be beneficial, e.g. on the diag. Shoutout to Gaby for helping us through the entire process - we couldn't have done this without here. Thank you for the opportunity to be your elections directors!

#### 8. Executive Officer Reports

a. President - Tyler Watt ([trwatt@umich.edu](mailto:trwatt@umich.edu))

- i. \*Announcement\*
- ii. I do want to emphasize some things. 1. Do more to reach out to people all the time. I want to make sure I'm accessible to new members of government - you've all thrived very well and I'm impressed. Hoping to have some office hours next week. I appreciate every one of you, and hope to get some stuff done in the semester. Let me know your thoughts about the summer. I'm happy working with all of you.
  - 1. Ramos: When we talk about bylaws, it'd be good to summarize them. We don't always have the ability to go through everything sometimes.
- iii. I think there's a lot about bylaws that can be inaccessible - they're intimidating. In the future, have sponsors include a summary and what they want to do with it to help everything be digested better.
  - 1. Burgaj: When you talked to CSG, did you guys discuss the possibility of keeping the P/F and W in the future?
- iv. Regarding the meeting, I was only able to sat in for the first 15 minutes because of the LSAT, but you can ask Zack about it.

b. Vice President - Zackariah Farah ([zfarah@umich.edu](mailto:zfarah@umich.edu))

- i. Burgaj: Same question I asked to president.
  1. Farah: That's a good point about making the changes permanent. We had briefly discussed it and we look forward to contacting Provost to discuss this further and to make that policy shift.
    - a. Burgaj: Is there anything we can do as an elected rep?
    - b. Farah: I would encourage you to reach out to ARO, and to write a declarative resolution on the matter.
- c. [Treasurer](#) - Cameron Rifkin ([crifkin@umich.edu](mailto:crifkin@umich.edu))
  - i. Farah: How should representatives reach out to you.
  - ii. Rifkin: Anyone can reach me through email, phone, groupme.
  - iii. Watt: Given our limitations to summer funding, is there a way for people to get funding later in the summer
  - iv. Rifkin: that would be difficult because it has to be decided on now. If you do have a summer project try to look at costs now.
- d. [Counsel](#) - Jacob Cohen ([jacohen@umich.edu](mailto:jacohen@umich.edu))
  - i. Watt: Any idea about how orientation may be looking the summer/fall?
    1. Cohen: I'm working on that. A thing we've done in the past is having a resolution writing workshop - most likely first IRC of the semester (we'll write a resolution together). Also, sending out a google slides of resolution procedures. I will be reaching out to people, and answering any questions. Something I haven't considered yet is something over the summer, but I can come up with something and send resources out.
- e. [Academic Relations Officer](#) - Caroline Theuerkauf ([theurkc@umich.edu](mailto:theurkc@umich.edu))
  - i. \*Announcement\*
  - ii. We are going to have AAC office hours this Friday and next Friday 2-3pm.
  - iii. Crespo: Caroline did you know how amazing you are?
  - iv. Watt: Regarding propositions for Dean's list idea?
    1. Theuerkauf: We're meeting with Kelly Tuesday to talk about that.
- f. [External Relations Officer](#) - Sophia Rich ([sserich@umich.edu](mailto:sserich@umich.edu))
  - i. COMM is here to help. We made a google form for all events you may need so

we can make you a graphic!

- ii. Zhao: You talked about CSG about the issue with facebook groups, what's the issue?
  - 1. Rich: Some facebook groups is controlled by a third-party site are asking for memberships costs to be part of facebook group for graduating classes. Possibility of working together to create own facebook groups without fees.
  - 2. Ramos: There are facebook groups without costs that exist.

Student Life Relations Officer - Sarah Salino ([smsalino@umich.edu](mailto:smsalino@umich.edu))

- g. Secretary - Alli Goodsell ([goodsela@umich.edu](mailto:goodsela@umich.edu))
    - i. \*Announcement\*
    - ii. Chairs and Vice chairs please keep up with putting your minutes and general reports in your folder - I can't do a portion of my job if you aren't doing that. You're all amazing and beautiful.
    - iii. Burgaj: With the attendance tracker will you correct my name to Roland?
      - 1. Goodsell: Yes, I will!
9. Committee Reports (in alphabetical order)
- a. Budget Allocations Committee - Louis Orleans ([lorleans@umich.edu](mailto:lorleans@umich.edu))
    - i. Farah: All of these funding recommendations looking amazing. I'm a bit concerned about the amount of money we'll have going into summer. Do you know how much money will be left over?
      - 1. Orleans: Everything is within BACs budget - we have a surplus budget.
      - 2. Rifkin: BAC has a surplus so they should be able to cover this with the Winter budget without any problems.
    - ii. Crespo: It says funds requested can be requested for speaker gifts?
      - 1. Orleans: It's not within our realm to fund that. Organizations would have to do that themselves.
      - 2. Rifkin: CCI has a whole department that will offer grants for that sort of thing.
  - b. Committee Advocating for Transfer, Nontraditional, and International Students - Jordan

