EFFECTIVE FIELD THEORY NEAR AND FAR FROM EQUILIBRIUM

Paolo Glorioso

January 15, 2020



with Luca Delacretaz, *in progress* Andrey Gromov and Shinsei Ryu [1908.03217]



Non-equilibrium physics displays a huge variety of phenomena in nature. These range from heavy ion collisions to black holes dynamics, from driven systems to non-equilibrium steady states, etc.

Many open questions: thermalization, information paradox, turbulence, ... What is a suitable framework which captures systematically these phenomena? **Goal:** encode low-energy description of non-equilibrium systems into effective field theories, independent of microscopic details.

Direct applications include:

• Systematic computation of hydrodynamic fluctuations. E.g. long-time tails, renormalization of transport. Current methods (e.g. stochastic hydro) are not systematic.



[Boon, "Molecular hydrodynamics," '91]

• Topological response of periodically driven systems, which are inherently far from equilibrium.



[Nathan et al., '16]



- Near equilibrium: infrared instability of chiral diffusion
- **2** Far from equilibrium: Floquet topological response
- Conclusions

• Near equilibrium: infrared instability of chiral diffusion

Par from equilibrium: Floquet topological response

Onclusions

Chiral diffusion in 1+1

Quantum systems in local thermal equilibrium \rightarrow hydrodynamics



At sufficiently low energy, the only degrees of freedom are conserved charges. Example: U(1) charge, momentum, ...

Chiral diffusion in 1+1

Quantum systems in local thermal equilibrium \rightarrow hydrodynamics



At sufficiently low energy, the only degrees of freedom are conserved charges. Example: U(1) charge, momentum, ...

We will be interested in systems with anomalously non-conserved U(1) current with chiral anomaly:

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = c\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$$

- $c = rac{
 u}{4\pi}$: anomaly coefficient, $F_{\mu
 u} = \partial_{\mu}A_{
 u} \partial_{
 u}A_{\mu}$.
 - Neglect energy-momentum conservation
 - Local equilibrium: $\rho = e^{-\frac{1}{T}(H-\mu(t,x)Q)}$

Chiral diffusion in 1+1



$$\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu} = c \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$$
$$J^{t} = n(\mu) = \chi \mu + \frac{1}{2} \chi' \mu^{2} + \cdots, \quad J^{x} = -4c\mu - \sigma \partial_{x} \mu$$

- $-4c\mu$ required by second law [Son,Surowka '09].
- Chiral diffusion:

$$\chi \partial_t \mu - 4c \partial_x \mu - \sigma \partial_x^2 \mu + \frac{1}{2} \chi' \partial_t \mu^2 = 0$$

MOTIVATIONS I

• Edge of quantum Hall systems [Kane, Fisher '95; Ma, Feldman '19]





• Surface chiral metals [Balents, Fisher '95; Sur, Lee '13]



• Chiral magnetic effect [Vilenkin '80; Son,Spivak '13; Yamamoto '15]

$$\vec{J} \propto \mu \vec{B}$$

MOTIVATIONS II

Hydrodynamic long time tails:

 Change qualitatively correlation functions at late time. [Alder,Wainwright '70;Kovtun,Yaffe '03;Chen-Lin,Delacretaz,Hartnoll '18]



[Boon, "Molecular hydrodynamics," '91]

• Momentum conservation causes more violent effects leading to anomalous scaling [Forster,Nelson,Stephen '74] . E.g. *d* = 2:

$$\eta \sim \left(\log \frac{1}{\omega}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Breakdown of hydrodynamics! [Schepper, Beyeren '74]

• Result of the interplay between thermal fluctuations and interactions of collective modes



I will show:

- Chiral diffusion breaks down in the IR
- It persists even without momentum conservation!
- It furnishes a novel mechanism to flow to a non-trivial IR fixed point.

