

LSA SG Virtual Meeting
October 21, 2020

- 1) Call to Order
- 2) Opening Roll Call () - Present, E - Excused, A- Absent
 - i) Those with voting rights (minus the person acting as chair)
 - ii) Those without voting rights
- b) Bazzi, Selena ()
- c) Walker, Josiah ()
- d) McCaughey, Kathryn ()
- e) Watt, Tyler ()
- f) Colvin, Anna ()
- g) McKillop, Mary ()
- h) Torres, Gabriela ()
- i) Fivecoat, Chayton (E)
- j) Dalrymple, Timothy ()
- k) McLean, Claudia ()
- l) Diaz, Danae ()
- m) Hwang, Tae Won (Danny) ()
- n) Rich, Sophia ()
- o) Salino, Sarah ()
- p) List, Matthew Riley ()
- q) Farah, Zackariah (E)
- r) Goodsell, Allison ()
- s) Manikandan, Divya (E)
- t) Cohen, Jacob ()
- u) Theuerkauf, Caroline ()
- v) Nelson, Erica ()
- w) Zhao, Suki ()
- x) Gunasekaran, Gaurie (E)
- y) Lobodzinski, Joseph ()
- z) Crespo, Maleny ()
- aa) Fioritto, Tyler (E)
- bb) Kernis, Jacob ()
- cc) Hamamy, Salma (E)
- dd) Pierangeli, Cody ()
- ee) Farmer, Adrianna ()
- ff) Williams, Erik ()
- gg) Donahue, Thomas ()
- hh) Pratapa, Pranavi ()
- ii) Browdy, Wyatt ()
- jj) Mulliken, Ryan (A)

- kk) Sommerfeld, Elizabeth ()
 - ll) Stoneman, Max ()
 - mm) Rothstein, Kayla ()
 - nn) Prasad, Ajay (E)
 - oo) Simmons, Natalie ()
 - pp) Wojtara, Magda ()
- 3) Announcements
- a) Notice of [Minor Bylaw Edits](#)
 - i) Watt: Do not need to be approved, but you can object if you choose
 - b) Non-Binding Ballot Questions shall be due before the General Assembly by October 28th. These should be presented by bloc.
 - i) Watt: In order to be presented, blocs must present in the following week
 - c) The Semesterly Committee Review will take place on Sunday, November 1st during the Steering Committee Meeting.
 - i) Watt: during the meeting will talk about committee strengths, actions, and whether they should remain in government. Chairs should attend
 - d) Committee Action Plans will be due November 21st, 2020 (will be sent out later on)
 - i) Watt: Those will be produced around the same time as the election, Election is 18th and 19th of Election
 - e) Bazzi: Any other announcements
 - f) Cohen: Point of information, is this a time where I can motion to amend the agenda
 - g) Watt: That would be an order
- 4) Approval of the Agenda
- a) *Motion: Motion to amend the agenda to add a task force for ECRAFTF in appropriate section*
 - i) *Motion Seconded*
 - ii) *We have consent*
 - b) *Motion: Cohen: I move to approve the agenda*
 - c) *Second: Seconded by Watt*
 - i) *We have consent*
- 5) Approval of Previous Minutes
- a) *Motion: Dalrymple: Motion to approve*
 - b) *Second: Seconded by Stoneman*
 - i) *We have consent*
- 6) Constituents' Time
- a) None scheduled
 - b) Watt: If any representatives are running, they may introduce themselves at this time
 - c) Bazzi: This is a great time to introduce yourself, let us know you're here
 - d) Tedrick: My name is Vince, I am trying to decide if I should run. Sophomore in school of LSA. I want to get involved again, run for a position and hopefully it will work out. Thanks for letting introduce myself

- e) Bazzi: Happy you are here!
 - f) Crespo: Hello my name is Maleny, considering running. Currently a sophomore transfer student from Washtenaw community college. So far I really like what org is doing. Also part of Theta Sigma and attends CATS. Also a part of Optimize, really wants to get plugged into campus and make a difference. That is why I'm considering running
 - g) Bazzi: Thanks for joining us!
 - h) Bazzi: Remember if you are running to put that you are here in the chat to count for the attendance requirement
- 7) Guest Speaker(s)
- a) Tyler Watt (presenting on [Parliamentary Procedures](#))
 - i) Watt: Very excited to be presenting on Parliamentary Procedures. Don't aim to take 30 minutes but we will see. Do I have the ability to share my screen? Give me just a moment so we can get started.
 - ii) Watt: Good evening, for those who haven't gotten to know me, I'm Tyler, the counsel. I'm a junior studying political science, and excited to talk about parliamentary procedures. Parliamentary procedures essentially the rules that govern an elected body. All different conduct in a meeting. Use them because they are efficient, the discussion is more structured, everyone who has credentials can speak (eboard and reps).
 - iii) Watt: Cornerstone is making motions, in a different world this is done by raising a placard, since we're in zoom, you can make a motion by verbalizing the word motion, putting in chat, raising hand, and in eyes of counsel this is sufficient to introduce. Chair (president) will call on you, then depending on motion might require a second, proceed to vote, etc.
 - iv) Watt: Types of motions: Won't go too much into different types. Some motions can be made in different times, some cannot. We have four different types of motions. Don't worry about different titles, the focus is what each motion does. The presentation is available on google drive
 - v) Watt: Incidental motions are incidental, used at random times when something is needed. Point of information can be raised at any time and ask the speaker a question. Typically question about motion or question about something on agenda. Broad. Point of parliamentary inquiry can be used for how you should make a motion. If you want more time on the speakers list, you can raise a point of parliamentary inquiry to ask how you can extend debate. Point of order is a fun one, like a courtroom, it is a bit like objecting. This says you are doing things a bit off the books. For example if we went out of order of agenda, you can point of order. Few more, suspending the rules, withdrawing a motion, division of a question, we can vote on an amendment on separate parts
 - vi) Watt: Subsidiary motions as well, these quite a bit about motions being debated. Motions to table or lay on the table is typically used to bring discussion to an end and discuss it later. There is Moving previous question to call on debate to end and vote. Also known as motion to call to question. Motion to limit or extend debate, don't typically have too many debates, motion to postpone as well.

Motion to amend is different, as we typically do amendments during the resolution period, but you can motion to amend a motion, and if friendly it can be added automatically, if not friendly it can get saucy. Motion to refer to a committee, if committee needs change to review a motion, we can do this.