Juliao ([jjuliao@umich.edu](mailto:jjuliao@umich.edu))

- i. Zhao: Where is the application?
    1. Juliao: I believe it's linked on the CATNIS website
  - ii. Watt: Regarding the office hours, do you plan on continuing that over the summer
    1. Juliao: Yes, but the time and everything may be subject to change.
- c. [Diversity Affairs Committee](#) - Gaurie Gunasekaran ([gaurie@umich.edu](mailto:gaurie@umich.edu))

#### 10. Task Force and Subcommittee Reports

- a. [Appointments Subcommittee](#) - Claudia McLean ([mclaudia@umich.edu](mailto:mclaudia@umich.edu))
  - i. McLean: There is a social group chat now. Super unofficial, just a bunch of people with common interests. Coffee chats will also happen next week so make sure you are doing your coffee dates this week.
- b. [Health Subcommittee](#) - Gaurie Gunasekaran ([gaurie@umich.edu](mailto:gaurie@umich.edu))
- c. [Judiciary Establishment and Election Code Amendment Task Force](#) - Max Stoneman ([maxstone@umich.edu](mailto:maxstone@umich.edu))
- d. [LSA Annual Scholarship Task Force](#) - Vince Tedrick ([vtedrick@umich.edu](mailto:vtedrick@umich.edu))
  - i. Watt: Are you doing anything over the summer?
  - ii. Tedrick: We want to work on getting an alumni list. We may consider meeting, but getting into August we want to work with restaurants and doing a fundraiser in the fall.
- e. [Subcommittee on Technology, Advising, and Academic Resources](#) - Jordan Juliao ([jjuliao@umich.edu](mailto:jjuliao@umich.edu))
- f. [Taking Responsibility for the Earth and Environment Subcommittee](#) - Erik Williams ([werik@umich.edu](mailto:werik@umich.edu))

#### 11. Individual Representative Reports

#### 12. Motion to amend the agenda to remove “postponed until next week” for items A and B.

- a. Motion: Watt
- b. Second: Farah

#### 13. Appointments, Elections, and Nominations

- a. STAAR Vice Chair

- i. Nomination: Juliao (DECLINED 4/7)
    - ii. Nomination: Stevens (DECLINED)
    - iii. Nomination: Stoneman (DECLINED 4/7)
    - iv. Nomination: Slack (DECLINED 4/14)
    - v. Nomination: Pierangeli (ACCEPTED) CONFIRMED
    - vi. Nomination: Crespo (ACCEPTED)
    - vii. Nomination: Burgaj (DECLINED)
  - b. Taking Responsibility for the Earth and Environment Subcommittee Vice-Chair-
    - i. Nomination: List (ACCEPTED) CONFIRMED
    - ii. Nomination: Williams (DECLINED 4/7)
    - iii. Nomination: Stevens (DECLINED)
    - iv. Nomination: Rothstein (DECLINED)
    - v. Nomination: Jonaitis (DECLINED 4/14)
  - c. Motion to approve List by vote of acclamation
    - i. Motion: Burgaj
    - ii. Second: Neff
      - 1. Approved
  - d. BAC At-Large-Membership Nominations
    - i. Jee-in Kwon
    - ii. Ethan Reider
    - iii. Thomas O’Heney
    - iv. Parker Fiedrich
  - e. Motion to approve all nominations by vote of acclamation
    - i. Motion: Orleans
    - ii. Second: Slack?
      - 1. Approved
- 14. Budget Allocations Committee Recommendations
  - a. Indian American Student Association - \$1072
  - b. China Entrepreneurship Network - \$550
  - c. Creativities of Color - \$200
  - d. Orleans: These are the recommendations we decided on last Sunday when we met.
    - i. Watt: First, thank you for all the work BAC does. Regarding the Indian American Student Association, it was for costumes/t-shirts. This isn’t usually covered - how come now?
      - 1. Orleans: It functions both as promotional material and a focal point of the event itself.
    - ii. Burgaj: What is the total pull? I see this was an appeal, why was the original decision the way it was?
      - 1. Orleans: The appeal was more around the t-shirts because they didnt

initially explain the purpose of the t-shirts. For finance, that's a question for Cam.

2. Burgaj: Usually in advertising and marketing, promotion means earning a fund.
3. Rifkin: Marketing and advertising just means getting people to the events, but the events are free to everyone. Are you referring to the budget of the cycle?
4. Burgaj: What's the total amount this was taken out of?
5. Rifkin: Each cycle gets roughly \$8000.
6. Burgaj: What happens to excess funds?
7. Rifkin: Sometimes used for projects or rolled over.
8. Burgaj: Can we partially approve someone?
9. Rifkin: This isn't normal, but if we partially approve there's gotta be a good reason.

