LSA STUDENT GOVERNMENT
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

LSA SG Virtual Meeting
November 11, 2020

1) Call to Order
2) Opening Roll Call () - Present, E - Excused, A- Absent
      i) Those with voting rights (minus the person acting as chair)
      ii) Those without voting rights
  b) Bazzi, Selena ()
  c) Walker, Josiah ()
  d) McCaughey, Kathryn ()
  e) Watt, Tyler ()
  f) Colvin, Anna ()
  g) McKillop, Mary ()
  h) Torres, Gabriela ()
  i) Fivecoat, Chayton (e)
  j) Dalrymple, Timothy ()
  k) McLean, Claudia ()
  l) Diaz, Danae (e)
  m) Hwang, Tae Won (Danny) ()
  n) Rich, Sophia ()
  o) Salino, Sarah ()
  p) List, Matthew Riley ()
  q) Farah, Zackariah ()
  r) Goodsell, Allison ()
  s) Manikandan, Divya ()
  t) Cohen, Jacob ()
  u) Theuerkauf, Caroline ()
  v) Nelson, Erica ()
  w) Zhao, Suki ()
  x) Gunasekaran, Gaurie (e)
  y) Lobodzinski, Joseph ()
  z) Crespo, Maleny ()
  aa) Fioritto, Tyler ()
  bb) Kernis, Jacob ()
  cc) Hamamy, Salma ()
  dd) Pierangeli, Cody ()
  ee) Farmer, Adrianna (e)
  ff) Williams, Erik ()
  gg) Donahue, Thomas ()
  hh) Pratapa, Pranavi ()
  ii) Browdy, Wyatt ()
  jj) Mulliken, Ryan (x)

kk) Sommerfeld, Elizabeth ()

ll) Stoneman, Max ()

mm)   Rothstein, Kayla ()

nn) Prasad, Ajay ()

oo) Simmons, Natalie ()

pp) Wojtara, Magda ()

qq) Vincenzo Tedrick (A)

3) Announcements
    a) Torres: We are having a group discussion regarding orientation Friday at 5
    b) Watt: I am in the office. I have announcements about the election. The election is next thursday and Friday. Riya wants us to submit questions for the candidates forum. This is great way to get questions answered from candidates

4) Approval of the Agenda
    a) Motion to amend agenda: Torres
    b) Second: McCaughey
    c) *Motion: Rothstein*
    d) *Second: Williams*

5) Approval of Previous Minutes
    a) *Motion: McCaughey*
    b) *Second: Goodsell*

6) Constituents' Time
    a) Olivia Coleman
    b) Major Stevens
    c) Aditi Vijendra
    d) Eleanor Durkee
    e) Zahraa Altimemy

7) Guest Speaker(s)
    a) None scheduled

8) Executive Officer Reports
    a) President- Selena Bazzi (bazzisn@umich.edu)
        i) It's vice chair week so Josiah will be chairing
        ii) LSA SG Demographic Survey to be sent out soon
        iii) Please consider filling out this anonymous Fall Academic Workload Feedback survey https://forms.gle/VfRS7UMtxdbDDjDw8
            (1) Responses will be shared with administration
        iv) Resolution F2020.09 (Advocating Amendments to Academic Policy) has been shared with LSA Administration
            (1) Provost should respond to the specifics of the resolution very soon
    b) Vice President- Josiah Walker (jhwalker@umich.edu)
        i) My name is Josiah, I am the VP
        ii) University's plans for winter semester
            (1) All winter housing contracts were cancelled
            (2) If this affects you please get in contact with anyone in SG

       iii)   Goodsell: Did you know that SLC is working on a resolution condemning the housing decision

       iv)   Walker: I did not know that but that is exciting

c) Treasurer- Kathryn McCaughey (kdmccaug@umich.edu)

       i)   We will have a large surplus going into next semester

       ii)   If anyone wants to spend money in first week of January, let you know

       iii)   Trivia night update! We are proposing a few times

            (1) Friday at 9

            (2) Saturday at 6

            (3) Sunday at 6

                (a) McKillop: sunday at 6 may be best

d) Counsel- Gaurie Gunasekaran (gaurie@umich.edu)

       i)   IRC was exciting and busy. Discussed resolutions and amendments. Discussed AP/IB registration policy, Gaby will get into it later. This has to do with not counting AP/IB credits in calculating registration time.