- vii) Privileged motions: motion to adjourn, at end of meeting, recess is for short break, raise a question of privilege is not used much, call for orders of the day is to get people back on track, a referee sort of motion.
- viii) Motions to reconsider: Won't go too deep as doesn't apply too much. Don't typically have to motion to take from the table. These are all pretty rare in Student government.
- ix) Motions that don't require a vote: Point of information, parliamentary inquiry, personal privilege relevant within zoom, point of personal privilege asks for something more comfortable in this space, whether asking for muting mics or closed captioning to be on. This is something the chair decides. Point of order, Withdrawing motion made yourself, and appealing ruling of a chair, something dicey cause sometimes requires vote sometimes doesn't
- x) Motions that don't need to be debated: You see them here, see them in powerpoint, debates only in resolutions. However if someone raises other motions they can be debated
- xi) Few motions specific to LSA SG, Procedural bypass moment for appointments and BAC, excuse all absences to help someone who hasn't attended some meetings, to amend the budget, formal consideration for removal requires $\frac{2}{3}$ to take effect. So on, we get the gist
- xii) At the very beginning we talked about quorum, important as some require $\frac{2}{3}$ vote and some require simple majority. The guideline is if it restricts something, it is likely $\frac{2}{3}$. Motion to amend the agenda was a $\frac{2}{3}$ vote, even if voiced by consent. Don't need to have a secret ballot if $\frac{2}{3}$ but you can make that happen if you choose. You can ask questions at the end
- xiii) In order to speak within a meeting outside those areas, guest speakers time and constituents can speak as long as you are an LSA student. Must be called or yielded to, and when you have the floor you can yield to someone else, especially during debate time
- xiv) Amending the agenda: Lengthier version of how to amend the agenda, similar to amending the minutes. This is how it will be done within a meeting. Something easy and a way to get comfy with the government, just jumping in and saying you motion to approve, it is a non-confrontational thing and a way to get cool with speaking during meetings. Speak up at the next meeting!
- xv) Old Business and New business, particular procedure we follow. New business is automatically on the floor, does not need to be introduced. Sponsors introduce it, does not need to be motioned or brought to the floor. This is typically a resolution, they introduce then yield to questions and comments. Friendly and unfriendly amendments happen, then someone will motion to call to question or to table. We have 7-8 pieces of new business to discuss, sometimes good to

reflect on business.

- xvi) Old business needs to be motioned to brought to the floor, sponsors reintroduce it, debate, call to question, and vote is had. Abbreviated way of explaining rules, but this is how we handle piece of old business tonight
- xvii) Resolutions we want to debate, we need a speakers list or motion to have a speakers list. Can automatically occur after resolution is brought to the floor. Assuming consent, the speaker asks who wants to speak for or against motion. People give their timed spiels, and can extend or limit debate time. Someone motions to call to question, gets seconded, then vote on calling question then vote on resolution at hand
- xviii) Kind of complicated, but you will get the hang of it. Most assuredly get the hang of it. These are a few sources, and now I'm open for questions! Thanks for listening to this!
- xix) Bazzi: Thank you tyler does anyone have any questions for tyler?
- xx) Bazzi: Looks like we have none

8) Executive Officer Reports

a) President- Selena Bazzi (bazzisn@umich.edu)

- i) Bazzi: President and VP report will be combined. Want to start of by encouraging all appointed reps to apply, and those up for reelection, to apply for the fall election. 15 seats open, term will span 1 year. Going to send something in the chat if you are interested in running, please go ahead and apply with the form, then send application to election director. Going to follow with requirements. Encourage all to run. Next, shout out to Anna Colvin for scheduling anti racism training, great to see all of you there. Thought very productive time, good to see how government can input what we learned into this space. Want to take time to speak to a couple of you, hear how you are doing? What do you think of stay at home order? Any pressing concerns we should be aware of?
- ii) Farmer: Teachers have been letting up a little for students, Bio teacher has definitely done that. Work wise, create newsletter for office and coming together nicely, this week everything is working out
- iii) Bazzi: Thanks for sharing, no idea you write the newsletters. Anyone else?
- iv) Browdy: One thing concerned with is having essay next week, needed to access specific books in the library. The library before was doing no contact book drop off, but don't know if still in effect. Throwing wrench in lots of academic, and some professors have been transparent and some have not
- v) Bazzi: Thanks for sharing! Love to hear from another person!
- vi) Stoneman: My week has been the same as most weeks, stay at home order has been the same, academically has been online from the start, professors are holding the same standard as beginning of the semester, going to be held all the way through. Interesting experience since despite social things happening with virus and that effect, academics is just as strenuous and operating at the same pace or more active than previously.
- vii) Bazzi: Definitely, a lot of students have been mentioning. Thanks for sharing!

Great to hear from you all. Updates from University Counsel, as UC has not met since the last update, there is nothing to report, but have made aware of COVID isolation circumstances, if you have seen the TikToks that UMICH students have been posting, important to make known to admin and take action. Will be sending out google form to collect info and send out how we are feeling in terms of academics, since workload for a lot is more overwhelming. Want to take info to Kelly Maxwell and Dean Curzan.

b) Vice President- Josiah Walker (jhwalker@umich.edu)

i) Steering Committee

(1) Walker: Want to mention small housekeeping, still moving toward the new email convention. Leadership should have the new email convention with [lsag.\[committeename\]@umich.edu](mailto:lsag.[committeename]@umich.edu). If anyone is having trouble with the names or mcommunity, you can add everyone to the new listserv from the old listserv. You can make the new listserv a subgroup of the old listserv, and ya. This is technical and confusing, and if you have any questions or want to talk to me that is good. In terms of shared activity, [Chayton has a heart of gold, even when his residents drive him crazy](#). Voting: If you haven't registered to vote, panic. If you are exposed to someone who tested positive, or you test positive, you probably won't be able to register to vote, as the online registration has already passed. If you haven't registered, you need to register asap like tomorrow. Can go to satellite clerk in UMMA, or if voting at home need to go home ASAP, as if quarantined it will be bad news for voting. If have absentee ballot move with urgency, as if you get quarantined or under isolation you might need to coordinate with someone. Vote ASAP, as campus is on weird lockdown. Info I have come across in recent days, Markley has been under lockdown before public health order. Residents apparently have said it is not strictly enforced, but FYI for government that people are able to leave the building as they please, and Northwood residents are also having lax restrictions. We can definitely address it as a government if you guys are interested. Food for thought, thinking about last semester's response to COVID that we were home immediately after election day, as it is 2 weeks before election day, as UM students could have sent students home whenever, and so this means after the stay at home order ends they can send people home after election day. Also, at DAC meeting, reached a mutual agreement to elevate DEI across government, as gov is good about having sustainability, but definitely want to enforce DEI more and guide it for all the committees. DAC wants to make recommendations and work through committees to see how we can be more inclusive as a government. If think recommendation isn't inclusive, please feel free to express your opinion. Good to have diverse equitable opinions. Campus advisory committee has been at convo with covid, one thing new that happened is UM social media

tapped a couple student leaders on campus to make a video to plead with students to be responsible, video will consist of student leaders on campus to say like be responsible with how you socialize or practice social distancing. In terms of campus climate advisory committee hasn't had the chance to meet. Finally for pumpkin carving competition, if haven't already participate in carving competition. Will let Gaby elaborate on that. Then, if haven't had the chance read full public health order, not terrible and provides insight into what is and is not allowed. If have questions ask away!

- (2) Bazzi: If anyone has any questions?
 - (3) Watt: Josiah, may have missed something but safe to assume office space is closed again?
 - (4) Walker: According to public health order, certain areas are allowed to remain open? Study spaces in UMMA and Bio Sciences building are open, so hesitant to close office right now since hasn't come into info if should. Might be common sense, if LSA isn't open Office isn't open, but still learning new info. Thank you tyler! Any more questions?
 - (5) Bazzi: Questions?
 - (6) Salino: Regarding quarantine housing, in contact with people about those issues, something brought up to me is that if you are to contract the virus over weekend, lots of difficulty being moved to north campus at fast rate. Might stay in household for multiple days while you wait. Whoever is contact with should bring it up
 - (7) Bazzi: Just noted that in my notes! Anyone else?
- c) Treasurer- Kathryn McCaughey (kdmccaug@umich.edu)
- i) Hi everyone! We haven't made a lot of expenses in the semester, if interested in starting up project or initiative, reach out to me or committee leaders. [I love Chayton's sense of humor](#). Anna and I are going to send out the trivia questionnaire this week. I yield to questions!
 - ii) Bazzi: Any Questions?
- d) Counsel- Tyler R. Watt (trwatt@umich.edu)
- i) Thank you very much, report is short this week. Have paper copy of end of year report, so it is nice and short. Have two copies for cheap. It was a good deal. Should look into ways to avoid printing, as it is a lot of paper. Emailed all the reps and see people interested in IRC and government. Look forward to new bylaw amendments and ideas. 7 new pieces of business, and one other report from election director. Personal report, being considered for election director of CSG director. Being interviewed by CSG, so come to the meeting next tuesday to see the interview! Any questions?
 - ii) Bazzi: Any questions? Point of information to talk about end of year report?
 - iii) Wyatt: End of year report is document that has all minutes, resolutions, reports from every member of government from last year. We have last school year's end of year report. Has all of the reports from elected reps, committees, eboard,

election results, other things like that. Document will be in office, and this will be resource for all things last year.