EFT OF CHIRAL DIFFUSION

• Consider a quantum system in a thermal state $ho_0=e^{-eta H}/{
m Tr}(e^{-eta H})$ with

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}=carepsilon^{\mu
u}F_{\mu
u}$$

• Background sources: $A_{1\mu}, A_{2\mu}$

$$e^{iW[A_1,A_2]} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[U(A_1)
ho_0 U^{\dagger}(A_2)
ight] = \int_{
ho_0} D\psi_1 D\psi_2 e^{iS[\psi_1,A_1] - iS[\psi_2,A_2]}$$



• Anomalous conservation of J_1^{μ} and J_2^{μ} implies the Ward identity

$$W[A_{1\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\lambda_{1}, A_{2\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\lambda_{2}] = W[A_{1\mu}, A_{2\mu}] + c \int \lambda_{1}F_{1\mu\nu} - c \int \lambda_{2}F_{2\mu\nu}$$

EFT OF CHIRAL DIFFUSION

$$W[A_{1\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\lambda_{1}, A_{2\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\lambda_{2}] = W[A_{1\mu}, A_{2\mu}] + c \int \lambda_{1}F_{1\mu\nu} - c \int \lambda_{2}F_{2\mu\nu}$$

- W is non-local due to long-living modes associated to $\partial_{\mu}J_{1}^{\mu}=0$ and $\partial_{\mu}J_{2}^{\mu}=0$.
- "Unintegrate" long-living modes [Crossley, PG, Liu '15; PG, Liu '18]

$$e^{iW[A_1,A_2]} = \int D\varphi_1 D\varphi_2 \, e^{iS_{\mathsf{hydro}}[A_1,\varphi_1;A_2,\varphi_2]}$$

 φ_1, φ_2 : long living modes

• *S*_{hydro} local, satisfies several symmetries. Precisely recovers diffusion in the saddle-point limit.

*see also [Haehl,Loganayagam,Rangamani '15; Jensen, Pinzani-Fokeeva, Yarom '17;...]

IR INSTABILITY

Action for chiral diffusion:

$$S = \int d^2x \left(-\left(\chi \partial_t \mu - 4c \partial_x \mu - \sigma \partial_x^2 \mu + \frac{1}{2} \chi' \partial_t (\mu^2) \right) \varphi_a + i T \sigma (\partial_x \varphi_a)^2 \right)$$

where $\mu=\partial_t\varphi_r$ is the chemical potential, and

$$egin{array}{rcl} arphi_r&=&rac{1}{2}(arphi_1+arphi_2) & ext{classical variable}\ arphi_{s}&=&arphi_1-arphi_2, & ext{noise variable} \end{array}$$

At tree-level, this action recovers:

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = \chi \partial_{t}\mu - 4c\partial_{x}\mu - \sigma \partial_{x}^{2}\mu + \frac{1}{2}\chi' \partial_{t}(\mu^{2}) = 0$$

IR INSTABILITY

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = \chi \partial_{t}\mu - 4c\partial_{x}\mu - \sigma \partial_{x}^{2}\mu + \frac{1}{2}\chi' \partial_{t}\mu^{2} = 0$$

It is convenient to change coordinates to a frame co-moving with the chiral front: $x \rightarrow x + \frac{4a}{\gamma}t$. Upon rescaling various quantities:

$$\partial_t \mu - \partial_x^2 \mu + \lambda \partial_x(\mu^2) = 0$$

Scaling $\partial_t \sim \partial_x^2$, the interaction λ is relevant! This has dramatic consequences:

$$\langle J^{i}(\omega)J^{i}(-\omega)\rangle_{\text{ret}} \sim \sigma i\omega + \lambda^{2}(i\omega)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda^{4}(i\omega)^{-1} + \cdots$$

Correlation function grows with time!

FATE IN THE IR

What is the fate of chiral diffusion in the IR?

To get a sense, consider higher-dimensional generalization:

$$J^{\mathsf{x}} = -4 oldsymbol{c} \mu - \sigma \partial_{\mathsf{x}} \mu, \qquad J^{\perp} = -\sigma_{\perp}
abla_{\perp} \mu$$

- (2+1) d: surface chiral metals
- (3 + 1) − d: chiral magnetic effect with large background magnetic field.

Upon rescaling various quantities:

$$\partial_t \mu - \partial_x^2 \mu + \lambda \partial_x (\mu^2) - \sigma_\perp \partial_\perp^2 \mu = 0$$

Rescaled coupling λ is marginal in 2 + 1 and irrelevant in 3 + 1.

Fate in the $\ensuremath{\mathrm{IR}}$

Integrate out momentum shell $e^{-l}\Lambda < |k| < \Lambda$:

$$rac{\partial\lambda}{\partial I} = rac{1}{2}arepsilon\lambda - rac{\lambda^3}{2\pi}, \qquad arepsilon = 2 - d$$

The theory is marginally irrelevant in d = 2 and has a non-trivial fixed point at $\varepsilon = 2 - d > 0!$



Fate in the $\ensuremath{\mathrm{IR}}$

In 1+1 dimensions, the theory is equivalent to KPZ (Kardar-Parisi-Zhang) universality class.