       ii)   Redefining appointments committee, does not change function, just changing to a subcommittee

       iii)   Decorum in debate resolution

       iv)   Demerits policy for elections

e) Academic Relations Officer- Divya Manikandan (divmani@umich.edu)

       i)   AAC was really great this week. Spoke about the AP/IB resolution

       ii)   Curriculum committee updates about new courses, changes to transfer credit

       iii)   Kelly Maxwell came and guest spoke about study spaces, well-being, academic support

            (1) She confirmed that AP/IB resolution will likely pass within administration!

f) External Relations Officer- Claudia McLean (mclaudia@umich.edu)

       i)   COMM was amazing

       ii)   Apparel order form will be out soon and items will be shipped to everyone individually, fill out interest/subsidy form, ask Claudia or Mary if questions

       iii)   Worked on DC email, including fall academic workload survey, COVID testing info, new form for student orgs to fill out if they want LSA SG to highlight them, BAC funding, candidates forum, SHEI magazine

       iv)   Watt: could you give us a sneak peek of apparel options?

       v)   McClean: Light blue sweatshirt, yellow t-shirt, blue and yellow LSA SG face mask

       vi)   Farah: How much will sweatshirts cost?

       vii)   McKillop: depends on how many people order, right now looking at around $25/26, asking for a discount

g) Student Life Relations Officer- Sarah Salino (smsalino@umich.edu)

       i)   My name is Sarah I am a junior majoring in Psych

       ii)   SLC was great

       iii)   Update on care package project

             (1) Finished making and delivering care packages to north campus

             (2) I yield if anyone would like to fess up to random act of kindness

             (3) Worked on a virtual student involvement guide

      iv)   We are working on resolution calling on the university to require advanced notification of changes in housing protocol and condemning university

  h) Secretary- Chayton Fivecoat (cgfiveco@umich.edu)

      i)   Colvin: Update attendance in google drive, go to meetings

9) Committee Reports (in alphabetical order)

  a) Appointments Committee - Riley List (mlist@umich.edu)

      i)   Appointments is looking into a mentor/mentee bonding event or general bonding event after trivia night

      ii)   Putting together a form for appointed reps and new members about appointments process and transition into government

  b) Budget Allocations Committee- Cameron Rifkin (crifkin@umich.edu)

      i)   McCaughey: this coming week is BAC's final deadline for funding, if you are in an org or have friends in orgs please encourage them to apply for funding

      ii)   Reach out to Ajay or Cameron with questions

  c) Committee Advocating for Transfer Students- Joe Lobodzinski (joelobo@umich.edu)

      i)   We are introducing a bylaw amendment but will likely have it tabled for next week

             (1) Involves renaming CATS to CATNIS to include international and other non-traditional students to make CATS more inclusive

      ii)   Working with AAC on transfer credits

  d) Diversity Affairs Committee- Erica Nelson (ericanel@umich.edu)

      i)   Hwang: Thanks for coming to DAC, sorry for miscommunication, sent email message to wrong listserv.

      ii)   Selena and other members talked about the demographic survey which will be sent out soon

      iii) Defunding the police on campus

      iv)   Talking with Fiona Lee who is the director of DEI, talking about 5 year implementation plan

             (1) Focused on international students

             (2) Dining

             (3) More inclusive classes

      v)   Campus climate after the election

             (1) Worried about demonstrations coming to campus

      vi)   Hamamy: working on my platform making sure police can appropriately interact with students. They have been given more money when the University is low on money. Trying to ensure that officers are properly trained on bystander intervention, interactions without firearms, want to ensure safety on campus is accessible to all students, especially students of color