- iv) Bazzi: Any questions or comments?
- e) Academic Relations Officer- Gabriela Torres (gctorres@umich.edu)
 - i) This week AAC moved their time. Met yesterday at 6pm. This week in AAC they discussed shifting election day project to more academic focus they discussed language project. Pumpkin carving competition, send in your picture. There are two categories for prizes. I hope to see and judge many of your pumpkins. Other than that, they have recommendations from administration for asynchronous courses and no classes. If there are classes not like that, email your professors and let them know election day is important to you and you want to vote. If you want an email template, I can send that out to you all. [I remember first general meeting i sat next to chayton and he told me all about government](#)
- f) External Relations Officer- Mary McKillop (marykmck@umich.edu)
 - i) Hello everyone! My name is Mary i use she/her pronouns and I am the chair of COMM! Planning on sending a DC email next week with a bunch of resources. Registration dates and reminders to apply to graduate for STAAR, and major and minor releases. Also featuring LA CASA and Islamic Working group, and looking for info on CSG airbus for thanksgiving, but we might not get this if everyone gets sent home. Have scrapbook that we typically do but couldn't do it last semester, so trying to get one for last year and this semester. So excited to have new appointed reps, appointments will talk more about this but mentor/mentee! Sign up. Don't have to talk government, but you can talk about other fun things! Apply to run for elected rep! Honestly not as bad as you think, can help with platforms as I have run and helped others run. Can put email in the chat too! Be more than willing to help in government. Request me as your mentor! [Chayton you light up my world.](#)
 - ii) Bazzi: Any questions?
- g) Student Life Relations Officer- Anna Colvin (ancolvin@umich.edu)
 - i) Hi everyone! I'm Anna, 3rd year studying poli sci pronouns are she/her/hers. Happy to see everyone at MESA anti-racism today, I appreciate you all going. Also shout out to Miriam. SLC was great this week, we finalized and will be ordering all the supplies for the northwood quarantine care package. For Kathryn's and I's bonding activity, will be sending out the questionnaire and having the event next week. Should be really fun! SLC is 8-9 on Tuesdays, [and Chayton is wonderful](#)
 - ii) Bazzi: Questions?
- h) Secretary- Chayton Fivecoat (cgfiveco@umich.edu)
 - i) I am so sorry I could not be here tonight, as I have a Genetics Exam at the same time. Tyler and I are still looking for ways to manage all of our current records in the office, to keep them all in one place. If you have any ideas, please feel free to let either of us know. Also, continue to notify me of if/when you will be absent so I can mark it as excused. As a reminder, you are only allowed to accrue 4

unexcused absences, but as many excused absences as you have. Please email me if you have any questions!

- 9) Committee Reports (in alphabetical order)
 - a) Appointments Committee- Sophia Rich (sserich@umich.edu)
 - i) Hi! I'm Sophia, pronouns she/her/hers, brief announcement tonight. Said going to close Mentor/Mentee by general but leaving it open until midnight tonight. Fill it out if want to be mentor or mentee, if any new member wants to get to know anyone who has been in gov for a while, like [Chayton who is a valuable member of Government and is very nice](#). We have a 50/50 mentor mentee ratio which is nice. You will get assignments over the next couple days. With stay at home order, ask mentor mentee to meet virtually rather than in person. Register to vote, and sign up to run in the election! If want to have voting rights for a year, wants to get more involved! I yield for questions
 - ii) Bazzi: Questions?
 - b) Budget Allocations Committee- Ajay Prasad (ajprasad@umich.edu)
 - i) Rifkin: Good week for BAC, got new BAC at large members to first meeting, got to look at applications but couldn't allocate funds as they are missing information. Hopefully go to appeals so can give more funds. Had idea of diag board but contacted CCI and other ways to advertise despite stay at home order
 - ii) Watt: What is the volume of applications?
 - iii) Rifkin: Unfortunately right now only have 2. Going to talk to the daily and have ads appear in newsletter. Also emailed all previous applicants and had other ways for advertising, but stay at home order put damper on event
 - iv) Bazzi: More questions?
 - v) Farmer: write newsletter, if need info to get out there, just let her know and send her an email through groupme, to get into the newsletter
 - vi) Rifkin: Planning on emailing you after that
 - vii) Bazzi: Great point! Questions?
 - c) Committee Advocating for Transfer Students- Caroline Theuerkauf (theuerkc@umich.edu)
 - i) As always, CATS is fantastic, making lots of great progress. Met with Michael Hartman, great resources for all of our goals, got great info and a great contact for CATS. Have project on working on academic expectations for transfer students. Going to have chats with CATS to talk to students about academic adjustments at orientation events. Conducting survey for transfer students to see how transfer students are finding housing. Going to meet for transfer students on social event. [Chayton is always super considerate about following up about things in the minutes, as I always talk fast](#).
 - ii) Bazzi: Questions?
 - d) Diversity Affairs Committee- Tae Won (Danny) Hwang (wonh@umich.edu)
 - i) Hello! My name is Danny, sophomore studying political science, he him his pronouns. Talked about erica's project on ability of women's supplies. Contacted by org and we will be working with them and CSG to continue this project.

Talked about syllabus disability statement brought up that Divya has worked on. Also talked about ethnic classes, being aware of different perspectives that are discussed in eurocentric environment. Trying to be aware of different focuses. Trying to make DAC a space where students can freely talk and be respectful of one another. Good to create solutions for things going on around campus. [Really miss Chayton, hope i can see him next semester.](#)

ii) Bazzi: Questions?

10) Task Force and Subcommittee Reports

a) Election Code Review and Amendment Formation Task Force - Jacob Cohen (jacohen@umich.edu)

i) First task force report, thought meeting went really well! My name is Jacob, pronouns he/him/his. Meeting went well, discussed time lines when we can start making changes. Want to amend election code to discuss how we make changes, then after going more into the changes. Expect resolution coming next week. In terms of taking effect, we want to target these resolutions to the next election, not this election. Will be important to note not trying to change the ongoing election. Want to raise a question for people here: Sunday early afternoon might not be the best, are there people who want to go but cant go at this time? What can be done to accommodate you guys? Answer during questions. If anyone has any ideas for new election code, please come to next meeting. As of now sunday 2-3.

ii) Bazzi: Questions?

b) Health Subcommittee - Gaurie Gunasekaran (gaurie@umich.edu)

i) Wojtara: Hi! I'm Magda, I'm a junior studying neuroscience. Health went really well this week. Talked about care packages for quarantine and isolation, worked out logistics in terms of using committee time to work on assembling packaging. Worked on script for videos, including a video for the stay at home order, and low risk activities that can help with mental health. In regards to thanksgiving, thought about having something in weeks leading up, like a gratitude journal, and have people submit things they are grateful for, and allowing us to post what people are thankful for. Doing a raffle based on responses, and decided having 3 raffle prizes given out. With that I yield

ii) Bazzi: Questions?