- Diffusive fluctuations around the chiral front at $x + \frac{4c}{\chi}t$ are in the KPZ universality class.
- Chiral diffusion flows to $\omega = k + k^z$, $z = \frac{3}{2}$, leading to the exact scaling

$$\sigma(\omega) = \langle J^i(\omega) J^i(-\omega)
angle_{\mathsf{sym}} \sim rac{{\mathcal T}^{rac{2}{3}}(c\chi')^{rac{4}{3}}}{\chi} rac{1}{\omega^{1/3}}$$

Remarks

- Infrared instability of chiral diffusion
- Persists without momentum conservation
- 8 Relevant to edge physics

• Near equilibrium: infrared instability of chiral diffusion

@ Far from equilibrium: Floquet topological response



NON-EQUILIBRIUM TOPOLOGY AND FLOQUET

SYSTEMS

Floquet systems have time-dependent periodic Hamiltonian

$$H(t+T) = H(t), \quad U(t) = \mathcal{T}e^{-i\int_0^t H(s)ds}$$

- There is no strict notion of energy.
- Can define quasi-energies $\varepsilon_n \sim \varepsilon_n + \frac{2\pi}{T}$. Energy analog of Bloch theory.



 Numerous recent theoretical works Reviews: [Harper,Roy,Rudner,Sondhi '19; Rudner,Lindner '19] Dynamical generation of topology

• Circularly polarized light opens a gap [Oka, Aoki '09]



• Time periodic magnetic field [Lindner,Refael,Galitski '11]



Experiments

[Wang,Steinberg,Jarillo-Herrero,Gedik '13] [Rechtsman,Zeuner,Plotnik,Lumer,Nolte,Segev,Szameit '13] [Jotzu,Messer,Desbuquois,Lebrat,Uehlinger,Greif,Esslinger '14] A CANONICAL MODEL: CHIRAL FLOQUET DRIVE [Rudner, Lindner, Berg, Levin '12]

$$H(t) = -J \sum_{r \in A} (c^{\dagger}_{r+d(t)}c_r + c^{\dagger}_r c_{r+d(t)}), \qquad d(t) = \uparrow \to \downarrow \leftarrow$$



- Edge states [Fidkowski, Po, Potter, Vishwanath '16; Roy, Harper '16; Po et al. '16; von Keyserlingk, Sondhi '16]
- Quantized topological invariants [Rudner et al. '12; ladecola, Hsieh '17]
- Quantized response: magnetization [Nathan et al. '16; Nathan et al. '19]

RECALL: STATIC TOPOLOGICAL PHASES

For time-independent Hamiltonians, $H(t) = H_0$, a successful approach to many-body topological systems is that of topological field theory.

- Detect topological phases by coupling the system to background gauge fields.
- Example: integrate out fermions in (2+1)-dimensions

$$Z[A] = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} e^{-S[\psi,\bar{\psi},A]} = e^{-S_{\text{eff}}[A]}$$

• Response action $S_{\rm eff}$ is local, imaginary, and topological

$$S_{
m eff}[A] = i rac{
u}{4\pi} \int d^3 x arepsilon^{\mu
u
ho} A_{\mu} \partial_{
u} A_{
ho}, \qquad
u = {
m integer}$$

- Powerful to diagnose and predict new topological phases.
- Works only when notion of ground state and gap are well-defined.

Aim: Reproduce the success of time-independent approach to Floquet systems.

- Effective field theory approach.
- Diagnostic tool of topological order.

General setup – Schwinger-Keldysh approach

For systems out of equilibrium, the natural starting point is the Schwinger-Keldysh trace

$$e^{iW[A_1,A_2]} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[U(t_i,t_f;A_1)\rho_0 U^{\dagger}(t_i,t_f;A_2)\right]$$

- Analog of $Z[A_{\mu}]$ for time-independent Hamiltonians.
- ρ₀ is an initial state
- $U(t_i, t_f; A)$: unitary coupled to an external gauge field
 - Two unitaries for forward and backward evolutions
 - ▶ Two gauge fields A₁, A₂ for forward and backward evolutions

$$\rho_0 \underbrace{ \begin{matrix} t_i & U(t_f, t_i, A_i) & t_f \\ \hline & & \end{matrix} }_{U^{\dagger}(t_f, t_i, A_2)}$$