10) Task Force and Subcommittee Reports

  a) Election Code Review and Amendment Formation Task Force - Zackariah Farah

(zfarah@umich.edu)
- i)   Elections are a big undertaking, rules have not always been clear and needed to be changes
- ii) Talking about the demerit system and how we want to change, it is controversial and has a lot of influence, talked about what qualifies a demerit
- iii) Introducing two pieces of legislation
  - (1) Sending emails on listservs is no longer a demerit
  - (2) Extend ECRAFTF to next semester
- a) Health Subcommittee - Magda Wojtara (wojtaram@umich.edu)
  - i)   Health was great this week
  - ii) Thanks to everyone who helped with care packages
  - iii) Discussed video project, around subject of mental health
  - iv) Talked about moving back the gratitude challenge
    - (1) People submitting things they are grateful for and having a raffle, will take place in December
  - v)   Looked at health apparel
  - vi) Purchasing menstrual care products
- b) Subcommittee on Technology, Advising, and Academic Resources (STAAR) - Thomas Donahue (donahuet@umich.edu)
  - i)   Very productive meeting
  - ii) Discussed computing on campus, want to talk about mobile computing with guest speaker next week, michigan app is limited
  - iii) Talked about continuing virtual advising post COVID, great way of accessing advisors
  - iv) Make transition for registration easier, peer advisor for scheduling
  - v)   Reach out with any questions or attend STARR
- c) Taking Responsibility for the Earth and Environment Subcommittee- Natalie Simmons (nasi@umich.edu)
  - i)   Went over the PCCN project team recommendations, discussed transportation, student perspectives
  - ii) Working on a statement regarding recommendations once they are released for public comment
  - iii) Talked about BAC guidelines, SOFC has sustainability requirements for funded events. We think that is next step for government to be more sustainable, reached out to Ajay, hoping to talk to BAC
    - (1) Farah: after you go through PCCN reports, how will you give input?
    - (2) Simmons: include in the statement who it will be forwarded to, Mark Schlissel, project teams

11) Individual Representative Reports
- a) Caroline Slack
  - i)   absent
- b) George Asher
  - i)   absent

c) Ireoluwa Ayoola
   i) I am from the R and E subcommittee of curriculum committee. Did not meet this week. Went through 10 proposals last week and approved 9 of them. Interesting R and E classes.
      (1) Watt: thank you for coming tonight. What else has your committee accomplished?
      (2) Ayoola: approved many classes, started with discussion of whether R and E should be more focused on American issues. Need to define how to approach race and ethnicity often, leads to contention
d) Joseph Meade
   i) absent
e) Max Resnick
   i) absent
f) Riley Cain
   i) Representative on course approval subcommittee of curriculum committee. 7 proposals for new courses, new study abroad program, 13 modifications, 19 removals. Recommending that majority of changes be approved. Concerns about physics department, core courses are changing from 4 to 3 credits, confirming that they are re-evaluating major credit requirements
   ii) Watt: What else has this sub-committee done?
   iii) Cain: approving major and minors, talking about QMSS minor, designed for social science students but interested in data science. Used to only be open to social science students but now it is open to everyone. Meet for discussions as whole committee, talking about resources, evaluations of courses to make sure they are equitable
   iv) Farah: That was really great!
g) Sophia Rich
   i) I ran for elected rep last november. Major points are communication and support. Wanted to connect SG with other student orgs, covid has made this difficult, been working with COMM to connect virtually (ex. Student United Way). working with comm on a form for student groups so we can feature their events. Other platform point involved creating a campus wide mentor/mentee program so underclassman can receive advice. This has not been fully fleshed out, hoping to discuss it more in the future. Role as chair of appointments has allowed me to fulfill many goals I set out for this term.
   ii) Crespo: Thank you for interviewing me, it has been a great experience and I appreciate you work
h) Zackariah Farah
   i) I ran this past March for elected rep. Campaign points were mostly about sustainability. Everyone is concerned about future of planet, this is concerning as a student. I have talked in TREES about PCCN. Umich is a bubble and we tend to separate ourselves from greater Ann Arbor area. Want to work on collaboration between SG and city council and other outside bodies. Working on

resolution passed by a councilman that sets aside University housing for emergency homeless shelter. Hoping to work on resolution in LSA SG and CSG. I am also a member of CSG.