iii) Crespo: How are they distributed?

iv) Wojtara: Still working out logistics, originally planned on people having cars to drive, and people in isolation we communicated and they said we could do that before stay at home order, and they would distribute it. We are open to suggestions right now on how to deliver the supplies, or once we communicate see if housing has an idea

v) Bazzi: Other questions?

vi) Crespo: you are doing amazing!

vii) Wojtara: That is so nice!

viii) Bazzi: Want to echo that you are killing it!

c) Subcommittee on Technology, Advising, and Academic Resources (STAAR) - Jacob

Cohen (jacohen@umich.edu)

- i) Hi everyone! Jacob again. STAAR adjusted schedule this week to accommodate anti-racism training. Definitely happy to adjust schedule for that. STAAR met last evening from 7-8, where we went over revisions to advertisement from COMM's suggestions. We laid groundwork for few different projects. Mentioned in last meeting, Ryan from maximize will attend STAAR next week to give briefing, if anyone is interested in aggregating list of resources, the plan for that project will be fully laid out. Divya and myself as well as Kayla attended meeting with SRAC to discuss the SSD project, we are currently working on when we will bring that to SRAC body, and sending them a link to the google doc so they can look at it before. If anyone wants help constructing platform, I will be happy to help. [Chayton has a way of making people feel calm in stressful situations. He is a really good RA and was incredible with my first internals as soon as I walked in.](#)
 - ii) Bazzi: Any questions? Trying to elaborate on Acronyms as they come. SRAC stands for Student Relations Advisory Committee, which meet bi-weekly to discuss Campus topics and intersectionality of faculty and student concerns
- d) Taking Responsibility for the Earth and Environment Subcommittee- Tim Dalrymple (tjamesd@umich.edu).
- i) Hi everyone, I'm Tim, I'm a senior studying, he him his. We went over the resolution being introduced at general tonight that will go over recognizing the global climate crisis. I hope this is well received. Additionally we talked about virtual sustainable cooking event, which will be hopefully soon, but still making sure we can work out all the logistics and the event is accessible to as many as possible, so we can more effectively achieve what we want for that event. We had a wonderful suggestion this meeting to bring in a member of the president's commission on carbon neutrality to TREES, or maybe a general meeting. Really excited about possibility, will be great to get answers about what they are working on, and their goals and recommendations. Really exciting that proposition. Will share information to rest of student government as it comes. [Chayton is wonderful, he is great. The late, great Mr. Fivecoat. Chayton is wonderful and a pleasure to have around. I hope he feels a bit happier reading the minutes.](#)
 - ii) Bazzi: Questions
 - iii) Simmons: Also worked on sustainability filter, and you should help out by coming to TREES. Also, all new reps should be planet blue certified. Will type the email in the chat.
 - iv) Dalrymple: Usually yield to you, forgot to do that,, also forgot to mention all those tings
 - v) Bazzi: Tyler whenever you are ready
 - vi) Watt: Tim were you aware I looked over the resolution and it is fantastic in terms of formatting and content? Watt, were you aware [I was planning on using late, great Mr. Fivecoat during my portion of the meeting? So now Late, Great, Mr.](#)

[Fivecoat will appear multiple times throughout the meeting](#)

11) Individual Representative Reports

- i) Walker: Point of information: Curriculum Committee may have sent report to Gaby this week
 - ii) Torres: I am given the curriculum committee reports for the academic affairs committee, and I would be happy to give you updates about what they have discussed during AAC
 - iii) Walker: Maybe Gaby can summarize what they have been doing, or what would be the best way to give these reports?
 - iv) Watt: Point of clarification, did the chair plan to defer to me to ask how best to proceed?
 - v) Watt: Could amend agenda to X out reports B-F, or could have ARO deliver them in their absence, or can skip them as they are not present. Each way could be german
 - vi) Bazzi: Going to announce that if you wish to proceed with any of those motions
 - vii) Torres: Motion to remove items B-F in the individual representative reports
 - viii) Seconded by Walker
 - ix) We have consent. Agenda has been amended to remove the representatives
- b) Ryan Perry:
- i) Hi everyone! Nice to meet you, Junior studying econ, and I'm on the LSA task force on anti racism in the school of LSA. Want to say we have had 6 meetings. There is a couple students and largely faculty, and wanted to give overview of the topics we have discussed. Going over UofM enrollment, climate policies, stack and diversity hiring, curriculum, R and E, and the board has decided to meet to discuss these in smaller groups. Goes to climate issues and U of M enrollment among other groups, as that is what I have experience in. Some of the subtopics from those groups include, in UofM enrollment and culture, talking bout indigienous enrollment and black enrollment to go up, as well as retention and recruiting to allow for more equitable university. In climate issues talking about intersectional lens and administrative lens, which is valuable for incoming students. Getting students to say that UofM values diversity, and making it a long term thing. In term of carseral policy subcommittee, and separating between DPSS and Ann Arbor police. Also how to make those interactions better overall. If have input and would like to talk to me, please contact me. I am putting my email in the chat, and feel free to bring the things you want into the larger group or the subcommittees. Thank you for your time.
 - ii) Bazzi: Questions?
 - iii) Watt: Who is the chair of the task force? How permanent is the task force?
 - iv) Perry: Matthew Countryman, and Tabby Chavos, director of NCID, and both head that. Originally supposed to be giving a final document to Curzan by october, but due to first meeting being delayed, we are extending the deadline. Should not go longer than this semester, but wanting to put everything in the doc to send to them.

- v) Bazzi: Any further questions
- 12) Appointments, Elections, and Nominations
 - a) Nominations for STAAR Vice-Chair
 - i) *The election for this office should occur on October 28th, 2020, unless the bylaws regarding internal elections are suspended (see bylaw 7.00.07)*
 - ii) *Bazzi: Goal is for counsel to chair this section. Defer chair to Josiah*
 - iii) *Walker: Defer to Kathryn*
 - iv) *McCaughey: Defer to Tyler*
 - v) Watt: The only thing on the agenda is the nomination for STAAR Vice, anyone with speaking rights can nominate
 - vi) Cohen: I nominate Donahue for STAAR Vice
 - vii) Torres: I second
 - viii) Donahue: I accept the nomination
 - ix) Watt: Any other nominations? Hearing and seeing none, moving to speaking portion
 - x) Torres: Are we doing the election today?
 - xi) Watt: Nominations can be one week or immediately before the internal election. Did not remove cause did not want to amend the agenda. Would be germane to table election. In fact I may add I see the agenda, that we do not have STAAR Vice chair election on this week's agenda, so we can proceed through rest of the business
 - xii) Cohen: Motion to amend the agenda to put the election for STAAR Vice Chair
 - xiii) Torres: I second
 - xiv) We have Consent
 - b) STAAR Vice-Chair Election
 - i) Watt: The floor is yours
 - ii) Donahue: My name is Tommy Donahue, I am a sophomore in LSA. majoring in hopefully org studies. Advising and technology is something very important especially in this age. Need to know every ounce of opportunities here, and make sure we compile these resources to make students aware. Will take great minutes, get work done together efficiently and transparently
 - iii) Watt: At this time, we may ask 3 questions or motion to end questions and move into discussion
 - iv) Goodsell: Motion to end discussion and move into voting?
 - v) Watt: Typically end questions and move into discussions
 - vi) Goodsell: Motion to end questions and move into discussion
 - vii) Seconded by Salino
 - viii) Consent
 - ix) Watt: Ask for tommy to move into waiting room
 - x) Candidate has been approved by acclamation
 - xi) Watt: Congratulations! Tommy Donahue is the new STAAR Vice!
 - xii) Watt: I defer the chair to Selena. Congrats Tommy!
- 13) Old Business (YES-NO-ABSTAIN)