- e. Motion to call to question the BAC At-large nominations by acclamation
  - i. Motion: Watt
  - ii. Second: Cohen
  - iii. Consent
    1. Approved

15. Old Business (YES-NO-ABSTAIN)

- a. [B W2021.13 An Amendment to the Second Chapter of the Election Code Addressing Parties in LSA Student Government Elections](#)

- i. Stoneman: We talked about this one a lot on Sunday. We went over it again, and we brought in new eyes on it. Basically, we need to establish parties. You'll see that we layout this process and can't associate with CSG parties. Probably going to have to table this again because of our campaign finance regulations. The big change (reported expenditures), we want to bring in the pre-approval form to help smooth the process.

1. Ramos: Is there a reason we have parties?

- a. Stoneman: Having parties is out of our hands because our current ban on parties is technically not allowed. We've actually discussed giving parties a different title. I think it's something that's worth revisiting.

2. Burgaj: What was the previous reason why this wasn't brought up sooner?
  - a. Cohen: The government has has parties at one point and then got rid of them for utilitarianism concerns. There was a leave and let go attitude for a while - no one was challenging it. We were made aware last year that this was against the 1st amendment and it felt negligent to not change it now.
  - b. Watt: Last year people have been willing to challenge our elections. There's nothing stopping them from challenging an entire election process because of going against the rights of 1st amendment.
- ii. Motion to open debate for 5 minutes with 30 second speaking time.
  1. Motion: Addison
  2. Second: Watt
- iii. Stoneman (for): As it stands we need to let parties exist. To be honest, I am trying to table this so if you have any concerns we can definitely talk about it
- iv. Watt (for): We have an entire summer to work on this should it be tabled. I agree that we should address this now before it becomes an issue.
- v. Addison (for): It isn't drawing against pre-existing parties.
- vi. Motion to table this until September 1st
  1. Motion: Burgaj
  2. Second: Stoneman
- b. [B W2021.19 An Amendment to the Twelfth Chapter of the Bylaws Refining the Complaint Process of the Internal Review Committee](#)
  - i. Cohen: My intention is to table it this week so we can work on this over the summer. Refine our complaint process to pass through IRC.
  - ii. Motion to table this until September 1st.
    1. Motion: Burgaj
    2. Second: Cohen

- c. [B W2021.22 An Amendment to the Second Chapter of the Election Code Addressing Executive Ticket Campaign Funding](#)
  - i. Cohen: This is an idea to make funds \$250 funds available for executive ticket campaigning. Our intention is to table this. Trying to make government more accessible without misusing student funds.
  - ii. Motion to table until September 1st.
    - 1. Motion: Burgaj
    - 2. Second: Cohen
- d. [R W2021.11 A Resolution Adopting the LSA Student Judiciary Manual of Procedures](#)
  - i. Stoneman: This isn't finished yet, but a lot of people have gone through and continue to read through the judiciary procedures. My recommendation is to table this to work on it over the summer. I hope to meet with other leadership to work through this here.
    - 1. Watt: As of yesterday's CSG, we want to reevaluate based on their possible recommendations.
    - 2. Stoneman: I wasn't aware of that, but I can't say I'm surprised.
  - ii. Motion to table until Sept. 1st
    - 1. Motion: Cohen
    - 2. Second: Salino
- e. [B F2021.01 An Amendment to the Fifth Chapter of the Bylaws Holding Accountability for Damaging Conduct In Government Communication](#)
  - i. Torres: Hello everyone! This bylaw amendment attempts to address the issue of communication when we as representatives are engaging with each other, faculty and staff, or even constituents. As government leaders, we should hold ourselves to a high standard regarding respectful communication, and if we are informed that our words have a negative impact on others, we must acknowledge and educate ourselves to be better people and to be better representatives. In regards to the language in this bylaw amendment, I wanted to emphasize that we represent a diverse student body, and it is important that we echo diversity initiatives seen throughout past governments, past DAC leaderships, and other

DEI efforts on our campus. This amendment would only change instances in which an individual makes “hateful or inflammatory speech directly targeting individuals, communities, or identities”, that government members can be referred to the Bylaws 5.04.01, which states procedure to remove an individual for office. Bylaw 5.04.01 states that any elected or appointed representative “may be removed from office due to inappropriate actions that jeopardize governmental affairs.” I look forward to hearing your questions regarding this bylaw amendment, but want to notice the large amount of members who signed themselves as sponsors of the amendment. Currently we do have 21 sponsors, and I would appreciate it if anyone who is currently not signed on as a sponsor feels strongly about this amendment that they write their name in as a suggestion on the document. Lastly before I open to other sponsors, or questions if no other sponsor wishes to speak at this time, I wanted to note a potentially friendly amendment that has been mentioned to me previously. Currently, the language of the amendment states that this amendment applies in “All LSA SG events, meetings, and communications.” There have been comments to myself about adding an official criteria of “All official LSA SG events, meetings, and communications.” Although I feel that this language is not necessary to be placed in the bylaws, I would be open to making this change if our fellow government members feel this change would make the bylaw amendment more clear. I do want to see this bylaw passed tonight.