- ii) Member of COVId -19 CSG task force, had the pleasure of interviewing admin officials, head of UHS. Let me know if you have any questions or would like to collaborate
- iii) Crespo: I work at the hotel mentioned I am interested in collaborating with you
- iv) Farah: Thank you. I'm sure you could provide insight for us.
- v) Hamamy: I appreciate the work you are putting in. Homelessness is a huge issue especially with Covid and I hope we can work to help
- vi) Hsieh: Regarding shelter and housing project, what is something we can do?
- vii) Farah: hoping to have a resolution this weekend, tweeting about issue, figuring out which officials to send issue to
- viii) Hamamy: since you are part of CSG, will you be working with them on a resolution as well?
- ix) Farah: Yes hopefully we can pass resolutions in tandem

12) Appointments, Elections, and Nominations
13) Budget Allocations Committee Recommendations
14) Old Business (YES-NO-ABSTAIN)
- a) B F2020.14 Redesignating the Appointments Committee
  - i) Watt: move to bring to floor
  - ii) Fioritto: second
  - iii) Watt: the point of this is to re-designate appointments into a subcommittee, fit better into bloc structure. This will be effective at internals. This hasn't been changed since last week.
  - iv) Cohen: motion to end debate and call the question by vote of acclimation
  - v) Watt: second
  - vi) Motion passes
- b) R F2020.08 AP/IB to Not Count in Registration
  - i) Watt: move to bring to floor
  - ii) Cohen: second
  - iii) Torres: This resolution is about altering AP/IB credit so it doesn't count towards registration. This creates an equity issue. No substantial changes were made, kathryn made wording changes that make it sound better, I would love to see more sponsors
  - iv) Crespo: This would increase equity. I would like to thank everyone who spoke at the last meeting about their experiences
  - v) Cohen: something mentioned in AAC was that administration is very friendly to this and they are looking to see this happen
  - vi) Crespo: motion to close debate and call the question

      vii)   Rich: second

     viii)   Walker: how should voting be conducted?

      ix)   Crespo: acclimation

       x)   Motion passes unanimously

      xi)   Watt: I move that vote be unanimous in second

     xii)   Goodsell: second

c)   Motion to create a binding ballot question on a judiciary in LSA SG

       i)   *"Shall LSA SG clearly distinguish its executive, legislative, and judicial functions into three separate branches?"*

           (1) *Yes*

           (2) *No*

           (3) *Undecided/No Opinion*

      ii)   Watt: I move to bring this to the floor

     iii)   Crespo: second

     iv)   Watt: This was discussed in IRC, it will be put in the ballot as is currently stated. This does not explicitly state that we will make a court. This is binding so if the students decide they want to see it, we will purpose it. We need to vote on this tonight for inclusion on the November ballot

      v)   Torres: I would like to open debate

      vi)   Cohen: second

     vii)   Watt: move to set limits of debate to two minutes per person and each person may speak once

     viii)   McCaughey: point of personal privilege: I am assuming reponses on ballot would be yes or no?

      ix)   Watt: Yes

       x)   Cohen: point of order. Are we opening a speakers list?

      xi)   Watt: motion to debate and speakers list is the same motion. Anyone who wants to speak in favor or in opposition can do so. We first need to vote on rules of debate

     xii)   Torres: Sarah has a question

     xiii)   Salino: I can wait until debate

     xiv)   Walker: Can previous speaker re-state motion?

     xv)   Watt: move to set limits of debate to two minutes per person and each person may speak once

     xvi)   Williams: second

     xvii)   Walker: if you would like to speak for or against, please indicate in chat.

     xviii) Watt: it is essential to get student input. This has a far reaching impact on how LSA SG is structured. We could create a judiciary. It is important that students

have a say if we go this direction. On the ballot, there will be hyperlinks, people can educate themselves. Would not be opposed to undecided/no opinion option

xix) Cohen: Previous speaker addresses my concerns. Primary concern was the students might not have strong opinions. We were risking ambivalence . We have talked about this in ECRAFTF. I was concerned that we wouldn't receive fully developed feedback. I would like to see this passed with an undecided option and with a lot of information on the ballot

xx) Salino: I was going to ask if there is background information?