GENERAL SETUP

$$e^{iW[A_1,A_2]} = \operatorname{Tr}\left[U(t_i,t_f;A_1)\rho_0 U^{\dagger}(t_i,t_f;A_2)\right]$$

SK for Floquet topological systems:

• Initial state: Infinite temperature Gibbs ensemble

$$\rho_0 = rac{e^{lpha Q}}{\mathrm{Tr} e^{lpha Q}}, \qquad \mathcal{Q} = \sum_r (n_r - \frac{1}{2})$$

• Real time contour: Integer multiple of Floquet period T



• Background: Static background

$$A_0 = 0, \qquad \vec{A}(t, \vec{r}) = \vec{A}(\vec{r})$$

up to gauge fixing. Note: gauge invariance under $A_{1,2} \rightarrow A_{1,2} + \partial \lambda_{1,2}$ with $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ at $t = t_i, t_f$.

TOPOLOGICAL RESPONSE: CHIRAL FLOQUET DRIVE



On a closed manifold:

$$e^{iW[A_1,A_2]} = \frac{1}{2\cosh(\frac{\alpha}{2})^N} \prod_r \left[e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} + e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} e^{i\int \frac{dt}{T}(B_{1r} - B_{2r})} \right]$$

where

- $\int \frac{dt}{T} = integer$
- N total number of lattice sites
- $B_r = A_x(r) + A_y(r + b_1) A_x(r + b_1 + b_2) A_y(r + b_2)$ flux collected by a particle starting at r

TOPOLOGICAL RESPONSE: CHIRAL FLOQUET DRIVE

For slowly varying background, leads to a spatial theta term:

$$e^{iW[A_1,A_2]} = e^{i\frac{\Theta(\alpha)}{2\pi}\int\frac{dt}{T}\int d^2r[B_1(r)-B_2(r)]}, \qquad B(r) = d\vec{A}(r)$$

where

$$\Theta(\alpha) = \theta + f(\alpha), \quad \theta = \Theta(\alpha = 0), \quad f(\alpha) = -f(-\alpha)$$

- θ is quantized due to flux quantization and a charge-conjugation symmetry.
- From explicit evaluation:

$$\theta = \pi, \qquad f(\alpha) = -\pi \tanh \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

• Independent of metric of the spatial manifold \Rightarrow topological term.

TOPOLOGICAL RESPONSE: CHIRAL FLOQUET DRIVE

$$e^{iW[A_1,A_2]} = e^{i\frac{\Theta(\alpha)}{2\pi}\int\frac{dt}{T}\int d^2r[B_1(r)-B_2(r)]}$$

 $\Theta(\alpha)$ independent of continuous deformations:

$$H(t, A) = H_0(t, A) + \lambda H_{int}(t)$$

 H_0 : chiral Floquet drive, H_{int} : many-body interaction Independent of λ as far as response remains local.

Sketch of the proof:



•
$$\frac{\delta W}{\delta A_{1i}(r)} = -i \int dt \operatorname{Tr}[\rho_0 J^i(r, t)] \equiv -i \langle J^i(r) \rangle$$

• $\langle J^i(r) \rangle = \operatorname{Tr}[\rho \frac{\delta H_0}{\delta A_i}] = 0$
• EFT:
 $W = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dt}{T} \int d^2 r \Theta(\alpha, r) (B_1(r) - B_2(r))$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{\delta W}{\delta A_{1i}} \propto \int dt \varepsilon^{ij} \partial_j \Theta(\alpha, r) = 0$

TOPOLOGICAL RESPONSE: CHIRAL FLOQUET DRIVE Numerical test of topological stability.

Open boundary conditions:





Remarks

- Theta term can be related to quantized magnetization [Nathan et al. '16; Nathan et al. '19]
- Relation to chiral unitary index [Po et al. '16]
- Formalism provides EFT approach to topological Floquet phases (higher dimensions, geometric response, ...)

• Near equilibrium: infrared instability of chiral diffusion

Par from equilibrium: Floquet topological response

Onclusions

Summary

- Non-equilibrium EFT provides a very flexible tool to approach the low energy sector of a wide variety of systems
- IR instability of chiral hydrodynamics
- Topological response of driven (Floquet) systems

Future directions

- Chiral diffusion: include energy conservation; estimate effect for realistic systems (comparison to shot noise?)
- Ploquet: geometric response; constraints on Θ(α)? time-ordering sensitive topological response? Non-topological properties?
- Other directions: open systems and novel constraints