- a) [B F2020.09 Committee Leadership Attendance](#)
- i) McCaughey: Motion to bring to the floor
 - ii) Seconded
 - iii) McCaughey: I'll start. This is the reworked amendment from two weeks ago. Hopefully addressed everyone's concerns, and if there are any concerns not addressed please make us aware. Background, as I and all the sponsors reworked this, and we did some research in other student governments, and what is required in committee leadership in their equivalent to general, and the main comparables is CSG and the engineering gov. CSG requires a written report, and engineering SG gives committee chairs the choice to do in person or written. Thought this would be a good medium with what we have now and what CSG has. I shall yield
 - iv) Rifkin: Also a sponsor, one big part of the amendment is that as a vice chair who doesn't have voting rights, this allows to pursue other endeavors which was a big part of being in BAC. Also gave me opportunity to go to other student orgs, including the fencing clubs. Don't have a write to vote, so stakes for being here are much lower as well as Ajay. Also by passing the amendment, will be able to do job and provide reports while also being in BAC
 - v) Walker: Nothing to add other than reiterate previous speakers
 - vi) Watt: Have nothing to add, but the reworded amendment that sponsors worked hard on does not specify BAC alone, this would encompass other committees within the government. This would be applicable to most parts of the government
 - vii) Bazzi: Do we have any amendments? Friendly or unfriendly?
 - viii) Goodsell: Unfriendly, personally I think this is a slippery slope. Part of the diff between LSA SG
 - ix) Bazzi: Do you wish to propose an amendment or comment?
 - x) Goodsell: An amendment. It would be getting rid of fourth whereas, which is kinda the main thing.
 - xi) Bazzi: Proposed amendment to get rid of fourth whereas clause. I will yield to sponsors:
 - xii) McCaughey: I understand, think whole agenda is about accessibility of positions within our government. Get what saying, this is slope but wont necessarily mean that all chairs who aren't elected wont be in government. Think representative for those in government, for committee chairs that aren't elected, so any committee member could be an associate member and chair. We wanted to write as other members of government that time commitment wasnt working with schedule, but the commitment wasn't important for the role. They felt committee was most important for the role. Also tried to strike middle ground with how this is an opt in choice, and choose to give an oral vs written report. Making positions more accessible. Also want to add that there will never be a moment where there will be a committee that won't have a person there. Every committee has a person who has to be there. This is just to ease your concerns. Yielding to other sponsors
 - xiii) Walker: Building off that, when looked at legislation when I think of voting

members there is valid reason to come to general, but non voting members the primary thing is to provide info about your activities. This could be accomplished as a written report, especially for a committee like BAC, as student orgs raise concerns if they were given fair looking. This will provide government reason in writing, and make decisions there. Don't see a unique advantage to having non voting chairs and vice chairs sit through meetings, especially if having voting or speaking rights

- xiv) Watt: Motion to amend on the floor, sponsors of resolution may choose to accept unanimously, haven't heard that. Motion to amend should be seconded and then have a change to be debated and voted on.
- xv) Bazzi: After hearing from sponsors, do you still wish to have the amendment
- xvi) Goodsell: I withdraw, its going to go to voting anyway
- xvii) Salino: Question might be unfriendly, is that okay?
- xxviii) Bazzi: Point of info to sponsors
- xix) Salino: Point of information. Looking at part removed, you took out the part that the vice chair could do the report for the chair, if the chair can't attend. With this taken out, if the chair cant go is there still the option for the vice chair to give the report?
- xx) Bazzi: Sponsors?
- xxi) Rifkin: I'm a vice chair and I'm giving the oral report, however, both the chair and I have time commitments and we feel it would be best to attend to those commitments
- xxii) McCaughey: My interpretation is implied, so happy to put it in, but interpret that if chair cant come, will have written report and the vice chair can read the written report at general. Similar to how we read Chayton's report. Could be implied, but if vice chair is present they would read report, if neither is present, then chair of general would read the report. What do you think about that? Should that be specified?
- xxiii) Bazzi: Want to add to that?
- xxiv) Salino: That should be specified. Question following that if there are questions the vice chair could answer those, feel that would give more of an opportunity for discourse of what is being read, but doesn't have to be required
- xxv) McCaughey: Does vice chair have ability to add on?
- xxvi) Salino: Exactly
- xxvii) McCaughey: Tyler is better to ask, don't know how it would go to wording
- xxviii) Watt: In between the addition and what is being deleted, right before the sentence starting "an absent committee chair" a clause could be added to say the vice chair can give the committee report. Something like that should suffice
- xxix) McCaughey: That sounds good!
- xxx) Bazzi: Sponsors is that good?
- xxxi) Sponsors: Yes!
- xxxii) Bazzi: Do we have any friendly or unfriendly amendments?
- xxxiii) Simmons: Can I speak?



- xxxiv) Bazzi: Want to keep time for amendments, and then debate would be good time for commentary
- xxxv) Simmons: I'll wait for debate
- xxxvi) Bazzi: Amendment?
- xxxvii) Dalrymple: I have an amendment. One that could be considered given concerns about value of having committee chairs and vice chairs at meetings would be to tailor this to vice chairs, non voting vice chairs, while keeping the current format for chairs where they are required to attend the meeting. That would be the amendment I would propose if deemed acceptable by sponsors
- xxxviii) Bazzi: Sponsors
- xxxix) McCaughey: One of the sponsors is a non-voting chair, so I would be inclined to reject the amendment. This wouldn't mean we no longer have chairs show up to the general assembly, but if the chair does not want to pursue an associate rep position, they could submit a report. Do any sponsors want to elaborate?
 - xl) Walker: For specific pieces of info for the report, that could be discussed. I think it would be beneficial to have critical pieces of info in the written reports
 - xli) Bazzi: Other sponsors?
 - xl ii) Watt: Don't have too much to add, but on counsel note it sounds like the sponsors have not supported amendment unanimously. The amendment could be debated. If motion is to amend, should be specifically stated to have specific what words we want to insert or get rid of
 - xl iii) Dalrymple: If unanimously not supported I will rescind the amendment
 - xl iv) Bazzi: Other amendments?
 - xl v) Bazzi: Now moving into debate. I can make a speakers list if you wish to speak
 - xl vi) Watt: Motion to limit debate to have one individual speak and have them speak for maximum 2 minutes
 - xl vii) Seconded
 - xl viii) We have consent
 - xl ix) Bazzi: I will have a speakers list, if you want to be added type in the chat your name, a dash, and for and against
 - l) Watt: Can you specify for and against
 - li) Bazzi: For means in favor of amendment, against means not in favor of amendment
 - lii) Salino: What if you don't have a stance?
 - liii) Bazzi: Just pick either side, as we have to have people for and against
 - li v) Watt: There is no side for abstention, however you could put yourself in one column or the other and speak that way.
 - li v i) Bazzi: Before you speak, you can state you are unsure how you want to vote. We are binded by the rules. Let's go ahead and get started. We are starting with Natalie Simmons who wishes to speak against
 - li v ii) Simmons: I'm natalie, i wanted to offer my opinion on this issue. I am a non voting vice chair, and I find it absurd that someone will run for a position and not be able to fulfill the requirements. These are leadership positions, they have