    (1) Watt: This would look like a constitutional amendment. Information linking to the constitution, bylaws, website.

xxi) Torres: Since this is binding, I am concerned. Examples: Michigan time to tower time. Students don't really know much about LSA SG structure. I don't know what separating into three branches would look like. This is a leading question. Many changes would need to be made to corroborate our stance should the students vote yes. This adds a lot of things we must do as a government.

xxii) Fioritto: Previous speakers said valid concerns. The background information would go a long way. This question is necessary to establish a judicial branch. Executive and legislative are intertwined. Third option would go a long way

xxiii) Crespo: There is still room for clarification, if done properly, students can look more in depth and students would be aware.

xxiv) Goodsell: Going off of previous speakers, if this is something that we need and students vote no, we can't do it. We need to consider the fact that people could say no and we couldn't do this

xxv) Cohen: point of information, would sponsors be open to changing this to establish a judiciary instead of separating three branches?

xxvi) Walker: is that a proper point of information?

xxvii) Watt: yes, I would be interested in discussing that further

xxviii) Torres: Once we vote on it, we can't amend it. Would the motion to amend precede the motion to call the question?

xxix) Walker: Someone could suspend the rules to go back to friendly amendments.

xxx) Watt: could you repeat what to do

xxxi) Walker: Someone could suspend the rules to go back to friendly amendments. Question could still be voted on tonight

xxxii) McCaughey: can you motion to amend a motion?

xxxiii) Watt: this is on the agenda as a motion, main motions are debatable and amendable

xxxiv) Dalrymple: motion to suspend the rules to go back to friendly and unfriendly amendments

xxxv) Second: Cohen

xxxvi)   Cohen: I don't know if this is friendly or unfriendly, would sponsors be open to changing this to establish a judiciary instead of separating three branches?

xxxvii)   Watt: we don't have sponsors, motion is voted on by the entire body.

xxxviii)   Bazzi: I would like to motion to move to voting by roll call

xxxix)   Dalrymple: second

xl)   Dalrymple: I think we are voting on motion to amend

xli)   Walker: can person who motioned clarify their intent?

xlii)   Bazzi: I meant to vote on question as a whole

xliii)   Torres: We ignored amendment

xliv)   Watt: we had a motion to amend that was after suspend the rules. Motion was then heard to call the question. Call the question was applied to motion to amend.

xlv)   Bazzi: I rescind my motion

xlvi)   McCaughey: we need a vote on the change that was proposed

xlvii)   Walker: was it a question or a motion?

xlviii)   Cohen: it was a question but I would now like to amend the motion to specify only the judicial branch. Can I have a second?

xlix)   Watt: Are you aware that a few things could happen. Once amended language is presented, that is debatable, language needs to be voted on. Then language on original question is changed and we need to then vote on the original question. We have a time limit.

l)   McCaughey: we have exceeded that time limit

li)   Torres: can we extend time? Motion to extend time by 5 minutes

lii)   Walker: second

liii)   Walker: we need to vote on the proposed language, then go through the process of voting on ballot question again

liv)   Fioritto: point of order. Is the 5 minutes from initial 30 minutes?

lv)   Walker: initial 30 minutes

lvi)   McCaughey: mwe have one minute left. Motion to extend time

lvii)   Watt: second

lviii)   Goodsell: motion for a two minute recess

lix)   Crespo: second

lx)   Cohen: motion to change the wording to read

lxi)   McCaughey: "do you believe LSA student gov should establish a judicial branch

lxii)   Cohen: potential judiciary in election disputes was the intention so I wanted it properly represented

lxiii)   Farah: is this debate?