1. Saah: Can you help me understand the statement made?
2. Torres: We’re just discussing the bylaw at this time.
3. Saah: I think communications is one of key in organization in government. I think there should be modifications made because we have different understandings when communication is made.
4. Torres: I’m confused by the question and what part of the resolution you’re talking about.
5. Saah: We have various experiences in leadership, but the statement were pointing out about inappropriate statement. I think there should be some

modifications because humans write and perceive things differently. There should be no limitations. There should be some level of considerations or deep understand. We all have different perceptions about communication.

6. Ramos: I'm confused by what the previous speaker is saying. We do all have different perspectives, but people were hurt by things that were said and people were hurt, and this is why we feel the need to put our foot down. There has to be a line when someone who is hurting someone. You have to be conscious of that.
7. Torres: Regarding this bylaw, our intent is for hateful and inflammatory speech which could damage government affairs. I love having sponsors if you do agree with the resolution.
8. Crespo: I would love to be a sponsor. Some things are about perspective, but there's also discrimination. Considering the dominant narrative is systemic oppression. Despite intent, the impact is what matters. There should be an opportunity for that impact to be collected.
9. Neff: Has this been changed after the information from CCI was given to us.
  - a. Torres: Doesn't change the amendment from what I've seen. I have brought up the idea of a friendly amendment to add "official" LSA SG events to follow more closely to CCI recommendations.
10. Farah: Do you agree that a removal should be able to proceed if that is the advise from the University?
  - a. Torres: A meeting would be warranted to see why removed procedures cannot be done.
- ii. Salino: Can you clarify questions and comments versus debate?
  1. Rothstein: Questions and comments is about clarifying the bylaw. Debate is whether or not your for or against the bylaw.
  2. Saah: I think the U.S Constitution are globalize relations. Everyone has

his or her rights to freedom of speech. Speech cannot be infringed. I would recommend that this a resolution should be passed. There should be a chance of his or her statement to be clarified. Sometimes a statement may hurt other people based on how they themselves perceive that statement.

a. Torres: Regarding conversations with CCI, freedom of speech cant be suppressed. This is targeting if an individual is interruptive. This is for when an individual is making inappropriate actions that can jeopardize the government's affairs. It's not a freedom of speech issue.

3. Zhao: Once this is passed, actions can be taken?

a. Torres: This isn't regarding speech. It's regarding actions in government speeches.

4. Crespo: If I have a concern about XYZ, could this be brought to the attention of the board and acted on based on the current proposal?

a. Torres: This would be more of a question for rules people. I want to make sure we're still talking about the bylaw amendment. I'd be happy to talk to you about situations past this bylaw amendment.

5. Ramos: I know this about addressing inappropriate actions, can this bylaw really help us since the University is shutting it down because of freedom of speech?

a. Torres: This would emphasize the grounds in our bylaws that we do have this action we can take. This is not about freedom of speech. It's about disrupting the government through inappropriate speech or actions. If there is anything, just another emphasis on what can be considered possible action.

iii. Friendly amendments

1. Burgaj: Write "official" before LSA events,... on the bylaw.

a. Torres: This is friendly.

- iv. Motion to open for debate for 10 minutes, each person has 30 seconds to talk - rebuttals allowed.
  - 1. Motion: Ramos
  - 2. Second: Smith
- v. Motion to extend time by additional 15 minutes
  - 1. Motion: Cohen
  - 2. Second: Watt
- vi. Speaker's List
  - 1. Crespo (for): Disruptions effect members, time, and further meeting disruption. It can cause further negligence.
  - 2. Addison (for): This is conducting manner that includes pronouns., etc. This is not an infringement on free speech.
  - 3. Farah (for): Clarifying exactly what looks at warranting removed of a member, right now very vague. We would consult legal experts.
  - 4. Ramos (for): The GroupMe is a lot more informal, and if we can take care of situations that may come up.
  - 5. Saah (against): I recommend this resolution be suspended. Yes were part of a government but we have laws that govern us.
  - 6. Juliao (for): It's not targeting free speech, it's targeting hate speech within a group.
- vii. Move to call to question by roll call
  - 1. Motion: Watt
  - 2. Second: Crespo
  - 3. Objection: Burgaj
    - a. Aye's win, approved