requirements for a reason, so I feel nonsensical to skirt responsibilities of position once you have it. Every year during internals, we host our internals and always ask if you can attend all the meetings. This is asked to almost every candidate, that it is important they can fulfill the duties they are running for. We find out later on they will have a conflict. Then their position is lacking. This has not been a problem, except with BAC leadership where we have had problems with BAC leadership over the past year, with Ajay. This has put a divide in our government where government does not know him very well. We need to keep committees equal

lvii) Bazzi: Time's up. Kathryn

lviii) McCaughey: Hi everyone, so I am for this amendment as I used to be the BAC chair, and I was an elected rep, and same when i was BAC Vice. This was not a reality for me, and this has come to fruition as two members of our general assembly as this meeting is not accessible, as they would have to give up other commitments. When thinking of our role as committee leadership, this is mainly as it relates to your committee. Someone mentioned fulfilling roles in bylaws, but bylaws were written in assumption they would change to fit needs of government. I was talking about making position more accessible. We only have four committee leadership members, that are non voting people. I feel having those options for committee leadership is the best way to compromise on this issue.

lix) Bazzi: next up Alli

lx) Goosell: I'm against it, because when you take on leadership position, part of LSA student government, this is when you go over everything you've done, then you can not include half of what you've written. Having a written report takes things away. It is a slippery slope to be on, because now it could be only 4, but in the future it can end up being that all chairs and vice chairs are not voting. When it is opt in, nobody will go. Saying some people's commitments are better than others, it is one thing to say an exam, but I care about this meeting and therefore I don't go to to other orgs. Part of government includes listening to others. You are still able to talk, and we can put ourselves in a sticky situation where it can be really bad. This has never been a problem except for a few leadership positions and BAC. It has proven to work, and I don't think getting rid of it has proven to be beneficial.

lxi) Bazi: Next Josiah

lxii) Walker: Responding to points made, respectfully, so far the members have spoken against it have articulated a clear reason. They are more personal. Personal such as sticking to practices already had. Just because government has had the past doesn't mean we should make changes. This is shown through older bylaw amendment, and reason we make these amendments is so government is in a better position so they can better fulfil their responsibilities. It is better to limit the amount of unnecessary practices that the government has. I think the concerns about the fairness of written reports, the government should specify what is



- necessary in the reports. I don't think it is bad to share everything it is not a big concern. In terms of being a viable solution, committee leadership in steering
- lxiii) Bazzi: Time is up.
- lxiv) Watt: Point of order, a motion may be heard to extend limit of debate
- lxv) Colvin: Point of information, do we go back and forth until everyone in the list has a chance to speak?
- lxvi) Bazzi: Can always go into call to question. Josiah asked for extension of time, which is possibility. Does anyone wish to motion for extended time?
- lxvii) Walker: no longer wish to motion
- lxviii) Bazzi: Next is Sarah
- lxix) Salino: I no longer wish to speak
- lxx) Bazzi: okay. Last on list is Tyler
- lxxi) Watt: Appreciate having opportunity to speak, great opportunity to speak on the issue. Speaking in favor of motion, especially in current climate with zoom fatigue. Won't effect many people who are not non voting chairs or vice chairs. If attendance or cooperation becomes an issue, there is always an issue to remove someone from office. This is something members are permitted to do. This is something that can be addressed on individual level, but could be a good thing to offer.
- lxxii) Bazzi: Next is Maleny
- lxxiii) Maleny: Want to thank everyone who has spoken so far. This is interesting as everyone has opinions. It is important to hear that everyone might have external factors, and the best way is to have empathy and take things by case by case basis. Attendance is important, the fact you can hear my voice provides insight that this is an opportunity to build community, however there is a way to provide alternative ways to talk about different task forces. I am for this proposal, given it is flexible, an alternative method of communication, and something to compromise could be to provide recordings for those to go back and make sure they did not miss anything.
- lxxiv) Bazzi: Thank you Maleny. Next is Gaby
- lxxv) Torres: This is more of a plea to table for one week, rather than
- lxxvi) Bazzi: Thank you, next is Chayton
- lxxvii) Fivecoat: Accessibility is a big thing with this, and we could potentially have all chairs and vice chairs be non voting members, and that would be super great as we could have those positions be filled by other members and have a larger government. Our sister governments are run similarly, and I think it would be able to have us to pick and choose what aspects of government they want to be a part of it. Say they don't have a lot of time to spend in general, but you want to be in health. These members can be in leadership position second semester. I feel it really expanding the ability for those to get leadership positions, and be in government, would be beneficial across the board. Other people are shut out of vote, and it is something to be considered
- lxxviii) Bazzi: Thank you Chayton. Next is Cameron



- lxxix) Rifkin: Some of the arguments made against, I don't think our job performance has changed in our job since not attending general. I think it helps with our priority, which is funding. This is the reason I am the vice chair, I care about budget. I go to general and spend five minutes in the budget report, but then I don't have any stakes.
- lxxx) Bazzi: Any time I could defer the chair to Josiah, to speak
- lxxxix) Watt: Chair is empowered to defer if they want to speak on motion
- lxxxii) Bazzi: I defer the chair to Walker
- lxxxiii) Walker: I defer the chair to Kathryn
- lxxxiv) McCaughey: I defer the chair to tyler
- lxxxv) Watt: I defer to Gaby
- lxxxvi) Torres: I defer to Anna
- lxxxvii) Colvin: I defer to Mary
- lxxxviii) McKillop: Okay Selena can speak
- lxxxix) Bazzi: i really like hearing task force and committee leadership, as it is not easy to go to all committee meetings. If anything, I think it is an essential part of the government, and especially the concerns for and against, one way to address is to increase opportunity for feedback during questions and comments. It would be good to encourage members to collaborate with committee leadership. I would really hate to see this be taken away. This is all I wanted to add. I yield the rest of my time
- xc) McKillop: Jacob go ahead
- xcix) Cohen: I listed myself as against as I wanted to ask the sponsors and every other member of government as people don't come to general at all or they come to general. Would it be possible to create a modified attendance requirement where they come to deliver their report? So people are still bringing things to general body, it is something to be flexible about that is still valuable. I think it is good to continue our accountability and flexibility
- xcii) McKillop: I am going to defer the chair back to Selena
- xciii) Watt: Point of order, the chair will have to stay with you
- xciv) McKillop: I guess I am the chair now. I have not done this in a while. Point of information what do i do
- xcv) Watt: ask for further speakers, then the chair will ask for a motion
- xcvi) McKillop: any further speakers,
- xcvii) McCaughey: I motion to call to question
- xcviii) Watt: Second
- xcix) Torres: I object. I feel it would be beneficial to table for a week
 - c) McCaughey: I don't rescind my motion
 - ci) McKillop: Are we creating a speakers list for Gaby's motion or Kathryn's motion?
 - cii) Watt: Those for are in favor of secret ballot, those against are against secret ballot
 - ciii) McKillop: Put your names in the chat
 - civ) Watt: Motion to set limits to debate that each person can speak once for one