lxiv)   Walker: we are talking about the proposed language

lxv)   Farah: I like it open-ended, should not be limited to election code

lxvi)   Walker: we have until 10:02

lxvii)	Cohen: I see that as friendly
lxviii)	Cohen: Proposed language is "Do you believe LSA Student Government should include and distinguish a judicial branch?"
lxix)	Tyler: I oppose a vote of consent
lxx)	Cohen: do you have a preference
lxxi)	Watt: no
lxxii)	McCaughey: vote by roll call?
lxxiii)	Walker: this is just a vote on the amended language
lxxiv)	Watt: as long as person is ok with this being a roll call vote
lxxv)	Cohen: I am okay with that
lxxvi)	Walker; motion to vote by roll call?
lxxvii)	Farah: second
lxxviii)	Bazzi, Selena ()- y
lxxix)	Walker, Josiah ()
lxxx)	McCaughey, Kathryn ()y
lxxxi)	Watt, Tyler ()y
lxxxii)	Colvin, Anna ()y
lxxxiii)	McKillop, Mary ()y
lxxxiv)	Torres, Gabriela ()y
lxxxv)	Fivecoat, Chayton ()
lxxxvi)	Dalrymple, Timothy ()y
lxxxvii)	McLean, Claudia ()y
lxxxviii)	Diaz, Danae ()
lxxxix)	Hwang, Tae Won (Danny) ()y
xc)	Rich, Sophia ()y
xci)	Salino, Sarah ()y
xcii)	List, Matthew Riley ()
xciii)	Farah, Zackariah ()y
xciv)	Goodsell, Allison ()y
xcv)	Manikandan, Divya ()y
xcvi)	Cohen, Jacob () y
xcvii)	Theuerkauf, Caroline ()y
xcviii)	Nelson, Erica ()
xcix)	Zhao, Suki ()y
c)	Gunasekaran, Gaurie ()y
ci)	Lobodzinski, Joseph ()y
cii)	Crespo, Maleny ()y
ciii)	Fioritto, Tyler ()y
civ)	Kernis, Jacob ()
cv)	Hamamy, Salma () y
cvi)	Pierangeli, Cody ()y
cvii)	Farmer, Adrianna ()

    cviii)   Williams, Erik ()y

    cix)   Donahue, Thomas () y

    cx)   Pratapa, Pranavi ()

    cxi)   Browdy, Wyatt ()y

    cxii)   Mulliken, Ryan ()

    cxiii)   Sommerfeld, Elizabeth () y

    cxiv)   Stoneman, Max ()y

    cxv)   Rothstein, Kayla () y

    cxvi)   Walker: Are there any friendly or unfriendly amendments?

    cxvii)   "LSA Student Government is considering adding a judiciary branch of the government. Do you believe LSA Student Government should include and distinguish a judicial branch?

        (1) Yes

        (2) No

        (3) Undecided/No opinion"

    cxviii)   Watt: motion to extend time

    cxix)   Walker: Chair would look favorably on a motion to close debate and call the questions

    cxx)   Farah: motion to call the question

    cxxi)   Walker: how would you like to call the question?

    cxxii)   Farah: unanimous consent

    cxxiii)   Motion passes by unanimous consent

15) New Business

    a)   B F2020.16 Decorum in Debate

        i)   Watt: This addresses decorum in debate. This is to remind us of expectations within the government and put it in our government. Mandates use of "previous speaker", "counsel", "president" removes the person from debate. This would confine rules for times of debate. Not mandated to follow this rules at other times

        ii)   Walker: open the floor to questions or comments

        iii)   Stoneman: question about be it further resolved, what is going on?

        iv)   Watt: Sorry it was an unfinished line, refer to someone by their title or previous speaker

        v)   Walker: friendly or unfriendly amendments? Chair would look favorably on a motion

        vi)   Watt: move to call the question by acclimation

        vii)   Stoneman: second

        viii)   Motion passes

    b)   B F2020.17 Demerits Policy

        i)   Cohen: eliminates the emailing to listservs as an election code violation. If you have e-board consent you can email listservs. If you have things you would like to add regarding demerits, we are working on more amendments

        ii)   Farah: listservs are a list of emails that allow mass emails to be sent

    iii)   Walker: questions or comments?

    iv)   Lobodzinski: how would we get permission to use other orgs listservs?

    v)   Cohen: something written or by email

    vi)   Walker: friendly or unfriendly amendments?

    vii)   McCaughey: in the appendix, add "explicit permission"

    viii)   Cohen: I see this as friendly

    ix)   Walker: chair would look favorably on a motion

    x)   Watt: motion to call the question by vote of acclimation

    xi)   Williams: second

    xii)   Motion passes

    xiii)   Watt: chair can be deferred if that chair would like a break

    xiv)   ***Walker: chair will persist!***

c)   B F2020.18 Extending the Term of the Election Code Review and Amendment Task Force

    i)   Cohen:This changes the disbandment of ECRAFTF to end of next semester as opposed to the end of this semester

    ii)   Farah: there are a lot of things we would like to do

    iii)   Watt: semesterly committee review was in favor of continuing ECRAFTF

    iv)   Walker: questions and comments? Motion?