i.	Watt, Tyler	Y	vi.	Rich, Sophia	Y
ii.	Farah, Zackariah	Y	vii.	Salino, Sarah	Y
iii.	Rifkin, Cameron	Y	viii.	Goodsell, Alli	Y
iv.	Cohen, Jacob	Y	ix.	Stevens, Major	Y
v.	Theuerkauf, Caroline	Y	x.	McLean, Claudia	Y

xi.	Williams, Erik	Y	xxiii.	Burgaj, Roland (Ron)	Y
xii.	Nguyen, Alex	Y	xxiv.	Saah, Abednego	A
xiii.	Jonaitis, Cody	Y	xxv.	Vidhya-Ponraj, Mithun	Y
xiv.	Stoneman, Max	Y	xxvi.	Juliao, Jordan	Y
xv.	Slack, Caroline	Y	xxvii.	Ramos, Lissette	Y
xvi.	Gunasekaran, Gaurie	Y	xxviii.	Smith, Lauren	Y
xvii.	Zhao, Suki	Y	xxix.	Crews, Tiffany	Y
xviii.	Kado, Lydia	Y	xxx.	Berglund, Mollie	Y
xix.	Nelson, Erica	Y	xxxi.	Crespo, Maleny	Y
xx.	Tam, Peter	Y	xxxii.	Tedrick, Vince	Y
xxi.	Addison, William	Y			
xxii.	Neff, Ethan	Y			

31-0-1 APPROVED

f. [B F2021.05 An Amendment to the Twentieth Chapter of the Bylaws Regarding the Limits of Resolutions](#)

- i. Torres: Be a sponsor. What this bylaw amendment says is that if there is a specific member being affected by a resolution to notify them that it is occurring.
  1. Burgaj: This amendment would say that for resolution to be passed the person being affected should be told ahead of time?
    - a. Torres: Yes
  2. Saah: Let us look ahead of the future. It is a good thing to come up with resolutions but that looks ahead of the future because in the future it won't be the same group, but this could affect those after us.
    - a. Torres: I don't see how this pertains to this bylaw amendment so I'm not sure how I could respond.
  3. Nelson: Let's just keep it on the present. Let's make sure everything has to do with this.
- ii. Friendly Amendments
  1. Neff: Add in a three day time period to inform the person before it is introduced. Just for more clarification.
    - a. Torres: I see that as friendly.
  2. Tedrick: Adding in "having it in some written form."

- a. Torres: I see it as friendly
  3. Juliao: Changing from 3 days to 72 hours.
    - a. Torres: I see that as friendly.
  4. Burgaj: Make an acknowledgement. Maybe after 72 hours with response.  
To make sure they received it. 72 hours with individual's recognition.
    - a. Torres: I would be concerned making this change that because people may not want to respond.
    - b. Burgaj: I just want to make sure that everyone's informed and isn't being pressured into anything.
    - c. Torres: I'm still concerned that someone could avoid responding if they don't want to take action. This is unfriendly.
  5. Zhao: We should clarify when exactly 72 hours starts. Before the general meeting or day of general?
    - a. Torres: This was introduced on Sunday so it'd be 72 hours before that.
  6. Watt: I added in 2 comments on the resolution ("prior to the commencement where the resolution is introduced")
    - a. Torres: that's friendly
  7. Burgaj: Adding 72 hours notified *through official channels*
    - a. Torres: That's friendly
- iii. Motion to call to question by acclamation
1. Motion: Cohen
  2. Second: Burgaj
    - a. Consent
  3. Carries, congrats to the sponsors

#### 16. New Business

- a. Motion to Amend the Budget and transfer \$6,000 from the Budget Allocations Committee to the LSA Annual Scholarship Task Force
  - i. *The Treasurer, BAC Leadership & LAST Force Leadership have all been in communication*

- ii. Rifkin: Both Chair of BAC and Last Force had the idea to transfer over money to make donation to laptop donation services.
- iii. Tedrick: We passed the resolution to give surplus to laptop loaning program. \$6,000 would go to LAST Force and then given to technology services so we can provide students with 8 laptops instead of 2 laptops.
  - 1. Watt: Once this money is dispersed and we receive fees, would there be enough for BAC to be fully funded in the fall?
    - a. Rifkin: If we didn't use the \$6,000 were to be put elsewhere there wouldn't be excess.
  - 2. Burgaj: Given the current prices of technology, I think \$6,000 can be used to buy more than 8 laptops?
    - a. Tedrick: The \$6,000 would buy 6 laptops. The model they choose isn't up to us.
  - 3. Salino: If we didn't use the \$6,000 on the laptops and put it elsewhere then we wouldn't have enough money, right? The money in the fall would be less than \$6,000?
    - a. Rifkin: We're postponing this until the fall so that we have enough money.
  - 4. Addison: Just to clarify, we don't specifically know what the money is spent on? Shouldn't we know?
    - a. Tedrick: Can you private message me on groupme so I can answer your questions.
  - 5. Ramos: There are cheaper laptops, but at the university there's high expectations. They probably feel pressure to get top of the line laptops so students don't fall behind.
    - a. Tedrick: I get what your saying. Macbooks are reliable. This is surplus so we wanted to help as students as we can rather than let things sit.
  - 6. Watt: Would you be able to summarize, should we make this \$6000 disbursement where would we be in the fall?
    - a. Rifkin: BAC had a surplus. We will still be in the positive figures so we should be good in the fall.
  - 7. Torres: How this one transfer would affect spring and summer projects?