- minute
- cv) Seconded
 - cvi) We have consent
 - cvii) McKillop: starting with Kathryn
 - cviii) McCaughey: strictly about the motion, would be really good to get a sense of what the government is at, so it would be good to hear and vote now. Even if voted no or yes, would be good to vote and amend later. Good to hear as we dint get opportunity to hear from every member tonight
 - cix) McKillop: moving to gaby
 - cx) Torres: I feel it would be beneficial to have more people as this is a range of opinions
 - cxii) McKillop: Watt
 - cxiii) Watt: There are ways to remedy if it is a no vote, will be considered a resolution with amendments. Encourage to come to IRC. It is the interest of the sponsors to vote on it tonight, so it is typical that we respect their wishes
 - cxiv) McKillop: Claudia against, you have one minute
 - cxv) McLean: New members have a vote in this, I know having a resolution which is complicated, it will be beneficial to have us wait so they can go over it.
 - cxvi) McKillop: Next is Josiah, for
 - cxvii) Walker: To reiterate some points the other four speakers made, I do think the legislation has been tossed around for a bit, as Gaby mentioned, there is definitely a range of opinions, people have flexibility so if they cannot attend general meetings they will not have to worry about recall vote against them. We can also look at how this relates to other committee members. If all committee members go to meetings, then maybe we can look to legislate this. This is not permanent text, but this can be revised in the future.
 - cxviii) McKillop: Next Jacob
 - cxix) Cohen: Thank you Mary, want to echo previous speakers that we have a lot of newer members, and giving these members more time to realize the reasons for things being in the past, I also am undecided to I do not feel ready to make a concrete vote, I would support not voting tonight.
 - cxix) Crespo: I am against voting tonight, especially with what previous speakers have stated. I am not in a position to make a concrete decision tonight. New members should hear more from other members of how this would impact government. We should not make a rash decision and meeting up at IRC, so we hope to hear more and propose an amendment that benefits everyone.
 - cxx) McKillop: Thank you for that. Last person is Natalie Simmons
 - cxxi) Simmons: I change my mind, I feel everyone has spoken why I was against voting tonight.
 - cxxii) McKillop: Seeing nobody else in the chat, I believe it moves into a motion
 - cxxiii) Watt: We are voting whether to call to question. I would advise the chair specify what kind of vote is what we are doing.
 - cxxiv) McKillop: We are doing the motion on voting on if we should call to question



- cxxv) Fivecoat: Motion to vote by secret ballot
- cxxvi) Seconded
- cxxvii) We have consent
- cxxviii) Walker: Everyone look into the voting chat
- cxxix) Watt: Can chair clarify the yes vote and the no vote
- cxix) McKillop: A yes vote is that you do want to vote, a no vote is that you do not want to vote tonight
- cxixxi) Bazzi: Point of information, is this in the group chat?
- cxixxii) Walker: This is in the voting groupme
- cxixxiii) Bazzi: Currently I am not able to vote, but I would like to be added so I may vote on this
- cxixxiv) McKillop: In a vote of 8-20-0, the motion fails by a majority which would be 17. The chair would look favorably on a motion to table the event.
- cxixxv) McLean: Motion to table for one week
- cxixxvi) Walker: Seconded
- cxixxvii) Consent
- cxixxviii) McKillop: I would like to defer the chair to Selena
- cxixxix) Bazzi: I will gladly accept the chair

14) New Business

a) [R F2020.05 Encouraging Democratic Engagement](#)

- i) Bazzi: We are considering this resolution. I happen to be a sponsor, same with Josiah, so I would have to defer the chair to Josiah
- ii) Walker: I defer the chair to Kathryn
- iii) McCaughey: Can I hear from the sponsors?
- iv) Watt: I would be happy to help introduce. This resolution encourages democratic engagement on campus. This encourages students to be democratically engaged, and to get their voices heard in this critical election year. This is our resolution to encourage students to be politically engaged, and sign us up for the Big 10 voting challenge. We will have minor actions, as we will send this to members of the University of Michigan community.
- v) Walker: This puts LSA Student Government at a position to promote student voter engagement. This is something a lot of executive officers have been promoting, as well as other members. This will further institutionalize an effort we have already embraced.
- vi) Bazzi: I echo previous sponsors
- vii) McCaughey: Any more sponsors who wish to speak?
- viii) Watt: if anyone wants to be a sponsor, message me
- ix) McCaughey: Seeing as no other sponsors wish to speak, are there any friendly or unfriendly amendments
- x) Colvin: Can i be added as a sponsor
- xi) Goodsell: Can i also be a sponsor
- xii) McCaughey: any other friendly or unfriendly amendments?



- xiii) Bazzi: In last be it therefore resolved, can we just put LSA SG before Vice President.
 - xiv) Watt: (funny joke)
 - xv) McCaughey: Is there any friendly or unfriendly amendments? Seeing none, are there any comments concerns, questions?
 - xvi) Cohen: Are we looking to pass this tonight, or to table?
 - xvii) Walker: I would like to pass this tonight if possible. Actions to involve student orgs on campus have been taking place full force.
 - xviii) Watt: I concur
 - xix) Cohen: Thank you
 - xx) McCaughey: Questions, comments, and concerns? Seeing none, the chair would look favorably on a motion
 - xxi) Bazzi: Motion to call to question and move straight to voting by unanimous consent. I think it would be powerful to get it passed by unanimous consent, and would be a strong message. I move to vote today as Josiah mentioned it would speed the process.
 - xxii) McCaughey: Second?
 - xxiii) Seconded
 - xxiv) McCaughey: Are there any objections? Do i have consent?
 - xxv) Consent
 - xxvi) McCaughey: Do i have consent for the resolution?
 - xxvii) Consent
 - xxviii) McCaughey: Are there any objections?
 - xxix) Note the resolution passed by unanimous consent
- b) [R F2020.06 Recognizing the Global Climate Crisis](#)
- i) McCaughey: Next resolution is fall 2020 recognizing the global climate crisis. Do sponsors want to introduce?
 - ii) Dalrymple: This is recognizing the global climate crisis. Has some facts and statistics, includes quotes from groups involved as well as neutrality commission. This is about it. I yield to other sponsors
 - iii) Walker: I second everything the previous speaker said. Did a good job explaining the gist of it.
 - iv) McCaughey: any other sponsors?
 - v) Simmons: We should have passed this a while ago, and would appreciate passing tonight
 - vi) McCaughey: any other sponsors?
 - vii) Crespo: Thank you for bearing with the time
 - viii) McCaughey: Seeing no other sponsors, any friendly or unfriendly amendments? Seeing none, any comments, questions, concerns? Going once, going twice, seeing none, do i have any motions?
 - ix) Walker: Motion to close debate and vote by acclamation
 - x) Seconded
 - xi) McCaughey: Do i have any objections?