    v)   Cohen: motion to call the question by unanimous consent

    vi)   Watt: second

    vii)   Motion passes

d)   B F2020.19 Expanding CATS to Increase Representation in CATS and Student Government

    i)   Theuerkauf: This expands CATS to CATNIS to include non traditional and international students to create more inclusion and representation. This is rough, I just wrote it. We have the capacity to expand. We are open to any sponsors.

    ii)   Torres: I am in support of the name of this committee name

    iii)   Walker: questions and comments? Motion?

    iv)   Theuerkauf: motion to table for one week?

    v)   Lobodzinski: second

e)   R F2020.10 Amendment to the Budget to Allow for Apparel Subsidies

    i)   McCaughey: this amends the budget to make an apparel fund of $350. We took from appointments, COMM, and the general fund

    ii)   McKillop: if you have any questions, let us know. If you are interested in apparel, please fill out the form

    iii)   Walker: questions or comments?

    iv)   McCaughey: this needs to be passed by a vote of ⅔

    v)   Walker: Friendly or unfriendly amendments?

> vi) Watt: could the chair ask the sponsors if they would like this passed tonight
>
> vii) Walker: Sponsors, do you want this passed tonight?
>
> viii) McCaughey: Yes. Motion to vote by acclamation
>
> ix) Watt: second
>
> x) Motion passes unanimously

16) Matters Arising

a) Crespo: I am running for an elected seat in CSG. I am working on my platform. I would like to promote gender inclusive language on campus. I want to collaborate with CATS and the Transfer Student Center to make sure these students feel included as well. I was to work on promoting resources for undocumented students. Let me know if you have any questions or comments! Initiatives in place are amazing, I want to strengthen them.

b) Walker: There is a bylaw provision that prevents students from campaigning during an LSA meeting

c) Crespo: I'm sorry I misunderstood

d) Farah: get your flu shot! You can get it anywhere if you have insurance, if you don't have insurance you can get it at UHS. Give blood! We are in a blood battle.

e) Fioritto: Be civically engaged, read the news

f) Bazzi: Great job Josiah!

g) Torres: we are having a discussion on Friday about orientation. Also please give blood.

h) Colvin: Great job vice chairs. Please reach out if you notice discrepancies in the minutes

i) Walker: Thank you Selena and Anna, great job vice chairs

17) Snaps

18) Closing Roll Call

a) Bazzi, Selena ()

b) Walker, Josiah ()

c) McCaughey, Kathryn ()

d) Watt, Tyler ()

e) Colvin, Anna ()

f) McKillop, Mary ()

g) Torres, Gabriela ()

h) Fivecoat, Chayton (e)

i) Dalrymple, Timothy ()

j) McLean, Claudia ()

k) Diaz, Danae (e)

l) Hwang, Tae Won (Danny) ()

m) Rich, Sophia ()

n) Salino, Sarah ()

o) List, Matthew Riley (a)

p) Farah, Zackariah ()

q) Goodsell, Allison ()

r) Manikandan, Divya ()

s) Cohen, Jacob ()

t)   Theuerkauf, Caroline ()
u)   Nelson, Erica (a)
v)   Zhao, Suki ()
w)   Gunasekaran, Gaurie ()
x)   Lobodzinski, Joseph ()
y)   Crespo, Maleny ()
z)   Fioritto, Tyler ()
aa) Kernis, Jacob ()
bb) Hamamy, Salma ()
cc) Pierangeli, Cody ()
dd) Farmer, Adrianna (e)
ee) Williams, Erik ()
ff) Donahue, Thomas ()
gg) Pratapa, Pranavi ()
hh) Browdy, Wyatt ()
ii) Mulliken, Ryan (a)
jj) Sommerfeld, Elizabeth ()
kk) Stoneman, Max ()
ll) Rothstein, Kayla ()
mm)   Prasad, Ajay (e)
nn) Simmons, Natalie ()
oo) Wojtara, Magda (a)

19) Adjournment
a)   Motion to Adjourn:
   i)   McCaughey: motion to adjourn
   ii) Stoneman: second