- a. Rifkin: The \$6,000 is money we already have. The spring/summer budget will come from spring/summer students' tuition.
  8. Burgaj: I support this program in theory, but not in practice. We have to look at what others universities do. Other universities, they give you a macbook pro for the same price. I don't understand why our university has such a mediocre comparison. I'd be careful with your decision. We should be sitting down with them.
  9. Ramos: Mac is not user friendly. If most people use windows growing up and switching to Mac is not easy. If we reach out to them, we should organize that within SG. We should be careful but we should ask to switch to Windows.
  10. Saah: Who is our audience? What has been your research in order to make this information? Could you come out with research concerning this?
    - a. Tedrick: Audience is the student body and the LAST force focuses on students with financial issues. We just want to provide the financial opportunity for more students. We think it's a shame that it'll just sit there if we don't help the students.
  11. Cohen: This motion is debatable if people want to debate.
- iv. Motion to open floor to debate - 5 minutes, 30 seconds each
1. Motion: Rifkin
  2. Second: Vidhya-Ponraj
- v. Speakers' List:
1. Nguyen (for): Think it's a great idea. I think we need to make it option between windows and mac. There's no reason we shouldn't have the same option.
  2. Addison (Against): We could do twice as good if we can get more for our money. Our students need as much good as we can.
  3. Rifkin (for): This is an urgent concern, and in this day in age people need these resources available for them for spring/summer.
  4. Ramos (for/against?): We need to ask them to make it a scholarship so they can make it more of a choice.
  5. Farah (for): These programs are great. I do get the concerns. This could probably be mentioned at the first summer meeting.

6. Crespo (against): The program has already been in place and have enough computers. If we're talking about people who are economically disadvantaged - cutoff -
7. Juliao (for): We donating to the program that already exists, not asking to make changes to the program. This program is a huge help.
8. Orleans (for): This is to help students in financial need, and laptop share important. Not doing that would be a shame
- vi. Motion to extend time for 30 seconds each
  1. Motion: Ramos
  2. Second: Burgaj
- vii. Speaker's List
  1. Zhao (for): Mac is a valuable resort. We aren't forcing the macbook. The program is great
  2. Burgaj (against): Give them the money or buy laptops ourselves and help more people
  3. Abednego (for/against): We should asking ourselves what do students need and how are we going to present this.
  4. Crews (for): Students are aware of it and its accessible. If we already have a program set in place we should use it.
  5. Williams (against): We are unsure of our funding for the summer so I think it's important we have guaranteed money for over the summer. Until we have a better understanding we should have a better idea of where our budget is at.
- viii. Motion to extend time by 2 minutes
  1. Motion: Crespo
  2. Second: Ramos
- ix. Speakers list:
  1. Tedrick (for): There's no way we won't have money, we have secured money. I think this is a great way to better do our jobs.
  2. Salino (against): I'm opposed to the time, but not the donation itself.
- x. Motion to call to question by secret ballot
  1. Motion: Nguyen
  2. Objection: Crespo
    - a. Motion to table

- xi. Motion to table
  - 1. Motion: Crespo
  - 2. Second: none
    - a. Motion does not carry
- xii. Motion to call to question by secret ballot
  - 1. Motion: Nguyen
  - 2. Second: Rifkin
    - a. Consent
    - b. 19-9-3; this motion passes
- b. [B W2021.08 An Amendment to the Twelfth Chapter of the Bylaws to Extend the Life of the Judiciary Establishment and Election Code Amendment Task Force](#)
  - i. Stoneman: If we don't extend the time, JEECAT ends. No one wants to see the end. I did this myself and went above and beyond. If you really don't like it, just please do it for me and LSA SG. Do it for the election code. Do it for the Judiciary.
  - ii. Motion to call to question acclamation
    - 1. Motion: Watt
    - 2. Second: Williams
      - a. Consent
      - b. Aye's win, resolution passes
- c. [B F2021.09 An Amendment to the Second Chapter of the Bylaws Clarifying Standard Debate Procedures](#)
  - i. Cohen: In our debates, it can be confusing. I want to codify standard debate procedure.
    - 1. Burgaj: What about in situations where people are called by name?
      - a. Cohen: This would maintain no rebuttal.
    - 2. Watt: Could someone make an amendment to allow people to speak twice during debate? How would they do this?
      - a. Cohen: Seeing no language, in the spirit of the amendment I'd love to see that amendment made.
    - 3. Burgaj: You'd have to change the language in quorum in debate.
      - a. Cohen: There was no tie to rebuttal for those who are named.
      - b. Burgaj: Robert's Rules allow rebuttals.
  - ii. Friendly amendments
    - 1. Watt: 2.01.02 adding in "or the number of times a person may speak during debate"
      - a. Cohen: that's friendly
    - 2. Burgaj: sub-agenda usually has hyphen in it