- xii) Bazzi: I object, would love to be considered under unanimous consent
 - xiii) Walker: Point of information, what is strategic difference?
 - xiv) McCaughey: reflected in the minutes differently
 - xv) Watt: Something cannot be avoided unless recognized unanimous
 - xvi) Bazzi: i withdrawal
 - xvii) McCaughey: Do i have consent
 - xviii) Consent
 - xix) McCaughey: All those in favor say I
 - xx) Consent
 - xxi) Watt: i move to recognize the motion as unanimous
 - xxii) Seconded by Cohen
 - xxiii) This resolution passes unanimously.
- c) [R F2020.07 Statement on Washtenaw County Health Department Order](#)
- i) McCaughey: Would the sponsors like to introduce?
 - ii) Lobodzinski: Wrote this last night with Tyler and Zackariah. Statement is clear cut, we endorse the health department's issue to keep everybody indoors in order to cut down on the recent rise of covid cases going on. Also advocates for those to go out and vote. This is not the case that you cant vote. Go and vote early at the UMMA office, and it is pretty much all there.
 - iii) McCaughey: Thank you joseph, do any sponsors want to say anything?
 - iv) Watt: Want to thank Joseph and Zackariah for their work. You should all consider supporting it tonight
 - v) McCaughey: Any other sponsors?
 - vi) Stoneman: The statement was very timely, put together, tackles many different issues the government has advocated for. This helps with projects related to students in quarantine, the election, and I think it is important we comment on this state of affairs.
 - vii) McCaughey: Tyler said in chat if you want to be a sponsor let us know! If any other sponsors want to speak? Friendly or unfriendly amendments?
 - viii) Goodsell: Point of information, do we have to specify if we are releasing a statement?
 - ix) McCaughey: if it is an exec statement, just the exec board has to vote. If it is the general then the general body has to vote on it. If there are any amendments?
Gaby Torres asked to be a sponsor
 - x) Walker: One of the speakers said it supported voting amendments, and it doesnt say voting or civic engagement. Fortunately our previous resolution coincides with this one, but maybe a line should be added to restate our commitment to voter registration
 - xi) McCaughey: Do the sponsors see it as friendly?
 - xii) Watt: will the text be added to the resolution or the statement
 - xiii) Walker: Give me a second. When I did the original command f voting did not pop up, but I am fine now with how things are. There is not a huge difference in my recommendation and what the statement says

- xiv) McCaughey: Any additional friendly or unfriendly amendments? Seeing none, any questions, comments, concerns about the resolution? Seeing none, are there any motions?
 - xv) Lobodzinski: Motion to call to question
 - xvi) McCaughey: Would that be by acclamation?
 - xvii) Lobodzinski: This would be by acclamation
 - xviii) Seconded by Salino
 - xix) McCaughey: Do i have objections? Do I have consent?
 - xx) Consent
 - xxi) McCaughey: All those in favor say I
 - xxii) Consent
 - xxiii) Walker: Point of information, would it be better to use Michigan.gov/vote? As it is easier to recognize. This would just state the website to make it easier to recognize.
 - xxiv) Torres: Point of information, with changing the writing to make it more clear, would it be okay to just edit with typos you are able to correct it
 - xxv) Bazzi: For time sake it is something to address later
 - xxvi) The motion passed
 - xxvii) McCaughey: i will defer to Selena
- d) [B F2020.13 Redefining COMM Duties](#)
- i) Bazzi: Sponsors you have time to introduce:
 - ii) Watt: Not really my thing, but reviewing the bylaws, there is a website manager position that we don't need to have as it is not typically used. There is an SG digest that is there but we do not do this. Also changing the SG scrapbook to once a year rather than once a semester. Those are the changes
 - iii) Bazzi: Other sponsors do you wish to add?
 - iv) McKillop: Claudia and I are good with the amendment, these are changes that have already been in practice so it is no big substantive change. We support the amendment
 - v) Bazzi: I will now move to questions and comments. If you want to please unmute.
 - vi) Crespo: I think it is great that everyone is able to show that people are willing to talk. Everyone's ability to speak is greatly appreciated and our willingness to improve
 - vii) Bazzi: Seeing no more questions or comments, chair will ask for a motion. Could be to table or vote
 - viii) Watt: move to call to question with vote of unanimous consent
 - ix) Bazzi: Motion to skip debate and vote
 - x) Salino: I second
 - xi) Bazzi: Do i have consent

- xii) Consent
- xiii) Bazzi: We will now move into voting. Does anyone object? Seeing no objection, the bylaw amendment passes by unanimous consent
- xiv) Amendment passes by unanimous consent
- e) [B F2020.14 Redesignating the Appointments Committee](#)
 - i) Bazzi: Sponsors?
 - ii) Watt: I would like to see this tabled for one week
 - iii) Bazzi: Is there a second?
 - iv) Seconded
 - v) Bazzi: Do I have consent?
 - vi) We have consent
- f) [B F2020.15 Government Document Hierarchy](#)
 - i) Bazzi: Sponsors?
 - ii) Watt: This bylaw amendment will change very little within our bylaws. This will add our emergency bylaw procedure in our hierarchy of documents, including the new addendum of the election code. This will be voted on next week and discussed in IRC
 - iii) Bazzi: Does anyone have any comments or questions at this time? Seeing none, are there any motions?
 - iv) Donahue: Motion to table by one week
 - v) Watt: Seconded
 - vi) Bazzi: Do we have consent?
 - vii) Consent
- g) [C F2020.03 Required Constitutional Amendments](#)
 - i) Bazzi: Tyler and Josiah you can introduce
 - ii) Watt: This would amend our constitution, this adds an extra section to our constitution to allow for specific amendments to our constitution be granted by a vote of our government. If we didn't have the special election this september, we wouldn't have had our student fees. It is good to have non controversial amendments to not have to call an election
 - iii) Walker: This will be a way to have government exist better in the future. The requirements mainly come from legal counsel, this will put the government in a position to make changes much more quickly, regardless of when handed to student government.
 - iv) Bazzi: Questions and comments? Before friendly or unfriendly amendments does anyone wish to motion?
 - v) Watt: Motion to table for one week
 - vi) Stoneman: Second
 - vii) Bazzi: Any objections? Do I have consent

viii) Consent

h) [Approval of Candidates' Forum Specifications](#)

i) *See Election Code, 1.03.05. A simple majority is needed to approve the plans for the Candidate's Forum.*

ii) Motion to approve Candidates form specifications via Acclimation: Watt

(1) Second: Dalrymple

(a) PASS by acclimation

15) Matters Arising

a) Watt: Thank you all for being patient tonight. I am happy to see all of the debate. Keep being Awesome. Thank you to the late great Chayton Fivecoat

b) McLean: Please don't forget to sign up to be a Mentor/Mentee

c) Walker and Fivecoat: Shoutout to Gaby for taking over for minutes tonight

16) Snaps

17) Closing Roll Call

- a) Bazzi, Selena ()
- b) Walker, Josiah ()
- c) McCaughey, Kathryn ()
- d) Watt, Tyler ()
- e) Colvin, Anna ()
- f) McKillop, Mary ()
- g) Torres, Gabriela ()
- h) Fivecoat, Chayton ()
- i) Dalrymple, Timothy ()
- j) McLean, Claudia ()
- k) Diaz, Danae ()
- l) Hwang, Tae Won (Danny) (E)
- m) Rich, Sophia ()
- n) Salino, Sarah ()
- o) List, Matthew Riley (A)
- p) Farah, Zackariah (E)
- q) Goodsell, Allison ()
- r) Manikandan, Divya (E)
- s) Cohen, Jacob ()
- t) Theuerkauf, Caroline ()
- u) Nelson, Erica ()
- v) Zhao, Suki ()
- w) Gunasekaran, Gaurie (E)
- x) Lobodzinski, Joseph ()
- y) Crespo, Maleny ()
- z) Fioritto, Tyler (E)
- aa) Kernis, Jacob ()
- bb) Hamamy, Salma (A)

- cc) Pierangeli, Cody ()
- dd) Farmer, Adrianna ()
- ee) Williams, Erik ()
- ff) Donahue, Thomas ()
- gg) Pratapa, Pranavi (A)
- hh) Browdy, Wyatt ()
- ii) Mulliken, Ryan (A)
- jj) Sommerfeld, Elizabeth ()
- kk) Stoneman, Max ()
- ll) Rothstein, Kayla ()
- mm) Prasad, Ajay (E)
- nn) Simmons, Natalie ()
- oo) Wojtara, Magda (E)

18) Adjournment

- a) Motion to Ajourn: Rothstein
 - i) Second: Stoneman