- a. Cohen: that's friendly
  - iii. Unfriendly amendments
    1. Burgaj: Adding "If a member is called by name during debate or specifically targeted or identified, they shall be entitled to a rebuttal"
    2. Motion for debate for 2 minutes, 30 seconds each
      - a. Motion: Crespo
      - b. Second: Cohen
    3. Speaker's list:
      - a. Cohen (against): This is not a professional parliament. People are going to make a mistake. The amendment would cause us inconvenience
      - b. Burgaj (for): We all know Robert's Rules. If we don't allow for rebuttal that'll sensor you. It'll play this line game, and we don't want that.
      - c. Watt (against): allowing place for mistakes to be made, and that naming is still called to order.
      - d. Crews (against): If someone's name is spoken it usually isn't targeting so a rebuttal isn't necessary.
      - e. Crespo (for): That's a construct we're abiding by. I believe for the respect for the person being named that we're for this amendment
    4. Motion to call to question by secret ballot
      - a. Motion: Juliao
      - b. Second: Burgaj
        - i. Consent
        - ii. 12-21-1; this amendment fails to pass
    5. Burgaj: How do we have more votes than we have participants?
      - a. Farah: Sorry about that, sometimes when making the forms I forget to make it so that people can't vote twice. We can redo the vote.
      - b. Redo of motion to call to question the amendment by secret ballot
        - i. 10-18-1; this amendment fails to pass
  - iv. Motion to call to question to bylaw by acclamation
    1. Motion: Watt
    2. Second: Salino
      - a. Consent
      - b. Aye's win; bylaw passes

- d. [B F2021.10 An Amendment to the Second Chapter of the Refining Business Introduction Procedures](#)
- i. Cohen: Codify the statement of intentions when bringing up a motion just so the rest of the government is informed.
  - ii. Motion to call to question by acclamation
    1. Motion:
    2. Second: Farah
- e. [B F2021.11 An Amendment to the Fifteenth Chapter Bylaws Creating the Campus Conservation Corps Task Force](#)
- i. Williams: This bylaw amendment would create the campus conservation corps task force. This would be mostly taking place over the summer. Our campus is covered in trash - ugly and bad for ecological health. It's important for us to be able to organize students to tackle these issues. Would love to see this passed and should this pass would love to see some nominations. CSG and ESG will also be involved which is great for collaboration to tackle these ecological issues.
  - ii. Farah: It would exist throughout the summer and possibly continue into fall. We would be collaborating with CSG and ESG. This task force would consist of 2 appointees from CSG, LSA SG, and ESG, as well as our president and TREES leadership. It matters how healthy our environment is. Taking responsibility directly is a very positive thing for our and our university's image.
    1. Tedrick: Are the task force members the same people doing the work, or do they organize others?
      - a. Williams: The reason is to organize, also do volunteer work, but find others to do volunteer work. The more people we can get involved the better.
    2. Watt: Will there be non-voting members?
      - a. Williams: As it's currently written only calls for 9 sitting members who have rights to vote. Allows for any member of the student body to participate in the meeting, but they cannot vote on all issues.
    3. Burgaj: It seems that this task force is hands on, so what would happen to TREES?

- a. Farah: TREES won't be active throughout the summer and will still exist. The goal is to create a collaborative place for over the summer - so something temporary.
  - iii. Motion to vote by acclamation
    1. Motion: Williams
    2. Second: Slack
      - a. Consent
      - b. Aye's win, the amendment passes
- f. [R F2021.04 A Resolution Allocating Funding to the Spring/Summer Terms of LSA SG](#)
  - i. Rifkin: This amendment allows for summer SG to fund projects over the summer. The money we get for this allocation is from tuition of spring/summer term students. Hope to see a motion to suspend the rules and vote tonight.
    1. Ramos: People are upset for having to pay fees for needless resources. I think students would be upset were making them pay more
      - a. Rifkin: The fee is already \$0.75, and there is an event for over the summer that needs funding and can be used by students.
    2. Burgaj: Where is the funding going? What would happen if this doesn't tonight?
      - a. Rifkin: It's going to a summer fund. There would be no fund for summer if this doesn't pass.
    3. Farah: Would the \$0.75 still be collected even if this isn't passed?
      - a. Rifkin: It'll still be collected.
    4. Burgaj: Why this amount?
      - a. Rifkin: We usually get \$4000 over the summer, but then students can drop out and be refunded.
      - b. Burgaj: What happens to the difference?
        - i. Rifkin: This would be transitioned over to fall and rollover
  - ii. Motion to suspend the rules
    1. Motion: Rifkin
    2. Second: Cohen
    3. Watt: Adding commas for whereas clause and further resolved.
      - a. Rifkin: That's friendly.
    4. Torres: Last be it further resolved clause needs a period.
      - a. Rifkin: This is friendly
  - iii. Motion to call to question by acclamation
    1. Motion: Watt
    2. Second: Addison

- a. Consent
- b. Aye's win

17. Matters Arising

- a. State of the Government Discussion
  - i. [Anonymous Feedback Form](#)
  - ii. Motion to table this discussion
    - 1. Motion: Watt
    - 2. Second: Zhao
    - 3. Objection: Burgaj
      - a. Aye's win, motion passes
- b. Crespo: I am so disappointed in the responses of government members because I'm not a spokesperson on accountability, and I asked for an apology multiple times. I have been discriminated against and felt highly uncomfortable. The fact that I joined SG to be a representative for these identities yet I'm being pushed aside. However, this is impacting me and will leave this organization because that would be better for my mental health. This should not be debated. I'd rather take a risk and speak up and rather than staying quiet and letting this happen. I'm disappointed. I hope no other member ever has that feeling that I felt in that moment. I hope there is further conversation on accountability.
- c. Saah: I think we all tried to prepare ourselves for future greatness. It's unfortunate that my platform was misunderstand. I make my statement out of concern. It was not to bring everyone down, but we can all work together for fulfill the needs of the student body. People should be appropriate while they speaking. We should speak objectively, it is unfortunate that it was said it was hateful speech because we need psychological safety to forge the betterment of leaders. - cut off -
- d. Farah: I'd like to invite who was impacted to figure out a way to meet in a smaller group. Finding a different forum would be the best path forward. I would like to remind everyone that this is the last meeting before the summer. We have however decided to call a few summer meetings, the attendance requirements are a lot more lenient. There will be a lot of legislation and projects occurring over the summer, and you're more than welcome to contact Tyler or me.

18. Snaps

19. Closing Roll Call

i.	Watt, Tyler		xxv.	Nelson, Erica	E
ii.	Farah, Zackariah		xxvi.	Tam, Peter	
iii.	Rifkin, Cameron		xxvii.	Chakraborti, Aditya	E
iv.	Cohen, Jacob		xxviii.	Addison, William	
v.	Theuerkauf, Caroline		xxix.	Tedrick, Vincenzo (Vince)	
vi.	Rich, Sophia		xxx.	Neff, Ethan	E
vii.	Salino, Sarah		xxxi.	Burgaj, Roland (Ron)	
viii.	Goodsell, Alli		xxxii.	Saah, Abednego	
ix.	Hamamy, Salma	E	xxxiii.	Vidhya-Ponraj, Mithun	
x.	Stevens, Major		xxxiv.	Juliao, Jordan	
xi.	McLean, Claudia		xxxv.	Ramos, Lissette	
xii.	Rothstein, Kayla		xxxvi.	Smith, Lauren	
xiii.	Williams, Erik		xxxvii.	Crews, Tiffany	
xiv.	Dai, Carol	E	xxxviii.	Berglund, Mollie	
xv.	Fioritto, Tyler	E	xxxix.	Crespo, Maleny	E
xvi.	Nguyen, Alex		xl.	Hwang, Tae Won (Danny)	
xvii.	Altimemy, Zahraa	E		A	
xviii.	List, M. Riley	E	xli.	Mulliken, Ryan	A
xix.	Jonaitis, Cody		xlii.	Pierangeli, Cody	
xx.	Stoneman, Max		xliii.	Sommerfeld, Elizabeth	A
xxi.	Slack, Caroline		xliv.	Wojtara, Magda	
xxii.	Gunasekaran, Gaurie	E	xlv.	Torres, Gabriela	
xxiii.	Zhao, Suki		xlvi.	Colvin, Anna	E
xxiv.	Kado, Lydia		xlvii.	Orleans, Louis	E

20. Adjournment

- a. Motion: Zhao
- b. *Second*: Stoneman

Next Scheduled Meeting: Wednesday, September 1, 2021 at 8:00 PM

Format and location of meeting to be determined.



Summer meetings may be called by the Executive Board with a two-week notice.